

WDFW BUDGET AND POLICY ADVISORY GROUP MEETING

Friday March 2, 9:00am-4:00pm

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, 1111 Washington St SE, Olympia WA 98501 - Room 175

Anticipated Outcomes

- Refine draft findings from Matrix report, ZBB budget exercise, and state research
- Refine draft funding principles
- Identify maintenance funding levels / shortfall amount
- Discuss options for service cuts and additional revenue to address shortfall
- Distill review criteria for funding options
- Review sections of draft long-term funding plan

Agenda

9:00 am	Introductions, Agenda Review, Get Settled (10 min)	All
9:10	Key Questions for Today (10 min) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What core funding principles should be used? (Review draft.) • How should we evaluate cuts? • What options for additional revenue should we evaluate? • What evaluation criteria should we use? 	
9:20	Review and Refine Draft Findings (40 minutes) Draft findings were developed based on the discussion during meeting 2 and provided for review on 12/16. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reflections on the findings – do you agree? • What, if anything, is missing? • What, if anything, should be clarified? 	All
10:00	Review and Refine Draft Funding Principles (40 minutes) Draft funding principles were developed based on the discussion during meeting 2 and provided for review on 12/16. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reflections on the principles – do you agree? • What, if anything, is missing? • What, if anything, should be clarified? 	All
10:40	Break (10 minutes)	
10:50	Criteria for Evaluating Options to Address Shortfall (1 hour 10 minutes) The Legislature provided a number of criteria which must be used to evaluate	All

	<p>options to address the funding shortfall. Additional criteria might also be suggested. Discuss and determine:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What information might be used to evaluate each criterion specified by the legislature? • Is that information is available, and is there a backup plan is it is not? • What type of scoring scale is appropriate for each criterion? • Are additional criteria needed? If so, what information might be used to evaluate them and what scoring scale is appropriate? 	
12:10 pm	<p>Break for lunch (40 min) <i>Lunch is provided for Advisory Group members.</i></p>	
12:50	<p>Options to Address the Shortfall (1 hour 40 minutes) The anticipated 2019-2021 shortfall is \$30-\$35M. The shortfall can be addressed with efficiencies, with cuts, with supplemental funding, or with a combination of the three.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What efficiencies are identified and what role might they have in addressing the shortfall? • What are potential cuts and what role might they have in addressing the shortfall? What information from WDFW would help you evaluate potential cuts? • What supplemental funding (if any) is needed and what are thoughts about potential supplemental funding sources? 	All
2:30	<p>Break (10 minutes)</p>	
2:40	<p>Draft Report Sections and the Revised Schedule (1 hour) The initial sections of a draft report were provided for review on 2/23. The final report is likely due to the legislature September 1. Discuss:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thoughts on the draft sections available: right information? Accurate? Right level of detail? • Thoughts on drafting the rest: things to highlight? Other advice? • Thoughts on future meetings, public engagement, and rolling this out? 	All
3:40	<p>Public Comment (10 minutes, or as needed) <i>Time will be adjusted as needed to allow 3 min per commenter.</i></p>	
3:50	<p>Wrap up and Next Steps (10 minutes)</p>	Facilitator
4:00 pm	<p>Adjourn</p>	

Materials

1. Draft findings/principles (same as distributed on 2/16); we have a number of comments on these, particularly the findings, so we know we will be talking about refining/changing them at the meeting
2. Draft list of potential funding sources (from Feb mtg) and evaluation criteria from the proviso (new)
3. Draft LT Funding plan; this includes the draft front sections, it is a very early draft all, so please consider it with that in mind (new)
4. Revised proposed meeting-by-meeting approach and schedule (new)
5. Proviso (same as provided on 12/7)
6. Draft Meeting #2 Summary (same as distributed on 2/16)