PUGET SOUND RECREATIONAL FISHERIES ENHANCEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MINUTES January 3, 2012

	Clint Muns			
TYPE OF MEETING	Advisory Group			
FACILITATOR		Steve Thiesfeld		
NOTE TAKER		Colleen Desselle		
ATTENDEES	Dave Croonquist, Rich Eltrich, Don Freeman, Mike Gilchrist, Dave Knutzen, Clint Muns, Matt Parnel, David Puki, Norm Reinhardt, Steve Thiesfeld, Tara Livingood, Colleen Desselle, Michael Schmidt, Dorothy Reinhardt.			
Agenda Topics				
DISCUSSION	Introductions			
DIOCOGGION	miloductions			
CONCLUSIONS	N/A			
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
DISCUSSION	Minutes from October 24, 2011			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Militates from Cotosof 27, 2011		
CONCLUSIONS	Accepted as written.			
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
	Review Final Production Changes Jim Scott that responded to the request by previously discussed and supported by the C			
Reviewed the letter from implement the changes indicated that funding for	I Jim Scott that responded to the request by previously discussed and supported by the Cr Soos Creek would be reduced and replaced oduction at Garrison Springs as requested by	Oversight Committee. WDFW also d with Wildlife Fund, and that switch by the OC. OC members were		
Reviewed the letter from implement the changes indicated that funding for allowed the continued presupportive of the actions	I Jim Scott that responded to the request by previously discussed and supported by the Corner Soos Creek would be reduced and replaced oduction at Garrison Springs as requested be.	Oversight Committee. WDFW also d with Wildlife Fund, and that switch by the OC. OC members were		
Reviewed the letter from implement the changes indicated that funding for allowed the continued presupportive of the actions	I Jim Scott that responded to the request by previously discussed and supported by the Corner Soos Creek would be reduced and replaced oduction at Garrison Springs as requested be.	Oversight Committee. WDFW also d with Wildlife Fund, and that switch by the OC. OC members were		
Reviewed the letter from implement the changes indicated that funding for allowed the continued presupportive of the actions CONCLUSIONS	Jim Scott that responded to the request by previously discussed and supported by the Cr Soos Creek would be reduced and replace roduction at Garrison Springs as requested by Pushing forward to implement changes in	Oversight Committee. WDFW also d with Wildlife Fund, and that switch by the OC. OC members were mmediately.		
Reviewed the letter from implement the changes indicated that funding for allowed the continued presupportive of the actions CONCLUSIONS ACTION ITEMS DISCUSSION This is not by program (a monthly revenue forecast corrections, the fund is concaveat is that the productions in the content of	PERSON RESPONSIBLE Budget/Legislation Updates attachment 2). Since updated it is to actual pet and adjusted the table to reflect the better loing better than reported at the last meeting ction changes we are implementing (outlined Hatcheries need to find money to make som	Dversight Committee. WDFW also d with Wildlife Fund, and that switch by the OC. OC members were mmediately. DEADLINE Dicture. We found a difference in the monthly estimates. With those It is now where we had expected. It in Jim Scott's letter) are going to		
Reviewed the letter from implement the changes indicated that funding for allowed the continued presupportive of the actions CONCLUSIONS ACTION ITEMS DISCUSSION This is not by program (a monthly revenue forecast corrections, the fund is a Caveat is that the production in the change the allotments.	PERSON RESPONSIBLE Budget/Legislation Updates attachment 2). Since updated it is to actual pet and adjusted the table to reflect the better loing better than reported at the last meeting ction changes we are implementing (outlined Hatcheries need to find money to make som	Dicture. We found a difference in the monthly estimates. With those in Jim Scott's letter) are going to e of these changes by shifting or meach saltwater license rather back to the Commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have excepted with the commission and the co		
Reviewed the letter from implement the changes indicated that funding for allowed the continued presupportive of the actions CONCLUSIONS ACTION ITEMS DISCUSSION This is not by program (a monthly revenue forecast corrections, the fund is a Caveat is that the production of the normal contents of the c	PERSON RESPONSIBLE Budget/Legislation Updates attachment 2). Since updated it is to actual pet and adjusted the table to reflect the better doing better than reported at the last meeting cition changes we are implementing (outlined Hatcheries need to find money to make som s. Dave suggested that we obtain funding for than just Puget Sound. May have to go the person of the money to ensure it will not affect it/them.	Dicture. We found a difference in the monthly estimates. With those in Jim Scott's letter) are going to e of these changes by shifting or meach saltwater license rather back to the Commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have eck more WACs rather than just to be divided with the commission and have excepted with the commission and the co		

DISCUSSION Legislative Goals and Objectives Progress

This is not intended to be the plan, but a starting point. We need baseline data. Are there any other criteria we need? Are the criteria listed correct?

- Track fish that this program produces in the bag.
- Increase total angler trips.

CONCLUSIONS

Need to project out to ten years as some of the changes will not be seen for 3-5 years.

Each of the facilities has production goals and they look at percent of survival. We need to look at the growth starting as of today – we cannot go back as a starting point. We do have some recent programs that we can start with. Start with 10% and decide later down the line if it needs to be bumped up – we need to challenge ourselves. If we establish a percentage and we under-achieve, we can go to the Legislature and say, "We are doing everything we can, the agency is doing everything they can, but this is what is happening. We need your help." PSRFE can become an advocate. Find out how we can help. Change size limits? How do we measure our success?

CONCLUSIONS		
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Tara and Steve will put ideas into a format and distribute for review. OC members will provide feedback and additional ideas.		

DISCUSSION A Transboundary Research Approach to Understanding the Marine Survival of Salmon and Steelhead in the Salish Sea – Michael Schmidt

Most species (exception pinks) are declining in Puget Sound. All aspects of the salmon cycle is important. Many different things are affecting salmon survival but nothing has been weeded out. Canada has facilitated the research planning effort. We now want to develop a U.S. side in about 1-1½ years. Coordinated research planning process is about 4-5 years. Need to identify the most significant factors affecting growth, condition, and survival. A U.S. coordinating committee is under development. Need to understand role, coordination, facilitation, project management, research fundraising, research (PSF), communication, and outreach. Research Benefits: simultaneous data collection and collaboration. Management Benefits: Support multi-recovery and EBM initiatives. Continue to develop. Long-term Outcome: Recovery and/or improvement of sustainable fisheries.

CONCLUSIONS		
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE

DISCUSSION	Next meeting	
CONCLUSIONS	February 22, NRB	
ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
	Tara Livingood	
OBSERVERS	Dorothy Reinhardt, Michael Schmidt	
RESOURCE PERSONS	Tara Livingood	
SPECIAL NOTES		