PUGET SOUND RECREATIONAL FISHERIES ENHANCEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MINUTES January 22, 2013

MEETING CALLED BY	Clint Muns
TYPE OF MEETING	Advisory Group
FACILITATOR	Ryan Lothrop and Steve Thiesfeld
NOTE TAKER	Colleen Desselle
ATTENDEES	David Croonquist*, Don Freeman*, Mike Gilchrist*, Dave Knutzen*, Clint Muns*, Rich Eltrich*, Matt Parnell*, David Puki*, Norman Reinhardt*, Erik Anderson*, Michael Rian*, Phil Anderson, Craig Burley, Colleen Desselle, Ryan Lothrop, Steve Thiesfeld, Dorothy Reinhardt, and Michael Schmidt

^{*} Advisory group members.

Agenda Topics				
DISCUSSION	Review Agenda			
CONCLUSIONS				
No changes suggested.				
ACTION ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE	
Proceed with meeting as	proposed	Group		

DISCUSSION	Introductions	
All persons in attendance introduced themselves.		

DISCUSSION	Election of Chair			
CONCLUSIONS				
Entertaining nominations. Clint Muns nominated Dave Knutzen. No other nominations were made. Don				
Freeman seconded. Dave accepted. Moved to close. Unanimous. Clint passed the baton and Dave				
thanked Clint for his ten years of service as chair.				
ACTION ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE	
Chair meetings until furthe		Dave Knutzen		

DISCUSSION Review Goals and Objectives (PDF)

Phil Anderson (Director) thanked and welcomed new members to the group. He explained the importance and what the goal of the group is. He thanked Clint for serving as chair and for continuing with the group. He talked about the statute, goals, and objectives and asked the group to meet with him at least once a year. He asked the group to not take his thoughts on their suggested goals and objectives as opposition, but more as food for thought. He felt the group was being aggressive in their goals in some parts, but not aggressive enough in others. There is a fair workload to complete these. Would like the group to have successes and, therefore, not set unreasonable goals. Lingcod culture is lowest priority as artificial enhancement is not going to help us. When taking on degraded habitat: degraded from what? Who? To what in question? Eel grass protection; focus on habitat where we are already investing. In increasing angler identification of rockfish by 50% by 2020, I think we can do better than that. We have developed flash card tools, etc. Descender tools - anything anglers can do to help the rockfish resource. Some simple and very effective. Tagging and release - be more aggressive. All this is education! I would love to get the license tied to COLA. Leveraging. No opposition. Leverage through partnering in kind. For the saltwater – people are tending to go to combination licenses rather than purchasing saltwater licenses. It might be worthwhile to not focus on the increase in economic benefit, unsure how you will measure it.

We will have a new vendor for our electronic licensing. Capabilities will be a great advantage. Public information group is Bruce Botka. Both of these would be good to have them meet with the group. We need to do our homework to increase under-utilized fisheries; struggle we had was with the goals. Phil

responded that this is a 2-step process to first find out what the under-utilized fish are, then to go through the process. Reporting to Commission, should start relatively soon – April-June meetings – have meetings on status and what we have agreed to. Prepare a biannual report to the legislature.

The Hunter Program has started a wonderful program which is tailor-made to include new hunters.

Dave Knutzen responded: Economics – agreement will be hard to reach. Angler days – get five of them to fish one more day. Also wanted to state he is really glad about the outreach and this should go a long way. Coming full circle, angler trips, set a limit and try to achieve. Agree economics will follow increase in fishers.

Steve Thiesfeld stated to keep in mind the question, what does this program do, and how do we interact with other programs in the agency?

CONCLUSIONS

When we get to the point of having this document completed, we will need to set up a work plan with timelines, overview, and contributions to the fisheries to get to your accomplishment. To increase license sales by 5% is pretty ambitious. When setting goals to improve marketing, remove obstacles, and build interest ensure you are picking species that you can measure. In developing five tools by 2017, use our existing agency outreach resources (e.g., sport shows [~8 we are going to]; use some sort of 3-fold brochure [they are at the sport shows anyway]; we have a new outreach and public leader coming on – keep that in mind and use that as your leverage.

Craig Burley stated that a package was developed for anglers and training people on rockfish identification, and provided logbooks – the group may be able to expand on this.

There is a possibility to increased knowledge out via brochures and videos at sport shows and through hunter/boater education classes.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
We may want to change our goals as we go along. This is a start and we do not know where it will take us. Group to bounce their ideas to either Ryan or Steve through emails so we can hit the ground running at the next meeting.	Group	Refine goals at next meeting.

DISCUSSION	October 30, 2012 Minutes (PDF)
	a word in the Glenwood Spring Hatchery Chinook Program conclusions: first salt as the data is limited. We did increase
CONCLUSIONS	
All were in agreemen	t with the changes and the incorporation of this change.
	,
DISCUSSION	June 28, 2012 Minutes (PDF)
•	
•	

DISCUSSION	Budget Status Update (PD	F)		
FY 12 expenditures through June 30, 2012. Not great detail – expenditures are down. We have a positive variance. Good thing. FY 13 plan for entire year (5 th column). FY 13 to date. Variance program of note is the Wallace yearling and Soos Creek zeros. Garrison and Hupp Springs are high. Other one is Glenwood – take from start, but is metered. Definitely over on Wallace. Lake Washington overage may come out of coordinator budget.				
CONCLUSIONS				
Also need to follow-up on whether high fees are due to personnel.				
ACTION ITEMS	·	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE	
Will find out where the problems lie and will report Steve Thiesfeld Next meeting			Next meeting	

back.			
back.			
DISCUSSION	Revenue Forecast (PDF)		
We want to focus on the b	eack page at the bottom table -	RFE-04M – we had a strong of	coho season with a
boost in the Sound. Hopefully, will show inclusion next year.			
CONCLUSIONS			
Potential cuts			
ACTION ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
	and then review whether we	Group	May/June
are on track and perhaps	revisit for possible cuts.		
DISCUISCION	15 1 (1 11 (205)		
DISCUSSION	Production Update (PDF)	. 0000 . Minter O	
	t sure if this is due to flooding in		
	r budget. Wallace – a sink hol t of the hatchery. Glenwood –		
	er jacks than previous years. E		
CONCLUSIONS		igg to fry is good. Official man	to the goal.
	it he has a proposal, but would	like to discuss it with .lim befo	re going over it
	/fiscal year. True costs are not		
	ates right now. You need to be		
	ed. Take a hard look at costs a		
provide more recent surviv	val and contribution rates for G	lenwood (2006-2007) by recov	ery year, not just
brood year.			
ACTION ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
	udget cuts with Jim. Look at	Steve Thiesfeld	
contribution/costs evaluati	on of the science.		
DISCUISCION	New Meeting		
DISCUSSION	Next Meeting	he concrethen leter probably	coround the end of
Due to the timelines coming up, the next meeting should be sooner than later, probably around the end of February or early March, but availability is limited due to North of Falcon meetings.			
CONCLUSIONS	dut availability is liftlified due to	North of Falcon meetings.	
Doodle Poll for February			
ACTION ITEMS PERSON RESPONSIBLE DEADLINE			
	and base the meeting date on	Ryan Lothrop	ASAP
the results	and base and meeting date on		
		1	