

July 2019

Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan



Washington
Department of
**FISH and
WILDLIFE**

Acknowledgements

Planning team members

Kevin Robinette, Region 1 Wildlife Program Manager
Bob Dice, Wildlife Area Manager, Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Complex
Kari Dingman, W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area Assistant Manager
David Woodall, Chief Joseph/Asotin Creek Wildlife Area Assistant Manager
Gregory Heimgartner, Water Access Manager
Thomas Schirm, Habitat Biologist
Paul Wik, Wildlife District Biologist
Jeremy Trump, Fish District Biologist
Sergeant Paul Mosman, Enforcement Program
Sara Ashiglar, Statewide Forester
David Hays, Wildlife Biologist

Mapping support

John Talmadge, GIS
Shelly Snyder, GIS
Dale Gombert, Fish Program

Plan leadership and content development

Kevin Robinette, Region 1 Wildlife Program Manager
Bob Dice, Wildlife Area Manager
Patricia Jatzak, Lands Planner
Melinda Posner, Wildlife Area Planning Section Manager
Cynthia Wilkerson, Lands Division Manager

Public affairs

Peggy Ushakoff, Public Affairs
Michelle Dunlop, Public Affairs
Madonna Luers, Public Affairs (Retired)

Cover Photos (clockwise): 4-ORanch Unit by Justin Haug, WDFW; mule deer by Susan Jensen; W.T. Wooten Blue Lake by Alan Bauer. Photo page 3: 4-0 Ranch Unit by Justin Haug, WDFW



Wildlife Area Advisory Committees

Chief Joseph/Asotin Creek Wildlife Area Advisory Committee Roster

Bob Dice, WDFW Staff Lead

Name	Representing
Megan Stewart	Asotin County Conservation District
Stan Wilson	Asotin County Sportsmen's Association
Danette Baker Studer	Washington Trails Association
Michael L. Calahan	Individual interest
Glen Landrus	Wild Sheep Foundation
Joseph D. Ford	WDFW volunteer
Bud Scott	Individual interest
Jim Ross	Individual Interest
Sam Ledgerwood	Cattlemen Representative

W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area Advisory Committee Roster

Kari Dingman, WDFW Staff Lead

Name	Representing
Terry Bruegman	Columbia Conservation District
Audra Sims	Blue Mountains State Parks
Larry Scoggin	Wenaha Game Association; Trapper's Association
Wilbur Eaton	Farmer and rancher
Doug Maxey	Adjacent landowner; Tucannon Hatchery
Jim Kirkpatrick	Adjacent landowner
Joanne Kirkpatrick	Adjacent landowner
Jason Towery	Last Resort KOA
Marvin Scoggin	Adjacent landowner
Mike Talbott	Columbia County Commissioner
Bill Dowdy	United States Forest Service
Chris Herres	Adjacent landowner
Marty Hall	Local landowner
Don Howard	Local landowner
Kris Fischer	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
Tom Schoenfelder	Washington Department of Natural Resources
Brian Burns	Tri-State Steelheaders





Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan

Kelly Susewind, Director, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

July 2019



Table of contents

- Wildlife Area Advisory Committees 2
- Wildlife area management planning overview 8
 - Introduction and agency mission..... 8
 - Wildlife area management planning framework..... 8
 - Purpose and organization of the plan..... 8
 - Statewide WDFW lands vision 9
 - Statewide planning goals 10
 - Public outreach and stakeholder involvement process..... 11
- Welcome to the Blue Mountains wildlife areas..... 12
 - Introduction 12
 - Wildlife areas vision 13
- Wildlife Area Descriptions 15
 - Blue Mountains wildlife areas information 15
 - Success stories 17
- Asotin Creek Wildlife Area 22
 - Asotin Creek Wildlife Area – *Asotin Creek Unit* 24
 - Asotin Creek Wildlife Area – *George Creek Unit*..... 28
 - Asotin Creek Wildlife Area – *Weatherly Unit*..... 31
- Chief Joseph Wildlife Area 34
 - Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – *4-0 Ranch Unit*..... 36
 - Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – *Chief Joseph Unit*..... 40
 - Chief Joseph Wildlife – *Grouse Flats Unit* 43
 - Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – *Shumaker Unit* 46
- W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area 49
 - Figure 11: W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area Vicinity 51
 - W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area – *W.T. Wooten Unit* 52
 - W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area – *McDonald Bridge Unit* 59
 - W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area – *Swegle Road Unit*..... 62
- Land ownership and management, funding, and agreements..... 65
 - Acquisition history, funding, and purpose..... 65
 - Agreements..... 65



Management setting.....	68
Administration	68
Recreation.....	73
Wildlife area goals, objectives, and monitoring	76
Physical characteristics	96
Geology and soils	96
Hydrology and watersheds	97
Climate	99
Ecological values	100
Species management.....	105
Species management overview	105
Game species overview	111
Diversity species (Non game).....	116
Fish species overview and management	119
Habitat management	132
Climate change approach	140
Impacts to wildlife area resources.....	141
References	145
Appendices.....	147
Appendix A. Species and habitat information	148
Appendix B. Weed management plan	150
Appendix C. Fire response information	155
Appendix D. Research and other studies.....	157
Appendix E. Forest management plan.....	158
Appendix F. Restoration.....	186
Appendix G. Cultural resources	188
Appendix H. Water Access Summary.....	190
Appendix I. Public Response Summary	
Appendix J. (Stand-alone document) USFWS Section Six Plan for 4-0 Ranch	



Tables

Table 1	Statewide Wildlife Area Planning Goals
Table 2	Wildlife Area Acres, Units, and Watersheds
Table 3	Restoration Projects in the Tucannon Floodplain
Table 4	W.T. Wooten Unit Recreation Facilities
Table 5	Agricultural Leases on Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas
Table 6	Grazing Permits on Blue Mountains wildlife areas
Table 7	Land Use Designations by Wildlife Area Unit
Table 8	Volunteer Opportunities on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas
Table 9	Recreational use on Blue Mountains wildlife areas
Table 10	Blue Mountains wildlife areas Goals, Objectives, and Performance Measures
Table 11	Ecological Systems of Concern on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas
Table 12	Species with Habitat Connectivity on the Wildlife Areas
Table 13	Species Conservation Status
Table 14	Percentage of forest and woodland ecological systems on Blue Mountains WLA
Table 15	Planned and potential projects, 2018-2023
Table 16	Wildfires greater than 100 acres from 1980 to 2016
Table 17	Priority Plant Species
Table 18	Rare Plants Species of the 4-0 Ranch, WDFW, 2015
Table 19	Species with Moderate-High Overall Vulnerability and High Confidence

Figures

Figure 1	Blue Mountains wildlife areas Vicinity
Figure 2	Asotin Creek Wildlife Area Vicinity
Figure 3	Asotin Creek Wildlife Area – Asotin Creek Unit
Figure 4	Asotin Creek Wildlife Area – George Creek Unit
Figure 5	Asotin Creek Wildlife Area – Weatherly Unit
Figure 6	Chief Joseph Wildlife Area Vicinity
Figure 7	Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – 4-0 Ranch Unit
Figure 8	Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – Chief Joseph Unit
Figure 9	Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – Grouse Flats Unit
Figure 10	Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – Shumaker Unit
Figure 11	W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area Vicinity
Figure 12	W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area – W.T. Wooten Unit
Figure 13	W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area – McDonald Bridge Unit
Figure 14	W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area – Swegle Road Unit
Figure 15	Salmonid Distribution in Blue Mountains Wildlife Area
Figure 16	Salmonid Distribution in Asotin Creek and Weatherly Units
Figure 17	Salmonid Distribution in George Creek
Figure 18	Salmonid Distribution in Shumaker and Chief Joseph Units
Figure 19	Salmonid Distribution in 4-0 Ranch and Grouse Flats Units
Figure 20	Salmonid Distribution in W.T. Wooten Unit
Figure 21	Salmonid Distribution in McDonald Bridge and Swegle Road Units
Figure 22	Blue Mountains wildlife areas Fire District Boundaries



Acronyms

ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	Best Management Practices
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration
CRP	Conservation Reserve Program
CREP	Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program
DAHP	Washington State Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation
DNR	Washington State Department of Natural Resources
EIA	Ecological Integrity Assessment
EIM	Ecological Integrity Monitoring
ESA	Endangered Species Act
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
NPS	National Park Service
NRCS	National Resources Conservation Service
PHS	Priority Habitats and Species
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RCO	Washington State Recreation and Conservation Office
RMEF	Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
SGCN	Species of Greatest Conservation Need
SRFB	Salmon Recovery Funding Board
SWAP	State Wildlife Action Plan
USFS	United States Forest Service
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WAAC	Wildlife Area Advisory Committee
WDFW	Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife
WHCWG	Washington Wildlife Habitat Connectivity Working Group
WLA	Wildlife Area
WWRP	Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program



Wildlife area management planning overview

Introduction and agency mission

Welcome to your fish and wildlife lands!

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) provides active management for more than 1 million acres of publicly owned land, most of which falls within 33 wildlife areas across the state (<https://wdfw.wa.gov/places-to-go/wildlife-areas>). These diverse lands contain nearly all species and habitats present in Washington. With the loss of natural habitat posing the single greatest threat to native fish and wildlife, these wildlife areas play a critical conservation role. The wildlife area management plan addresses all aspects of resource management, highlights areas for public access, education, and stewardship, and aligns with statewide conservation goals.

In addition to protecting lands and water for habitat and people, WDFW manages land to preserve Washington's natural and cultural heritage, provide access for hunting, fishing, and wildlife-related recreation, and to foster outdoor experiences and exploration throughout the state. We do this to support the species and habitats of Washington and ensure they prosper for our collective enjoyment well into the future.

An interdisciplinary team of WDFW staff members, including fish, habitat, and wildlife biologists, as well as enforcement, real estate, and management, developed the Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan along with significant public involvement. This included input from the local stakeholder-based Chief Joseph/Asotin Creek and W.T. Wooten wildlife area advisory committees, the Asotin County Lands Committee, tribes, public agencies, and interested residents.

Wildlife area management planning framework

Management of wildlife areas is guided by WDFW's mission and strategic plan, as well as by state and federal laws. Each new plan is guided by the Wildlife Area Management Planning Framework (framework), which summarizes the agency's mission, laws, policies, and approaches to management of fish and wildlife, as well as public use and recreation. The framework outlines priorities and guidance developed in each of the agency's programs – Fish, Wildlife, Habitat, and Enforcement. Readers are encouraged to review the framework in advance, or as a companion document to this wildlife area plan. The framework (<https://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/01810>) provides context for the organization and content of wildlife area plans across the state. The framework is a living document, and is updated periodically to reflect new agency initiatives, guidance, and directives.

Purpose and organization of the plan

The purpose of this management plan is to guide all management activities, including conservation and recreation, occurring on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas (made up of Asotin Creek, Chief Joseph, and W.T. Wooten wildlife areas) for the next 10 years. Management goals, objectives, and performance measures are defined in the plan and provide a clear roadmap of projects and



management actions to support statewide conservation and recreation goals. Actions in the plan are dependent on available budget. Budget reductions made during the life of this plan may delay implementation of some of the actions.

The plan is designed to be a resource for internal and external audiences, and is organized into four parts: 1) Overview of the wildlife area and associated units and success stories; 2) Goals and objectives, and performance measures for the planning area; 3) Natural environment information, wildlife species, and habitat management; and 4) Appendices which support different areas of the plan.

Statewide WDFW lands vision

The statewide vision sets the agency expectations for the future state of all Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Lands.

A Washington where fish and wildlife thrive in healthy habitats, and where people experience and enjoy our state's natural gifts for generations to come.

By actively managing lands, restoring habitats, and preserving wild places, we serve as stewards and guardians for Washington's natural places by protecting lands and water for wildlife and people.



W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area

Photo by Alan Bauer



Statewide planning goals

A complete list of goals, objectives, and performance measures specific to this wildlife area is on page 77.

Table 1: Statewide planning goals

Goal 1	Restore and protect the integrity of priority ecological systems and sites. This goal originates from the 2017-2019 WDFW Strategic Plan, Goal #1: “Conserve and protect native fish and wildlife”. Ecological integrity monitoring on priority systems and sites will be developed as part of implementation of the management plan for each individual wildlife area plan.
Goal 2	Sustain individual species through habitat and population management actions, where consistent with site purpose and funding. This goal also relates to WDFW Strategic Plan, Goal #1. Each individual wildlife area plan will provide a summary of species associated with the wildlife area and will focus on target species for habitat management actions.
Goal 3	Provide fishing, hunting, and wildlife-related recreational opportunities where consistent with Goals 1 and 2. This goal is consistent with the WDFW Strategic Plan, Goal #2: “Provide sustainable fishing, hunting, and other wildlife-related recreational and commercial experiences”. Each plan will provide a summary of recreation activities associated with the wildlife area, aiming to balance recreational activities with species and habitat protection.
Goal 4	Engage stakeholders in consistent, timely, and transparent communication regarding wildlife area management activities. This goal relates to Strategic Plan Goal #3: “Promote a healthy economy, protect community character, maintain an overall high quality of life, and deliver high-quality customer service”. As described under the public outreach section of this document, public input and involvement are key components in the development of the management plan through the advisory committee efforts and public meetings. After the plan is adopted, the management plan updates will be reviewed by the wildlife area advisory committee on a biannual basis.
Goal 5	Maintain productive and positive working relationships with local community neighbors, lessee partners, and permittees. As part of day-to-day business, wildlife area staff strives to maintain positive working relationships with grazing and agricultural lessees and the local community.
Goal 6	Hire, train, equip, and license, as necessary, wildlife area staff to meet the operation and management needs of wildlife areas. This goal is consistent with Goal #4 of the Strategic Plan: “Build an effective and efficient organization by supporting the workforce, improving business processes, and investing in technology”. Specific activities on wildlife areas include attending training and hiring qualified staff.
Goal 7	Maintain safe, highly functional, and cost-effective administration and operational facilities and equipment. This goal is consistent with WDFW Strategic Plan Goal #4. Maintenance of facilities and equipment is a key activity on wildlife areas. Annual reporting is required by WDFW and agencies that provide operations and maintenance funding, such as U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pittman-Robertson.



Public outreach and stakeholder involvement process

The agency is committed to a transparent and inclusive public outreach process for all wildlife area management plans. Under the umbrella of the statewide goals (Table 1), a customized outreach strategy was developed for this area, tailored to local and regional stakeholders, as well as local and out-of-the-area visitors and user groups.

For this plan, the public process included three elements: 1) public and advisory committee meetings; 2) development and distribution of fact sheets, meeting announcements, and news releases; and 3) solicitation of public comments through meetings, phone calls, email, targeted social media, and the WDFW website. Comments on the Final Draft Plan were solicited through the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) process. The Public Response Summary for this is included in Appendix I. Additionally, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the Nez Perce Tribe, and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation provided comments on the draft plan.



Public planning meeting for the Blue Mountains wildlife areas

Photo by Alan Bauer



Welcome to the Blue Mountains wildlife areas



Tucannon Valley, W.T. Wooten Unit
Photo by Alan Bauer

Introduction

The Blue Mountains wildlife areas are located in the southeast corner of Washington state, near the Idaho and Oregon borders. It is an area of primarily broad plateaus and steep canyons, and includes three of WDFW's 33 wildlife areas, which are owned or managed for the benefit of the public and wildlife (see Figure 1). The Blue Mountains wildlife areas are Asotin Creek and Chief Joseph (in Asotin and Garfield counties), and W.T. Wooten (in Columbia and Garfield counties, and two small units in Walla Walla County) - which altogether have 10 units. Parts of the wildlife areas are in five main river systems: Snake, Grande Ronde, Asotin Creek, Tucannon, and Walla Walla.

The wildlife areas are located east from Dayton to Clarkston, and south of Highway 12 to the Oregon border. The Blue Mountains wildlife areas have 77,240 acres owned or managed by leases or agreements. The many rivers and tributaries support fish, wildlife, and recreational opportunities.

The general region is semi-arid, and consists of open slopes, canyons, and valleys, which are comprised of upland grasslands and meadows, dry forests, and woodlands at the highest elevations. The range in elevation provides many types of habitat and recreational opportunities. Nestled



within an area of federal land and working lands, much of the wildlife areas were established to provide habitat for big game winter range, as well as habitat for fish and other wildlife, and fish and wildlife-related recreation. In addition, W.T. Wooten provides recreational fishing and camping near several man-made lakes.

Indigenous peoples inhabited the major waterways running through what is now the Blue Mountains wildlife areas for thousands of years, including the Snake, Grande Ronde, and Tucannon rivers in northeast Oregon, southeast Washington, and western Idaho. The Blue Mountains wildlife areas are part of the aboriginal range of the Nez Perce, Walla Walla, Cayuse, Umatilla, and Palouse tribes. The Nez Perce Tribe and Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation have treaty harvest rights within the subbasin. The tribes have retained the right to take fish at all “usual and accustomed” places, and to hunt, gather, and pasture livestock on open and unclaimed land. The Treaty of Walla Walla (June 9, 1855) and the Treaty with the Nez Percés (June 11, 1855), both signed at Camp Stevens, Walla Walla Valley, include language about these rights. Treaty tribes have been recognized as managers of their treaty-reserved resources, and have interest in the management decisions in the Blue Mountains wildlife areas (US. v Washington 1974). WDFW honors and respects tribal treaty reserved rights, and will take into consideration traditional hunting and gathering sites in any actions in this plan that may affect them. Communicating and coordinating with tribes is an objective of this plan.

Wildlife areas vision

The overall vision for the Blue Mountains wildlife areas is to conserve and restore the diverse ecosystems for the benefit of fish and wildlife, while providing for recreation and enjoyment of the lands.




Bighorn sheep lambs at Asotin Creek Wildlife Area

Photo by Alan Bauer




Figure 1. Blue Mountains wildlife areas vicinity



**Blue Mountains
Wildlife Areas**

- | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---|----------------------|
|  | 4-O Ranch Unit |  | McDonald Bridge Unit |
|  | Asotin Creek Unit |  | Shumaker Unit |
|  | Chief Joseph Unit |  | Swegle Road Unit |
|  | George Creek Unit |  | Weatherly Unit |
|  | Grouse Flats Unit |  | Wooten Unit |



8/5/2018



Wildlife Area Descriptions

Blue Mountains wildlife areas information

Wildlife Areas	Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek, W.T. Wooten
Acres	77,240
Acquisition Dates	1941 - 2016
Acquisition Funding	National Park Service: <i>Land and Water Conservation Fund</i> Bonneville Power Administration: <i>Mitigation Funds</i> US Fish and Wildlife Service: <i>Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Program (PR), Endangered Species Act Section 6 Program</i> Army Corps of Engineers: <i>Snake River Mitigation Account</i> Recreation and Conservation Office: <i>WA Wildlife and Recreation Program, Salmon Recovery Fund, State Bond Account</i> Donations: <i>Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, Mule Deer Foundation, Inland Northwest Wildlife Council</i> WA Dept. of Fish and Wildlife: <i>Wildlife Fund, Fisheries Fund</i>
Elevation Range	825 – 4,000 feet
Main Recreational Opportunities	Hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing, hiking and walking, photography, camping, horseback riding
Counties	Asotin, Garfield, Columbia, Walla Walla

The Blue Mountains region of southeast Washington, an area of primarily broad plateaus and steep canyons, is home to three of WDFW's 33 wildlife areas owned or managed for the benefit of the public and wildlife (see Figure 1). Collectively, three wildlife areas – Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek, and W.T. Wooten – are known as the Blue Mountain wildlife areas, and are in the southeast corner of the state, east from Dayton to Clarkston, and south of Highway 12 to the Oregon border. Together, these wildlife areas contain 77,240 acres owned or managed by leases or agreements. About 75 percent of the acreage of these wildlife areas are in Asotin County. There are also two small units west of Walla Walla, and a fishing easement on the Touchet River. The Tucannon, Snake, Grande Ronde, and Walla Walla rivers, plus Asotin Creek and the many tributaries of these stream systems support fish, wildlife, and recreational opportunities in portions of the wildlife areas.

Indigenous peoples inhabited the major waterways running through what is now the Blue Mountains wildlife areas, including the Snake, Grande Ronde, and Tucannon rivers in northeast Oregon, southeast Washington and western Idaho, for thousands of years. The Blue Mountains wildlife areas are part of the aboriginal range of the Nez Perce, Walla Walla, Cayuse, Umatilla, and



Palouse tribes. The Nez Perce Tribe and Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation have treaty harvest rights within the subbasin. The tribes have retained the right to take fish at all “usual and accustomed” places, and to hunt, gather, and pasture livestock on open and unclaimed land. The Treaty of Walla Walla (June 9, 1855) and the Treaty with the Nez Perces (June 11, 1855), both signed at Camp Stevens, Walla Walla Valley, include language about these rights. Treaty tribes have been recognized as managers of their treaty-reserved resources, and have interest in the management decisions in the Blue Mountains wildlife areas (*US. v Washington* 1974). WDFW honors and respects tribal treaty reserved rights, and will take into consideration traditional hunting and gathering sites in any actions in this plan that may affect them. Communicating and coordinating with tribes is an objective of this plan.

The rugged and remote landscape is a draw to many visitors who come to fish, hunt, and enjoy the scenery. Recreational activities are detailed on page 72 as well as in the Unit Descriptions section. Besides the typical activities, visitors can enjoy berry and mushroom gathering, camping, water play, boating, learning about the native plant communities and ecosystems, viewing wildlife, and general outdoor recreation.

Table 2: Blue Mountains wildlife areas - acres, units, watersheds, and counties

Wildlife Area	Acres	Units	Watersheds	Counties
Asotin Creek	35,984	Asotin Creek George Creek Weatherly	Middle Snake	Asotin, Garfield
Chief Joseph	24,596	4-0 Ranch Chief Joseph Grouse Flats Shumaker	Middle Snake	Asotin, Garfield
W.T. Wooten	16,660	W.T. Wooten McDonald Bridge Swegle Road	Middle Snake Walla Walla	Columbia, Garfield, Walla Walla

Following the success stories is a short description of each wildlife area along with detailed descriptions of each unit.



Success stories

Management actions improve forest on the Grouse Flats Unit

Forest ecosystems in the Blue Mountains wildlife areas were historically maintained by wildfire disturbance occurring every 16-20 years on average. The fires removed excess fuels, reduced the number of trees (which promoted healthier individual tree growth), stimulated understory shrubs and grasses, and created snags used by a variety of wildlife. Due to fire suppression efforts over the last century, as well as historic logging of large, fire resilient trees prior to WDFW ownership, the Grouse Flats Unit grew overstocked with small trees of species less able to withstand wildfire. The dense conditions slowed individual tree growth and made trees more susceptible to attack by insects and diseases. Trees, particularly Douglas-fir, were infected with a pathogen called dwarf mistletoe. The crowded forest, with its dense ladder fuels and canopy, was extremely vulnerable to catastrophic wildfire. The 2015 Grizzly Complex fire barely missed the Grouse Flats Unit, and heightened concern about future fires.



Grouse Flats logging slash pile burn
Photo by WDFW

Prompted by these concerns, WDFW conducted an active forest management project on 300 acres at Grouse Flats in 2017.

Accomplishments from this project include:

Forest composition. Stocking levels were returned to the historic range of variability and mistletoe infestations decreased significantly. The species composition of remaining trees favors fire-resilient species such as ponderosa pine and larch. Large diameter trees were left on site as much as possible.

Fuel reduction. Ladder fuels and dense thickets of trees were removed to the extent possible. Approximately two million board feet and 3,000 tons of pulp wood were removed, and 900 tons were burned in slash piles. Risk of catastrophic wildfire on the wildlife area is now greatly reduced because of this project, and low-intensity prescribed fire is now feasible for future maintenance.

Improved wildlife habitat. Openings in the forest canopy allowed for understory grasses and shrubs to grow, increasing forage for big game species. Dense areas were left to provide cover for species and riparian protection. Snags were left as much as possible to provide roosting, nesting, and forage for wildlife.



Income for local community and wildlife area. The timber harvest was competitively bid and awarded to a local mill and logging operator. The mill benefitted from the acquired timber, the public benefitted from taxes derived off harvested timber, and the local operator benefited from the employment opportunity. Income derived from the timber sale by WDFW will be put directly back into forest management projects in the Blue Mountains wildlife areas and other forest management projects across the state.

Improved access to the Grouse Flats Unit. As part of the timber sale, the easement into the unit was graded and rocked. It went from being a deeply rutted, high-clearance road to being accessible by any passenger vehicle.

These forest management activities have resulted in healthier, more fire-resistant forest, with benefits to the local community and wildlife.



Log deck from Grouse Flats thinning
Photo by WDFW

Tucannon floodplain improvements

Each year, thousands of visitors come to the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area in Columbia County to fish the stocked lakes and camp in the 11 campgrounds. About 17 miles of the Tucannon River flows through the wildlife area. However, some of the recreational development and use reduced the functionality of the river floodplain. In 2012, WDFW developed a floodplain management plan to improve conditions within the Tucannon River floodplain. With state capital funding in the 2013-2015 biennium, the agency removed two campgrounds that were in the floodplain and provided three new campgrounds sited outside of the floodplain.



The floodplain management work included improving water quality and quantity at Rainbow Lake. Rainbow Lake is one of the popular eight man-made lakes stocked with rainbow trout that were created to provide for public fishing. It also provides a water supply for the Tucannon Fish Hatchery. In the 2015-2017 biennium, WDFW received funding to improve Rainbow Lake, which had degraded and filled in with sediment over the years. Sedimentation reduced the recreational fishing potential, and reduced the amount of available water for the hatchery, as well as the available water to stock hatchery-raised rainbow trout to supply the public fishery.

The goals of the Rainbow Lake project were to move the footprint of the lake out of the floodplain, improve habitat, create more fishing opportunities, make the lake deeper, and increase the amount of water available to the hatchery during winter months. The Bonneville Power Administration, through the Lower Snake River Compensation Plan, provided significant funds to help with the Rainbow Lake project because of the linkage between the lake and the Tucannon Fish Hatchery.



Rainbow Lake restoration
Photo by Ray Mosberger

WDFW worked with Anchor QEA, an environmental consulting firm, to design the new lake footprint.

The first phase of the project was constructed in summer 2017, which included dredging a new lake footprint, constructing a sediment basin, and installing a buried pipeline to move water the river to the lake in place of the previous open water channel. The new lake footprint is longer and narrower than the original lake footprint, but has the same overall lake surface area.

The second phase of the project was completed in fall 2018. A new levee was constructed on the west side of the lake, as well as new wetlands between the new lake footprint and the Tucannon River. The dam on the north end of the lake was reinforced to reduce leakage and bring the dam into compliance with the Department of Ecology's Dam Safety specifications. This project will reduce the severity of floods, improve habitat for salmon, and improve safety of the dam, while maintaining or enhancing recreational opportunities.



Controlling noxious weeds in the Blue Mountains

Blue Mountains wildlife areas staff have a proven record of accomplishment in the battle against noxious weeds. Understanding that weeds know no boundaries, staff have worked successfully in controlling the spread and infiltration of weeds further into public lands, private lands, and valuable wildlife habitats.



Spraying weeds at Chief Joseph Wildlife Area
Photo WDFW

Weed control requires partnerships and collaborations to be successful. WDFW works directly with government agencies such as county weed boards, Washington State Department of Agriculture, US Forest Service, and the Bureau of Land Management, as well as non-government agencies such as Wallowa Resources, Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation (RMEF), the Mule Deer foundation, National Wild Turkey Federation, and private landowners. These partnerships allow WDFW staff to join forces and combine resources, whether it be expertise, data sharing, equipment, or personnel. Best Management Practices are implemented, using the latest science and management principles following five key tenants of

weed control: prevention first, then mechanical, cultural, chemical or biological control.

Over the years, dedicated RMEF volunteers have contributed time and resources to help with weed control, and WDFW receives grant money for weed control projects. The grants, which have ranged from \$20,000 to \$30,000 annually, enable the wildlife area to conduct aerial weed control operations, mainly for suppression of starthistle, a noxious weed that is a prevalent threat in this area. RMEF has contributed over \$196,000 since 2006 for helicopter aerial spraying to attack yellow starthistle in the steep rugged terrain that encompasses most of this county. This has enabled wildlife area staff to control yellow starthistle on important range areas inhabited by elk. Without this funding, big-game winter ranges on all Blue Mountain wildlife areas would be infested with noxious weeds.



Spraying weeds at Chief Joseph Wildlife Area
Photo by WDFW



WDFW matches contributions with ground crews that control weeds on the more accessible areas, including public parking areas and established wildlife food plot fields, and spraying or mowing restored native plant fields and other ecologically sensitive areas. Collectively, approximately 12,000 acres have been treated aerially on big-game winter range.

The goals of weed control are to maintain or improve habitat for fish and wildlife, provide good stewardship, protect adjacent private lands, and meet legal obligations. Spraying is planned and managed to minimize impacts to native herbaceous plants, such as forbs and flowers, which are important components of the ecosystem, as well as potential forage for wildlife and native pollinators. The RMEF support has been invaluable in helping control weeds and maintain wildlife habitat.

Agency benefits from long-term partnership with Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation

Volunteers from the Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation (RMEF) have a long history of working on the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area. The annual work party held in early May each year is the longest continuously held RMEF volunteer event in the state. Since 2004, this work party draws RMEF members from all over Washington. Projects have included installation of wildlife guzzlers, enhancements of springs, improvements of water troughs, removal of fence, and tree thinning work. The majority of the work has taken place at Smoothing Iron Ridge. Some fence removal work has also occurred at the 4-0 Ranch Unit and, early on, tree and shrub planting projects were completed along Joseph Creek on the Chief Joseph Wildlife Area. The agency, wildlife, and the public all benefit from the efforts of these volunteers.



RMEF volunteer at work
Photo WDFW



Asotin Creek Wildlife Area

The vision for Asotin Creek Wildlife Area is to protect native grasslands and riparian habitats and big game winter range, while providing recreation and economic opportunities consistent with healthy habitat management.

The Asotin Creek Wildlife Area consists of the Asotin Creek, George Creek, and Weatherly units (Figure 2). It is located in Asotin County, southwest of the city of Asotin. The wildlife area was originally created in 1962 with 2,468 acres in the Asotin Creek unit, and by 1988 the wildlife area acreage was up to 10,290. Additional acquisitions included the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Snake River Mitigation purchases in the early 1990s, additional lands with cooperative funding from the Bonneville Power Administration and Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, and lands leased from the Department of Natural Resources. Elevations range from 1,300 feet on Pintler Creek to about 4,000 feet on Smoothing Iron Ridge. Asotin Creek, George Creek, and their many tributaries flow through the wildlife areas and into the Snake River at the city of Asotin.


This wildlife area is part of the Asotin County Conservation District's geomorphic assessment and conceptual habitat restoration plan for Asotin, Alpowa, Couse, and Tenmile watersheds. The results of this work will be beneficial to the ongoing management and restoration of the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area. This work is part of an overall effort within the Snake River Salmon Recovery Region to develop watershed-based management plans that prioritize restoration projects that will be of the most benefit to the recovery of Endangered Species Act-listed salmon, steelhead, and bull trout plus other species of management concern. The ultimate goal is on the ground restoration of the study area for the benefit of steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*), bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), Pacific lamprey (*Entosphenus tridentatus*), Washington State Species of Concern, and for the communities and landowners of southeast Washington and beyond (Bennett, et al 2018).

During 2013 - 2014, WDFW conducted a GIS exercise which identified potential "Ridge Top Prairie" habitats in the Blue Mountains. Though not complete or conclusive, this exercise was a first step in identifying these unique remnant native habitats with diverse plants. With very limited sampling, two or possibly three plant species that are new to science have been located in the vicinity of the wildlife area.

Abundant wildlife including cougar, mule deer, whitetail deer, bear, elk, eagles, songbirds, bighorn sheep, small mammals, butterflies, reptiles, and amphibians reside here. The wildlife area provides refuge and protects habitat for game and non-game species, maintains native grasslands, and preserves biological diversity of the area. WDFW takes an integrated, ecosystem approach to wildlife area management, taking into consideration the needs of species, unique features, and opportunities for visitors to enjoy what the wildlife area offers, and the interests of the local ranching and agricultural communities.



Figure 2: Asotin Creek Wildlife Area Vicinity



Asotin Creek Wildlife Area – Asotin Creek Unit

Acres	20,230
Acquisition Date	1962 - 2014
Acquisition Funding	Army Corps of Engineers - <i>Snake River Mitigation Account</i> ; WA Recreation and Conservation Office - <i>WA Wildlife and Recreation Program</i> ; US Fish and Wildlife Service - <i>Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Program, Endangered Species Act Section 6 Program</i> ; Bonneville Power Administration – <i>Mitigation Funds</i> ; Donations: <i>Inland Northwest Wildlife Council, Mule Deer Foundation, Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation</i>
Purpose of Funding	To protect big game winter range and calving grounds, as well as to protect threatened salmonid species and their habitat
Elevation Range	2,200 – 4,000 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing, hiking, horseback riding, mountain bike riding, target shooting
Site Access	West of Asotin on Asotin Creek Road



Bighorn sheep lambs, Asotin Creek
Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The Asotin Creek Unit is located 16 miles southwest of the city of Asotin (see Figure 3). The area includes portions of the north and south forks of Asotin Creek, Charley Creek, and Lick Creek drainages. The Weatherly Unit abuts this unit on the west side. Most of the acreage is deeded, and about 5,464 acres are leased from the Department of Natural Resources. The Smoothing Iron Ridge on this unit and Rockpile Creek (George Creek Unit) were purchased at the same time in 2003 from the Schlee family using funding primarily from BPA.

The Asotin Creek subbasin is recognized as a “usual and accustomed” use area of the Nez Perce Tribe as stated in the treaty of 1855. The subbasin provides opportunities for fishing, hunting, and gathering by tribal members, and although much of the land is owned by private or public agencies, the Nez Perce still retain an active interest in the functional resources of the watershed.

The Asotin Creek Unit is considered a critical watershed for salmonids such as steelhead, bull trout, and spring chinook. The State of Washington has designated the Asotin Creek drainage as a wild steelhead refuge (Mayer and Schuck 2004). Spalding’s catchfly (*silene spaldingii*), a threatened status plant, was documented on the wildlife area in 2008 (Gray 2008).

In this arid landscape, riparian areas are one of the most important wildlife habitat areas, and host an abundance and diversity of fish and wildlife species. They are of high value for fish and wildlife, as well as for recreation and enjoyment of the natural scenery, and are protected on the wildlife area. Additionally, protection and restoration of remnant native prairie habitats is a high priority in the region, as is expanding native prairie areas.

The unit provides for agricultural leases and grazing permits. Agriculture is used as a tool that provides multiple benefits for wildlife, habitat, and the local economy. As of 2019, about 460 acres of agricultural land are enrolled in the federal Conservation Reserve Program, and about 1,100 acres are being grazed. Grazing is managed under a plan specific to each permit, and includes protective measures, wolf-related responsibilities, and a monitoring plan to measure compliance with the permit conditions.

The area also offers many opportunities for recreation. Deer, elk, turkey, bear, quail, chukar, and grouse provide a variety of hunting and wildlife viewing opportunities for hunters and nature enthusiasts. A target shooting range is located on the South Fork Road. Horseback riders, hikers, and bird watchers commonly make use of trails. Fishing opportunities for gamefish are limited within the Asotin Creek Unit, with selective gear rules in place to minimize impacts to ESA-listed steelhead and bull trout.

Visitors can access the unit from multiple parking and access points, including one on Smoothing Iron Road. Please note that roads on the map marked “Administrative Use Only” are closed to motorized vehicles (except for administrative purposes), but are open for non-motorized recreation unless otherwise posted.




Primary management objectives for Asotin Creek Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat by 2024 (1.B).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding's catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) by 2024 (1.C).
- Develop plan to monitor and conserve federally threatened Spalding's catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) (1.D).
- Monitor Spalding's catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) in areas where grazing occurs and in future locations where it is found (1.E).
- Implement the Forest Management Plan (Commercial thin & non-commercial thin (2021), and prescribed burn) (1.J).
- Conduct Fish Passage projects at Lick Creek and coordinate with USFS on the culvert at Sourdough gulch (2.A).
- Cultivate and plant several fields at Smoothing Iron Ridge in lure crops for elk to keep them on the wildlife area and off private property (3.F).
- Initiate controlled burning projects to enhance forage for keeping elk on wildlife area land and off private property (3.G).
- Continue fish monitoring/tagging efforts (steelhead and bull trout) (3.L).
- As per agreement with Asotin County, maintain motorized closure at Asotin Creek (Dec 1-April 1) annually (4.B).
- Improve and maintain trails on North and South Fork of Asotin Creek annually (7.B).
- Identify locations to develop campgrounds and funding sources to support them. Develop Asotin Creek Campground by 2020 (7.C).
- Improve shooting range conditions for humans, wildlife, and habitat; address human safety and lead abatement at current sites by 2020 (7.E).
- Implement and monitor the grazing permits (9.C).



Figure 3: Asotin Creek Wildlife Area - Asotin Creek Unit



Asotin Creek Wildlife Area – George Creek Unit

Acres	12,494
Acquisition Date	1991 – 2012
Acquisition Funding	Army Corps of Engineers - <i>Snake River Mitigation Account</i> ; WA Recreation and Conservation Office - <i>WA Wildlife and Recreation Program</i> ; US Fish and Wildlife Service - <i>Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Program, Endangered Species Act Section 6 Program</i> Bonneville Power Administration – <i>Mitigation Funds</i>
Purpose of Funding	Protection of high quality shrubsteppe habitats for sharp-tailed grouse
Elevation Range	1,300 – 2,600 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing, hiking, horseback riding, mountain bike riding
Site Access	Asotin Creek Road to Cloverland Grade Road or Meyers Ridge Road



George Creek Unit
Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The George Creek Unit is located in Asotin County about six miles southwest of the city of Asotin (see Figure 4). This area is comprised of steep rocky canyons with riparian areas in the canyon bottoms. This unit was acquired beginning in 1991 for the high quality shrubsteppe habitats of sharp-tailed grouse and also for mule deer habitat. Rockpile Creek and Smoothing Iron Ridge (Asotin Creek Unit) were purchased at the same time in 2003 from the Schlee family using funding primarily from BPA.

George Creek forms the largest subbasin within the Asotin Creek watershed. Most years, the creek has surface flow for the majority of the year. There may be some drought years where flows are limited and access is blocked or minimized by low flows. Steelhead enter George Creek and Pintler Creek, tributaries to Asotin Creek, to spawn, but juveniles rear there year round. The upper portion of George Creek appears to be suitable for bull trout, although none are known to spawn in this drainage.

The riparian area along the creeks are important wildlife habitat areas, with an abundance and diversity of fish and wildlife species. They are of high value for fish and wildlife, as well as for recreation and enjoyment of the natural scenery, and are protected on the wildlife area. In 2014, a stream improvement project funded by the Salmon Recovery Funding Board was conducted in George Creek within the wildlife area. The project focused on stabilizing and improving the George Creek stream channel on WDFW property. Placement of large wood, engineered log jams, and tree and shrub plantings were done to enhance the stream channel for the benefit of salmonids.

As well as protecting and enhancing riparian habitat, protection, restoration, and expansion of remnant native prairie habitats are a high priority in the region.

The unit also provides for agricultural leases and grazing permits. Agriculture is used as a tool that provides multiple benefits for wildlife, habitat, and the local economy. In 2019, about 560 acres are in production, and another 1,500 are enrolled in the federal Conservation Reserve Program.


Hunting, fishing, and wildlife viewing are popular on this unit. Please note that roads on the map marked “Administrative Use Only” are closed to motorized vehicles (except for administrative purposes), but are open for non-motorized recreation unless otherwise posted.

Primary management objectives – all units including George Creek Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat by 2024 (1.B).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding’s catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) by 2024. Develop management plan for areas where rare plants are found, including adaptive measures (1.C).
- Control noxious weeds including A-list Mediterranean sage and yellow starthistle (1.I).



Figure 4: Asotin Creek Wildlife Area – George Creek Unit



Asotin Creek Wildlife Area - *Weatherly Unit*

Acres	3,260
Acquisition Date	1990 - 2000
Acquisition Funding	WA Recreation and Conservation Office - <i>WA Wildlife and Recreation Program</i>
Purpose of Funding	Provide winter range for elk
Elevation Range	2,600 – 4,000 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Hunting, wildlife viewing, hiking
Site Access	From Pomeroy, off Iron Springs and Fitzgerald roads



Weatherly Unit
Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The Weatherly Unit straddles the Asotin-Garfield county line, due west of the Asotin Creek Unit (see Figure 5). It was originally purchased from the Weatherly family as critical habitat for big game, and provides winter range for elk and other wildlife. There are historic sites on the wildlife area including a pioneer school and a few deteriorated homestead buildings. An elk fence runs along the northern border of this unit to keep elk off of private property. There is one small agricultural lease for about 58 acres.


Recreational use is fairly limited here. However, archery hunters can harvest antlerless elk without a special permit at this GMU, the only place where this can be done in the Blue Mountains. Please note that roads on the map marked “Administrative Use Only” are closed to motorized vehicles (except for administrative purposes), but are open for non-motorized recreation unless otherwise posted.

Primary management objectives – all units including Weatherly Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat by 2024 (1.B).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding’s catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) by 2024 (1.C).
- Implement the Forest Management Plan - Commercial thin & non-commercial thin (2020), and prescribed burn (TBD) (1.J).



Figure 5: Asotin Creek Wildlife Area - Weatherly Unit



Chief Joseph Wildlife Area

The vision for the Chief Joseph Wildlife Area is to protect native range and forest habitats, cultural resources, and big game winter range, while offering excellent hunting opportunities and world-class fisheries on the Snake and Grande Ronde rivers.

The wildlife area is located in the southeast corner of the state in Asotin and Garfield counties, and is about 30 miles south of the city of Asotin. It includes four units: 4-0 Ranch, Chief Joseph, Grouse Flats, and Shumaker (see Figure 6). Elevations range from 825 feet along Joseph Creek up to around 4,000 feet. Part of the eastern border of the wildlife area is along the Snake River, and three of the units border the Grande Ronde River.



Bullock's oriole
Photo by Alan Bauer

The main acquisition was in 1974 for enhancing bighorn sheep, mule deer, and upland game bird populations. The acquisition of the newest property, the 4-0 Ranch, was to protect and conserve the relatively intact ecosystem, historic sites, and protect endangered species, including federally-listed bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), Snake River steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), and Snake River fall chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). The Snake and Grande Ronde rivers provide habitat for chinook salmon, summer steelhead, and bull trout, though high water temperatures can affect water quality and influence fish presence and survival, particularly for bull trout.


This section describes each of the four units. Proposed actions that are unique for each unit are detailed at the bottom of the section. All actions can be found in the Goals and Objectives section on page 77.



Heller Bar
Photo by Alan Bauer



Figure 6: Chief Joseph Wildlife Area Vicinity



Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – 4-0 Ranch Unit

Acres	10,451
Acquisition Dates	2012 - 2016
Acquisition Funding	WA Recreation and Conservation Office - <i>WA Wildlife and Recreation Program</i> , US Fish and Wildlife Service - <i>Endangered Species Act Section 6 Program</i>
Purpose of Funding	Conservation of a diversity of high-quality habitat types, state and federally classified fish and wildlife species, and numerous plant species
Elevation Range	1,400 – 3,500 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing, hiking, camping, horseback riding
Site Access	South of Asotin along the Grande Ronde Road



Grande Ronde River
Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The 10,451 acres of the 4-0 Ranch Unit were acquired in five phases from 2011 through 2016. The unit is south of the city of Asotin, west of Highway 129 along the Grande Ronde Road on the border with Oregon. The unit includes 10 miles of river and creek habitat, with parts of the Wenatchee, Cougar, Grouse, and Medicine Creek drainages, tributaries, and shorelines of the Grande Ronde River, a tributary of the Snake River. The creek that runs through the property appears as Wenatchee and Menatchee on different maps. In this plan it will be referred to as Wenatchee, the locally used name (see Figure 7).

The land was acquired with funding from the USFWS's Endangered Species Act (ESA) Section Six Program to protect and conserve the relatively intact ecosystem, historic sites, and protect endangered species, including federally-listed bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), Snake River steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), and Snake River fall chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). The Grande Ronde River provides fall chinook spawning habitat, and a migration route and winter rearing habitat for ESA-listed spring chinook that spawn and rear further upstream and in the Wenaha Basin. A management plan is required for the lands acquired with federal funds to protect endangered species, and it is found in Appendix J to this plan. The unit also supports other fish such as redband rainbow trout, whitefish, and many other fish and aquatic species. Many other wildlife species inhabit the area, including cougar and black bear, golden eagle and northern goshawk, and a diversity of reptiles, invertebrates, and neotropical migrant birds. Appendix J is a stand-alone document (WDFW 2019).

The lands of the 4-0 Ranch Unit are made up of high-quality riparian habitat, native grasslands, ponderosa pine woodlands, wildflower meadows, curl-leaf mountain mahogany stands, and talus slopes and cliffs. This area provides important winter range for elk and mule deer, and year-round habitat for bighorn sheep.

Rare plants have also been identified on this unit. A survey conducted in 2015 on the 4-0 Ranch Unit documented eight rare plants: Cusick's milk-vetch (*Astragalus cusickii* var. *cusickii*); Sagebrush lily (*Calochortus macrocarpum* var. *maculosus*); Sheldon's sedge (*Carex sheldonii*); Smooth-leaved gilia (*Navarettia capillaris*); Blue Mountain penstemon (*Penstemon pennellianus*); Wax currant (*Ribes cereum* var. *colubrinum*); Idaho gooseberry (*Ribes oxyacanthoides* var. *irriguum*); and Prairie cordgrass (*Spartina pectinata*) (Beck 2015).



4-0 Ranch flowers

Photo by Justin Haug, WDFW



The unit, a former working ranch, still provides local economic opportunities for agricultural leases and grazing permits. As of 2019, about 732 acres are in an agricultural lease, and about 8,500 acres are being grazed. Livestock grazing must be managed so that it does not impair the ecological integrity of the land. Developed in cooperation with the operator, all grazing is managed under a plan specific to each permit, and includes protective measures, wolf-related responsibilities, and a monitoring plan to measure compliance with the permit conditions.

Visitors to the unit enjoy the rich landscape, wildlife viewing, nature enjoyment, hunting, and fishing. Shed antler collecting is a very popular activity, but can put stress on wintering elk. Seasonal closures on the wildlife area are sometimes necessary to protect elk. Deer and elk hunting on the 4-0 Ranch Unit are managed as a “Quality Hunt” opportunity, and the number of tags allotted for the area is limited. For all species other than deer and elk, hunting seasons and fishing access are consistent with general season regulations and management needs.


In addition, the new Autrey campground, completed in 2018 with assistance of the Back Country Horsemen, provides a camping location and vault toilet for recreating visitors. Please note that roads on the map marked “Administrative Use Only” are closed to motorized vehicles (except for administrative purposes), but are open for non-motorized recreation unless otherwise posted.

Primary management objectives specific to the 4-0 Ranch Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat by 2024 (1.B).
- Manage the 4-0 Ranch consistent with the USFWS ESA Section Six Plan (1.K).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding’s catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) by 2024 (1.C). Monitor Spalding’s catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) in areas where grazing occurs and in future locations where it is found (1.E).
- Implement the Forest Management Plan - Commercial thin & non-commercial thin (2022), and prescribed burn (TBD) (1.J).
- Biennially review the status of the special deer and elk hunt on the 4-0 Ranch and identify the potential for increased deer and elk hunting (6.B).
- Develop a plan to create trails on the 4-0 Ranch Unit (to eventually connect to existing Forest Service trails, such as on Wenatchee Creek), by 2020 (7.A).
- Develop an interpretive site and/or signage on the 4-0 Ranch by 2022 (7.G).
- Develop plan for identifying and promoting non-consumptive recreational uses compatible with fish and wildlife, such as winter wildlife viewing, hiking, mountain biking, horseback riding, especially on the 4-0 Ranch by 2024 (7.H).
- Implement and monitor the grazing permits (9.C).



Figure 7: Chief Joseph Wildlife Area - 4-0 Ranch Unit



Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – *Chief Joseph Unit*

Acres	10,884
Acquisition Date	1973, 1991
Acquisition Funding	National Park Service - <i>Land and Water Conservation Fund</i> ; Army Corps of Engineers - <i>Snake River Mitigation Account</i> ; WA Recreation and Conservation Office - <i>State Bond Account</i> ; US Fish and Wildlife Service - <i>Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Program</i>
Purpose of Funding	To enhance bighorn sheep, mule deer, and upland game bird populations.
Elevation	825 – 2,990 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing, hiking, horseback riding, boat launching
Site Access	South of Asotin along Snake River Road



Joseph Creek
Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The Chief Joseph Unit is located in the southeast corner of Washington between the Snake and Grande Ronde rivers, straddling Joseph Creek. The unit is south of the city of Asotin, and is accessed from Snake River Road (see Figure 8). The first land acquisition was in 1973, and two more parcels were added in 1991, bringing the total to 10,884 acres. About 2,100 acres is Bureau of Land Management acreage managed for natural resources by WDFW. The unit was originally purchased to enhance bighorn sheep, mule deer, and upland game bird populations.

In the early 1900s, the area was used for domestic sheep, then later shifted to cow and horse operations. Cropland was planted in grain, hay, or alfalfa. Water collection ponds that were created for livestock watering when the Chief Joseph Unit was in private ownership have since become an important resource for the elk and other wildlife in this relatively dry environment. Over the years, these ponds had become filled with silt and their holding capacity has greatly diminished. In fall 2013, Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation grant funds were used to clean out the ponds to improve water sources for wildlife.

Since WDFW acquisition, bighorn sheep have been reintroduced, along with turkey and pheasant. Large populations of California quail exist, along with blue and ruffed grouse, Hungarian partridge, and chukar. The diversity of wildlife found on this unit affords a variety of hunting, fishing, and wildlife viewing opportunities for hunters and nature enthusiasts. Horseback riders, hikers, and bird watchers commonly make use of trails, and over 100 species of birds have been identified. A remnant population of mountain quail still exists on this wildlife area. The Joseph Creek watershed is home to steelhead and bull trout, both federally listed as threatened and managed as state species of concern. This area provides valuable fishing access, especially during the steelhead fishing season along the Grande Ronde and Snake rivers. This world-class fishery - most of which is catch and release - is a destination for anglers. Heller Bar provides primitive camping for those who come to boat, fish, and enjoy the scenery.


Please note that roads on the map marked “Administrative Use Only” are closed to motorized vehicles (except for administrative purposes), but are open for non-motorized recreation unless otherwise posted.

Primary management objectives specific to Chief Joseph Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and by 2024 develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat (1.B).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding’s catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) by 2024 (1.C).
- Control noxious weeds including A-list Mediterranean sage and yellow starthistle (1.I).
- Manage recreational use at Heller Bar site and increase compliance with rules by 2020. Complete planned expansion of boat ramp (7.I).
- Make basic improvements on Couse Creek boat ramp by 2019 and plan for future improvements. (7.J).



Figure 8: Chief Joseph Wildlife Area - Chief Joseph Unit



Chief Joseph Wildlife – *Grouse Flats Unit*

Acres	640
Acquisition Date	1967
Acquisition Funding	US Fish and Wildlife Service – <i>Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Program</i>
Purpose of Funding	To reduce elk damage complaints and establish a large continuous wildlife area
Elevation	3,600 – 4,146 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Hunting, wildlife viewing, hiking, horseback riding, mountain bike riding
Site Access	South of Asotin off Sheep Creek Road



Grouse Flats
Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The 640-acre Grouse Flats Unit is located in Garfield County about 65 miles southwest of the city of Asotin, accessed from Sheep Creek Area Road (see Figure 9). It was acquired in 1967 with the intent to reduce elk damage and establish a large continuous wildlife area. No subsequent land acquisitions have occurred since the initial purchase.

Past land practices involved clearing trees to grow hay and grain crops on approximately 275 acres. Two of the smaller clearings have since returned to natural conifer cover, reducing the area farmed in 2019 to about 180 acres. Timber surrounding the cropland was logged in the 1950s, and later 2,000 pines were planted along the county road in an attempt to screen feeding wildlife from human disturbance.

The open meadows of the wildlife area are currently enrolled in a sharecrop agreement. The lessee is responsible for weed control and fertilization which improves the forage for wildlife. For the benefit of wildlife, small ponds were developed to increase water availability, and salt is put out annually.

The wildlife area supports elk, white-tailed deer, mule deer, turkey, ruffed grouse, blue grouse, bear, and cougar, in addition to a multitude of non-game wildlife species.



Blue grouse
Photo by Alan Bauer


Grouse Flats provides hunters and campers a variety of hunting, outdoor recreation, and wildlife viewing opportunities. Please note that roads on the map marked “Administrative Use Only” are closed to motorized vehicles (except for administrative purposes), but are open for non-motorized recreation unless otherwise posted.

Primary management objectives for all units including Grouse Flats Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat by 2024(1.B).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding’s catchfly (*Silene spaldingii*) by 2024 (1.C).
- Implement the Forest Management Plan - Prescribed burn (TBD) (1.J).



Figure 9: Chief Joseph Wildlife Area - *Grouse Flats Unit*



Chief Joseph Wildlife Area – *Shumaker Unit*

Acres	2,620
Acquisition Date	1973 – 1991
Acquisition Funding	National Park Service – <i>Land and Water Conservation Fund</i> ; Army Corps of Engineers – <i>Snake River Mitigation Account</i> ; WA Recreation and Conservation Office - <i>State Bond Account</i>
Purpose of Funding	Mitigation for the Snake River dams
Elevation	850 – 3,620 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing, hiking, horseback riding
Site Access	South of Anatone to Shumaker Road



Shumaker Unit access point

Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The Shumaker Unit is located in the southeast corner of Washington along the Grande Ronde River, accessed from the Shumaker Road near Anatone (see Figure 10). Some of the land was acquired in the 1990s with the use of mitigation funds from the impacts of Snake River dams.

As of 2019, about 420 acres are being grazed under a permit. Grazing is managed under a plan specific to each permit, and includes protective measures, wolf-related responsibilities, and a monitoring plan to measure compliance with the permit conditions.

The rugged grassland canyons support populations of deer, elk, bighorn sheep, upland birds, raptors, and many other species. The Grande Ronde River is home to chinook salmon, steelhead, and bull trout, all of which are federally listed as threatened and managed as state species of concern.



Shumaker Unit - Eastern Kingbird
Photo by Alan Bauer


The area is remote, and is a popular destination with both anglers and hunters in the fall. Please note that roads on the map marked “Administrative Use Only” are closed to motorized vehicles (except for administrative purposes), but are open for non-motorized recreation unless otherwise posted.

Primary management objectives for all units including Shumaker Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat by 2024 (1.B).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding’s catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) by 2024 (1.C).
- Control noxious weeds including yellow starthistle (1.I).
- Implement and monitoring the grazing permit (9.C).



Figure 10: Chief Joseph Wildlife Area - Shumaker Unit



W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area

The vision for the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area is to restore habitat for Endangered Species Act-listed fish and protect big game winter range, while offering quality outdoor education and recreation opportunities, such as fishing, camping, and hunting.



Watson Lake, W.T. Wooten Unit
Photo by Alan Bauer

The wildlife area is located in the southeast corner of the state south of Pomeroy in Columbia, Garfield, and Walla Walla counties, with two small unconnected units west of Walla Walla. The W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area includes three units: W.T. Wooten, McDonald Bridge, and Swegle Road (see Figure 11).

The W.T. Wooten is the main unit, and represents over 98 percent of the wildlife area acreage. The main acquisition was in the early 1940s for big game winter range. The McDonald Bridge and Swegle Road units are on the Walla Walla River, and were acquired primarily for fishing access, and also support some hunting, and wildlife viewing.

The wildlife area is heavily used seasonally for camping, fishing, hunting, horseback riding, wildlife viewing, and nature enjoyment.




This section describes each of the three units. Proposed actions that are unique for each unit are detailed at the bottom of the section. All actions can be found in the Goals and Objectives section on page 77.



Trout fishing at Spring Lake, W.T. Wooten Unit
Photo by Melinda Posner



Figure 11: W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area Vicinity



W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area – *W.T. Wooten Unit*

Acres	16,404
Acquisition Date	1940 - 2009
Acquisition Funding	Army Corps of Engineers - <i>Snake River Mitigation Account</i> ; WA Recreation and Conservation Office - <i>WA Wildlife and Recreation Program</i> ; US Fish and Wildlife Service – <i>Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Program</i>
Purpose of Funding	To minimize conflicts between wildlife and livestock and provide land for the exclusive use of wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts
Elevation	1,800 – 4,100 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Fishing, camping, hunting, wildlife viewing, camping, hiking, horseback riding, target shooting
Site Access	Tucannon River Road off of Hwy 12



Spring Lake, W.T. Wooten Unit

Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The W.T. Wooten Unit is 16,404 acres mostly located in Columbia and Garfield counties, about 25 miles east of Dayton and 14 miles south of Pomeroy (see Figure 12). The area was recommended for purchase in 1940 as the “Tucannon Deer and Elk Range” and the majority of the land purchases took place between 1941 and 1943. The land was purchased to minimize conflicts between wildlife and livestock and provide opportunities for wildlife and outdoor recreation. Other purchases took place over the years, with the last one of 58.66 acres in 2018.

Tucannon River flows 17 miles through this unit and includes major tributaries such as Cummings Creek and the Little Tucannon River. Lands in and around the Tucannon River are historic wintering areas for big game and receive year-round use by a variety of game and non-game species.

In the 1950s, eight artificial lakes and the Tucannon Fish Hatchery were created. The hatchery propagates and stocks steelhead, chinook salmon, and rainbow trout to enhance public fishing opportunities and supplement ESA-listed stocks in the Tucannon subbasin. Approximately 90,000 rainbow trout are stocked in the lakes annually. Trout are planted only in the lakes; the in-river trout stocking ended around 1998. Salmon and steelhead smolts are released into the Tucannon River, and return as adults to augment steelhead recreational fishing, maintain native runs, and return marine-derived nutrients (carcasses) to the ecosystem.

Following the School Fire in 2005, many river restoration projects were completed between 2006 and 2017 on the unit (Table 3). In these projects, large wood was placed in streams and rivers as a way to improve salmonid habitat. For more information on restoration, see the [W.T. Wooten Floodplain Management Plan](#) summary in Appendix F. The full plan can be found at: <https://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/01678>.

Table 3: Restoration Projects Using Large and Small Wood in the Tucannon Floodplain

Year	Location
2006	Cummings Creek
2008	Tucannon River
2012	Between Big Four and Beaver lakes
2014	Between the Panjab Bridge and Camp Wooten
2014	Between the Hatchery and Spring Lake
2014	Russel parcel
2015	Between Watson and Deer lakes
2017	Between the USFS Campground and Big Four Lake
2017	Hartsock Unit

The Cummings Creek and Tucannon River projects were considered small-scale wood projects; all the others were large-scale wood projects.

In 2010 and 2011, WDFW partnered with the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR) on two projects to improve spring channels. The first project improved and



reconnected a spring channel on the Russel parcel that feeds into the Tucannon River. Historically, this spring branch had spring chinook salmon spawn, but over time, it had been straightened and become overgrown with reed canary grass. The spring channel was altered to create meanders, and small logs were placed in it to create pools and riffles to improve salmon habitat.

In 2011, some channel reconstruction work was completed on a spring channel on the Hartsock Unit to improve fish access to off-channel habitats. This spring branch that feeds into the Tucannon River had become very shallow and choked with reed canary grass. The project deepened the channel, constructed stream meanders, placed logs to create pools and riffles, controlled reed canary grass, and planted willow whips. An existing stream ford was removed and replaced by a small bridge to avoid driving through the spring creek when steelhead are spawning.

In 2012, WDFW used a grant from BPA to remove a metal hut and cinderblock bunkhouse from the Hartsock Unit. During spring flows, the Tucannon River tended to overflow its banks and flood the structures. The buildings and all associated infrastructure (power line, well, foundations, concrete pads, and several culverts) were removed from the floodplain. The area was seeded into native grasses and the Tucannon River can now access the floodplain on the Hartsock area of the unit.



Tucannon River, W.T. Wooten Unit
Photo by WDFW

The area was seeded into native grasses and the Tucannon River can now access the floodplain on the Hartsock area of the unit.

In 2014, the remaining two WDFW campgrounds adjacent to the Tucannon River were decommissioned and three new campgrounds were constructed on higher ground out of the floodplain. A project to place large wood from the Rainbow Lake inlet to the hatchery bridge is funded and expected to be constructed in 2019.

However, more work still needs to be completed to continue the improvement of the lakes and river floodplain. The W.T. Wooten Floodplain Management Plan lists several other projects that will be prioritized, depending on when funding is available from the Capital Projects budget: 1) Bury the power line from the Tucannon Hatchery to Camp Wooten; 2) enhancement/construction at Beaver-Watson Lake; 3) enhancement/construction at Deer Lake; 4) construction at Spring Lake; and at a later date, 5) decommission Big Four Lake. Before decommissioning Big Four Lake, there will be a new fly-fishing only lake as requested by the public.



The W.T. Wooten is very popular for families and groups for camping, water play, and fishing, with campgrounds full on summer and fall weekends. There are fish or wildlife harvest seasons taking place every month of the year on the wildlife area.

In the 1970s, modifications to the roadway improved public access, increasing public use dramatically. The majority of visitors (75-80 percent) come from the Tri-Cities (Kennewick, Richland, and Pasco). The wildlife area averages 120,000 - 140,000 visitor days per year, with major holidays seeing use in 3,000 to 5,000 visitors.

Fishing and camping are the major recreational activities on the unit (see Table 4). Blue Lake and Spring Lake are open to year-round fishing, while the rest of the lakes (except Curl Lake) open on March 1 and close on Nov. 30. Curl Lake is an acclimation facility for spring chinook salmon and steelhead prior to being stocked with rainbow trout.

The season is from the Saturday before Memorial Day through Oct. 31. There are 11 year-round WDFW campgrounds located above the Tucannon River, and camping is only allowed in designated areas. An Environmental Learning Center called Camp Wooten was established in 1949, and is located on WDFW land and leased and operated by the State Parks and Recreation Commission. Camp Wooten provides outdoor recreation and nature-related activities to local youth groups. While there are no official designated trails, visitors can hike anywhere on the wildlife area. Many hike up Cummings Creek, but there is no parking at the gate. Please note that roads on the Figure marked "Administrative Use Only" are closed to motorized vehicles (except for administrative purposes), but are open for non-motorized recreation unless otherwise posted.



Pedestrian bridge, W.T. Wooten Unit
Photo by WDFW Staff

Primary management objectives specific to W.T. Wooten Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat by 2024 (1.B).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding's catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) by 2024 (1.C).
- Implement the Forest Management Plan - Reforestation, non-commercial thin (2020), and prescribed burn (TBD) (1.J).
- Implement the W.T. Wooten Floodplain Management Plan. Prioritize projects based on available funding from biennial Capital Projects. Projects: 1) Bury the power line from the Tucannon Hatchery to Camp Wooten; 2) enhancement at Beaver-Watson Lake; 3) enhancement at Deer Lake; 4) construction at Spring Lake; 5) decommission Big Four Lake.
- Construct the Large Wood Project from Rainbow Lake inlet to the hatchery bridge (3.K).
- Continue fish monitoring / tagging efforts on the Tucannon River (steelhead, spring chinook, bull trout) (3.L).
- Maintain current seasonal closures to protect wintering wildlife at Cummings Creek, Jan. 1-April 1 (4.B).



- Continue to provide fishing opportunities on the W.T. Wooten (6.E).
- Improve camping at W.T. Wooten by controlling at-large camping; pilot by 2019 (7.D).
- Improve shooting range conditions for humans, wildlife, and habitat; address human safety and lead abatement at current sites by 2020 (7.E).
- Assess the wildlife area for restroom facilities that need to be improved, replaced, or added, including ADA accessibility, by 2022 (7.F).



Figure 12: W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area - W.T. Wooten Unit




Table 4: W.T. Wooten Recreation Facilities

W.T. Wooten Unit					
Site number on map	Campground Number	Number of Designated Campsites	Vault Toilet	Information Kiosk	Picnic table & fire ring
1	#1	21	✓	✓	✓
2	#2	18	✓	✓	✓
3	#3	10	✓	✓	✓
4	#4	12	✓	✓	✓
5	#5	10	✓	✓	✓
6	#6	5	✓	✓	✓
7	#7	5	✓	✓	✓
8	#8	7	✓	✓	✓
9	#9	5	✓	✓	✓
10	#10	5	✓	✓	✓
11	#11	8	✓	✓	✓
Site letter on map	Lake Name	Parking	Vault Toilet	Information Kiosk	Fishing
A	Spring	✓	✓		✓
B	Blue	✓	✓		✓
C	Rainbow	✓	✓		✓
D	Deer	✓	✓		✓
E	Watson-Beaver	✓	✓		✓
F	Big Four	✓	✓		✓
G	Curl	✓	✓		✓
McDonald Bridge Unit					
		Parking	Vault Toilet	Information Kiosk	Fishing
	McDonald Bridge	✓		✓	✓
Swegle Road Unit					
	Swegle Rd	✓		✓	✓
	Stovall Rd	✓		✓	✓



W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area – *McDonald Bridge Unit*

Acres	117
Acquisition Date	1993 & 1994
Acquisition Funding	Army Corps of Engineers – Snake River Mitigation Account
Purpose of Funding	Mitigation efforts to replace habitat losses from dam construction along the Snake River
Elevation	520 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Fishing, hunting, wildlife viewing, hiking
Access	McDonald Road



Walla Walla River, McDonald Bridge Unit
Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The McDonald Bridge Unit is located one mile east of Lowden on the Walla Walla River in Walla Walla County. The property is a complex of two separate acquisitions (see Figure 13). The first 18.5 acres was purchased in 1993 and provides one half mile of river access downstream of the county road, but only along the south shore. A 98.7-acre parcel acquired in 1994 provides access to both sides of the river for one half mile upstream of McDonald Road.

The McDonald Bridge Unit was previously managed under the Sunnyside/Snake River Wildlife Area in WDFW Region 3. Management was transferred to the Blue Mountains wildlife areas complex in 2013 for efficiency purposes.

This parcel includes about 34 acres of irrigated land, which is leased to a local farmer who generally grows small grain. The irrigation system was upgraded and shrub plots were planted on this site as part of initial development. Cottonwood willow, alder, and red-osier dogwood are the dominant woody species with reed canary grass the primary understory species.

Both sites contained substantial gravel and rock dikes that were constructed for flood control prior to their purchase by WDFW. The dikes were removed prior to this unit becoming part of the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area. Flood control activities in the Walla Walla River have been a long-standing issue balancing farmland protection and fish, wildlife, and habitat.


When steelhead migrate, the river is a good place to fish. Hunting for upland birds, turkey, waterfowl, and deer is popular but is allowed by archery and shotgun only. Visitors also enjoy walking and river access at this unit.

Primary management objectives on the McDonald Bridge Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat by 2024 (1.B).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding's catchfly (*Silene spaldingii*) by 2024 (1.C).



Figure 13: W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area - McDonald Bridge Unit



W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area – *Swegle Road Unit*

Acres	80.6
Acquisition Date	1991 - 1994
Acquisition Funding	Army Corps of Engineers – Snake River Mitigation Account
Purpose of Funding	Mitigation efforts to replace habitat losses from dam construction along the Snake River
Elevation	624 feet
Recreational Opportunities	Hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing, hiking



Swegle Road Unit
Photo by Alan Bauer



Overview

The Swegle Road Unit is located about three miles west of College Place in Walla Walla County. This property is a complex of three separate acquisitions which occurred between 1991 and 1994. There is a 50-foot easement along the north shore of the Walla Walla River, a 25-foot easement along both shorelines of Mill Creek, and a WDFW-owned parcel along the south shoreline of the Walla Walla River. This parcel provides fishing access to one-half mile of the Walla Walla River (see Figure 14).

The Swegle Road Unit was previously managed under the Sunnyside/Snake River Wildlife Area in Region 3. Management was transferred to the Blue Mountains wildlife areas complex in 2013 for more efficient management by WDFW Region 1.

Much of the unit is limited to the natural riparian zone along the river, which supports good quality woody cover. The properties were purchased to provide public fishing but they also provide hunting of upland birds, turkey, waterfowl, and deer. Hunting is allowed in some areas by shotgun or archery, but other areas are off-limits due to the proximity of private residences.

When steelhead migrate, the river is a good place to fish.



Information kiosk at Swegle Road fishing site


Photo by Alan Bauer

Primary management objectives on the Swegle Road Unit

- Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat by 2024(1.B).
- Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding's catchfly (*silene spaldingii*) by 2024 (1.C).



Figure 14: W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area - Swegle Road Unit



Land ownership and management, funding, and agreements

Acquisition history, funding, and purpose

WDFW works closely with many organizations to identify priorities for purchase, management, and restoration of lands, such as conservation groups, local governments and districts, and user groups. Many of the units of the wildlife areas are near other public and private conservation land holdings. Coordination about planning and restoration and recovery projects provides efficient and effective habitat and species management that benefits a much larger land base.

The 10 units of the three wildlife areas that make up the Blue Mountains wildlife areas were acquired over many years, starting in 1941, with the first of the acquisitions that make up the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area through the purchase of the final phase of the 4-0 Ranch on the Chief Joseph Wildlife Area in 2016, and 58.6 acres on W.T. Wooten in 2018. Most of the land is owned by WDFW, but about 6,464 acres are leased from DNR, and 2,097 from BLM. Information on funds used to purchase the lands is found in the unit descriptions.

Agreements

Agricultural leases

Agriculture is an integral part of the management practices on the wildlife areas and provides multiple benefits for wildlife, habitat, and the local economy. It is an effective way to enhance forage and cover for wildlife, and provide weed and erosion control.

Wildlife area and regional staff negotiate leases, develop farm plans in collaboration with lessees, and oversee farming activities on leased sites. Leases are negotiated and designed to meet needs of the agency, wildlife, farmer, and community. Specific wildlife goals may be included, such as increased food and cover for upland birds, increased diversity on the landscape, and forage for deer.

The Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) is a land conservation program administered by the Farm Service Agency (FSA). In exchange for a yearly rental, farmers agree to remove environmentally sensitive land from agricultural production and plant species that will improve environmental health and quality. The long-term goal of the program is to re-establish valuable land cover to help improve water quality, prevent soil erosion, and reduce loss of wildlife habitat.

The Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) is part of the CRP. CREP targets high-priority conservation concerns identified by the state, primarily riparian areas. In exchange for removing environmentally sensitive land from production and establishing permanent resource conserving plant species, farmers and ranchers are paid an annual rental rate along with other federal and state incentives as applicable per each CREP agreement.



Table 5: Agricultural leases on Blue Mountains wildlife areas

Unit	Agriculture acres	CRP	Expiration
Asotin Creek	431.3	CRP	2020
	27.7	CRP	2021
Weatherly	58.0		2021
George Creek	484.0		2024
	1,045.8	CRP	2022
	957.0	CREP	2025
	100.0		2025
	343.8	CRP	2021
4-0 Ranch - East	163.8		2022
4-0 Ranch - West	567.8		2022
Grouse Flats	180.0		2022
McDonald Bridge	34.0		2018

Grazing permits

Ranching is an integral part of the local community values in the Blue Mountains region, and it provides benefits to local ranchers. WDFW desires to maintain a working landscape consistent with local community sentiment, as long as ecological integrity of the land can be maintained.

WDFW Fish and Wildlife Commission’s policy is that livestock grazing on WDFW-owned or controlled lands may be permitted if determined to be consistent with desired ecological conditions for those lands, or with the Department's Strategic Plan. If permitted, livestock grazing must be integrated with other uses to ensure the protection of all resource values, the most important of which is maintaining ecological integrity.

Each grazing permit includes a specific grazing management plan. Because the areas where grazing is permitted supports known wolf activity, grazing operators must follow WDFW’s wolf management guidelines, which are included in grazing permits. If rare plants such as *S. spaldingii* are found on grazed areas, WDFW will implement actions to reduce the impact to these plants. All grazing is managed under a permit and grazing management plan developed in cooperation with the operator, and includes protective measures, wolf-related responsibilities, and a monitoring plan to measure compliance with the conditions of the permit.

Table 6: Grazing Permits on Blue Mountains wildlife areas

Unit	Grazing acres	AUMs*	Expiration
Asotin Creek	1,100.0	120	2019
4-0 Ranch - East	5,869.0	675	2022
4-0 Ranch – West	2,651.0	659	2022
Shumaker	184.3		2022
	235.8		2022

*AUM (animal unit month) is the forage requirement for maintenance of one animal unit for 30 days.



Easements

Easements are a right, held by an entity other than the underlying fee title landowner, to cross or otherwise use a portion of the land for a specified purpose. WDFW holds easements for public recreational access, conservation, and property management throughout the state. WDFW grants 21 easements on wildlife areas for road or utility access, with all but one located on the W.T. Wooten Unit. WDFW holds nine easements, four on the Chief Joseph Wildlife Area and five on the Asotin Creek Wildlife area, for public access and fishing.

Leases

WDFW leases land from other entities and manages it under the wildlife area. WDFW leases 6,464 acres from DNR (5,464 acres on Asotin Creek and 1,000 acres on W.T. Wooten), to be managed for wildlife habitat. WDFW also leases 2,096 acres from BLM on the Chief Joseph Wildlife Area.

Temporary use permits

WDFW allows commercial and group activities on wildlife areas with a permit from the regional office. Non-commercial group activities of 30 participants or more must have a permit. For commercial operators such as rafting companies or hunting and fishing guides, a fee-based permit is required to operate on the wildlife area. In the Blue Mountains, rafting is a popular activity on the Snake and Grande Ronde rivers, and about six to 10 commercial use permits are issued each year.



Fields on the 4-0 Ranch Unit
Photo by WDFW Staff



Interagency agreements

Cooperation across jurisdictions in an area such as Southeast Washington is crucial for sharing expenses and resources to get things done across boundaries at a landscape scale. For instance, under the USDA Farm Bill, the Good Neighbor Authority allows the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management to enter into cooperative agreements with states, and for states to perform watershed restoration and forest management services on National Forest lands. The Wyden Amendment (Public Law 109-54, Section 434) authorizes the Forest Service to enter into cooperative agreements to benefit resources within watersheds on National Forest System lands. Agreements may be for the following purposes: Protection, restoration, and enhancement of fish and wildlife habitat and other resources; reduction of risk for natural disaster where public safety is threatened, or a combination of both. In the Blue Mountains wildlife areas, WDFW and the Forest Service have a long history of working together cooperatively, and coordinating on forest management activities to reduce risk of catastrophic fire as well as working cooperatively on noxious weed control.

Management setting

Administration

Day-to-day management of the Asotin Creek and Chief Joseph wildlife areas is the responsibility of staff based out of the office and shop located in Clarkston, WA. Personnel consists of one full-time Wildlife Area Manager, one full-time Biologist 2, two full-time Natural Resource Technicians, one full-time Access Manager, and one career seasonal Natural Resource Worker 2. Day-to-day management of the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area is the responsibility of staff based out of the office and shop located on the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area. Personnel consist of one full-time Assistant Wildlife Area Manager and one career seasonal Natural Resource Worker 2.

Operating funds

Operating funds to manage the Blue Mountains wildlife areas come from three main sources: Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Act - Pittman-Robertson (PR) funds, State Wildlife Account Funds, and BPA funding on the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area. State wildlife account funds may consist of money received from rental or concessions, the sale of real or personal property, administrative penalties, sale of other statutorily prescribed license fees, permit, tags and stamps, fees for published materials, fees for personalized vehicle plates, articles or wildlife sold by the director, and compensation for damage to department property. These funds may contribute to the overall support for all operations and maintenance, including staff salaries on the wildlife area. Funds from the BPA support operation and maintenance on the Asotin Creek and George Creek units of the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area.

Operating funds are generally insufficient to conduct all of the work to maintain wildlife areas. WDFW has been working with the legislature to be granted funding for operations and maintenance of newly acquired lands.



Facilities and maintenance

Activities on WDFW lands include maintaining fences, roads, trails, signs, campgrounds, facilities, and performing weed control. The goal is to ensure wildlife area facilities and infrastructure remain in good working order over time.

There are over 50 structures located at the Asotin Creek and the Chief Joseph wildlife areas that include bunkhouse/staff cabins, barns, shops, storage sheds, historic buildings, and other miscellaneous farm/ranch structures. The W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area has five structures (office/bunkhouse, garage, barn, shop, and a Quonset hut). The Tucannon Fish Hatchery is located within the boundary of the wildlife area, but all facilities are managed by the WDFW Fish Program. State Parks leases land on the wildlife area for Camp Wooten and manages all the facilities within that leased property.



Fence work on the Grouse Flats Unit
Photo by WDFW

Fences are used on the Asotin Creek, Chief Joseph, and W. T. Wooten Wildlife Areas to control trespassing cattle and to control motorized vehicles, such as ATVs and trucks. Fences are also used on the Asotin Creek and Chief Joseph wildlife areas to enclose areas where there are grazing allotments on WDFW lands. An elk fence on the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area and Weatherly Unit of the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area helps keep elk on WDFW and USFS lands and off of private property.

Road management

There are approximately 76.4 miles of roads within the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area, 70 within the Chief Joseph Wildlife Area, and 34.8 within the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area. These roads include public roads maintained by the county and administrative use only roads. Administrative roads are closed to public motorized access for the protection of wildlife and habitat, but can be used for non-motorized activities unless otherwise posted.

Local land use compliance

Most of the Chief Joseph and Asotin Creek wildlife areas fall in the jurisdiction of Asotin and Garfield counties. The W.T. Wooten is primarily in Columbia and Garfield counties, with two small units in Walla Walla County. Land use must be consistent with each county's Comprehensive Plan, Natural Resource Ordinance, Critical Areas Ordinance, and Shoreline Management Plan. Table 7 describes the relationship of these land use regulations to the wildlife area land.



Table 7. Land use designations by wildlife area unit

Wildlife Area Unit	Comprehensive Plan Land Use Designation and Zoning*	Shoreline Management Plan Designation	Comments
ASOTIN CO.			
Chief Joseph	Comp. Plan – Rural, Zoned - Agriculture	Conservancy	CAO, Comp. plan, SMP, Floodplain Development
Shumaker	Comp. Plan – Rural, Zoned - Agriculture	Conservancy	CAO, Comp. plan, SMP, Floodplain Development
4-0 Ranch	Comp. Plan – Rural, Zoned - Agriculture	Conservancy	CAO, Comp. plan, SMP, Floodplain Development
George Creek	Comp. Plan – Rural, Zoned - Agriculture	Conservancy	CAO, Comp. plan, SMP, Floodplain Development
Asotin Creek	Comp. Plan – Rural, Zoned - Agriculture	Conservancy	CAO, Comp. plan, SMP, Floodplain Development
GARFIELD CO.			
Parts of Weatherly, Grouse Flats, W.T. Wooten	Agriculture or not zoned, (left out like USFS)	Conservancy	CAO, Comp. plan, SMP, Floodplain Development
COLUMBIA CO.			
W.T. Wooten	Zoned recreation, and agriculture. Designated: grass, shrub tree.	Conservancy	CAO, Comp. plan, SMP, Floodplain Development
WALLA WALLA CO.			
Swegle Road	Agricultural residential	100' buffer on Walla Walla river	CAO, Comp. plan, SMP, Floodplain Development
McDonald Bridge	Agricultural	100' buffer on Walla Walla river	CAO, Comp. plan, SMP, Floodplain Development

Asotin County – www.co.asotin.wa.us

Columbia County - www.columbiaco.com

Garfield County - www.co.garfield.wa.us/planning/zoning-ordinance-map

Walla Walla County - www.co.walla-walla.wa.us/departments/comdev/Planning.shtm



Cultural resources

State and federal law requires the protection of cultural, geological, and other non-renewable resources. Such resources may not be removed unless determined to be beneficial to wildlife, habitat, or scientific or educational purposes. WDFW coordinates with appropriate agencies and tribes for the protection of such resources if any activity affects cultural, archaeological, or historic resources. Wildlife area staff have received training in the importance of protecting cultural resources on the wildlife area. The Nez Perce Tribe and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation collect traditional tribal foods on the wildlife areas. Prehistoric or historic archaeological resources are present on the entire Blue Mountains wildlife areas. Archaeologists have determined that prehistoric occupation on part of the wildlife area goes back 6,800 years. Historic archaeological materials are primarily associated with numerous homesteads that occurred on the area beginning in the late 1800s. A sampling of cultural resources information for the Blue Mountains wildlife areas is located in Appendix G.

Enforcement

Enforcement on the wildlife areas is provided by WDFW enforcement officers who have general authority peace officer status for the State of Washington. The Mission for WDFW enforcement officers is, "To protect our natural resources and the public we serve." WDFW's enforcement officers perform a wide range of duties to protect natural resources, the communities and economies that rely on them, and those who recreate outdoors. WDFW Officers approach enforcement in four ways: enforcement, education, partnerships, and community involvement. Their highest priority is enforcement of all fish, wildlife, and habitat laws under [Title 77 RCW](#). Officers often deal with issues related to poaching, threatened and endangered species protection, habitat protection, and destruction of habitat and resources. A core duty for WDFW Officers is protecting public safety in the outdoors, and they participate in a variety of enforcement activities related to this, including enforcing boating, off-road vehicle and snowmobile laws, and eradicating illegal drug growing and manufacturing. Officers work closely with emergency management agencies and play an important role in emergency management statewide.



Fishing at Spring Lake
Photo by Alan Bauer

WDFW law enforcement officers have large patrol areas they are responsible for, and law enforcement coverage on the wildlife areas is only a part of their duties. Officers coordinate with other local enforcement jurisdictions when appropriate to continue shared responsibility for public safety and reducing illegal activities. Local people and wildlife area staff working on the landscape in and around the wildlife areas and the public can be good resources by reporting any illegal or suspicious activity they might see, as well as reporting things that may require staff attention, such as damaged fences, blocked roads, fires, or vandalism. Wildlife area staff post contact information at kiosks to help encourage the public to report things they see that may be of concern.



Research and studies

Consistent with WDFW’s mission to preserve, protect, and perpetuate fish, wildlife, and habitat, WDFW supports independent studies to achieve wildlife area objectives. Studies on the wildlife area include population studies for mountain lion and bighorn sheep, golden eagle research, the Asotin Creek Intensively Monitored Watershed study, and plant surveys. See Appendix D for a table of research and studies.

Stewardship and volunteerism

Blue Mountains wildlife areas have benefited from the long-term participation of groups and individuals who volunteer on a variety of projects to support the wildlife area’s conservation, management, and recreation objectives. An important objective of this plan is to strengthen and continue to expand these partnerships and uncover more opportunities for interested people to engage with the wildlife area. Wildlife area managers welcome suggestions and participation. Volunteers assist with cleanup, maintenance, fence removal, Master Hunter projects, surveys, and more.

Table 8: Ongoing volunteer opportunities in the Blue Mountains wildlife areas

Group	Types of Activities
Twin River Backcountry Horseman	Annual kid’s horse camp held in June at the Smoothing Iron Ranch on the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area. Participants do trail rides, trail cleanup/maintenance, decrepit fence removal, water development, and trough cleanup.
Lewis & Clark ATV Club	Scheduled trail rides, trail cleanup/maintenance, decrepit fence removal, and water development and trough cleanup.
Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation	Annual weekend work party held in May. Participants do a variety of habitat improvement projects, water development, and trough cleanup.
Inland Northwest Wildlife Council	Annual springtime weekend event. Participants check and repair elk fence and remove decrepit barbwire fence.
Washington Rare Care	Native plant surveys across the wildlife area.
Master Hunter Program	WDFW's Master Hunter Program offers members the opportunity to do volunteer work to attain points towards their Master Hunter Program.
Audubon's Canyon Birders Blue Mountain Audubon Society	Bird surveys and bird watching field trips.



Recreation

WDFW wildlife areas provide fishing, hunting, and wildlife-related recreation and enjoyment of the lands opportunities, consistent with the agency's mission, the statewide wildlife area planning goals, and with the funding sources for each property. Public use is influenced by the character of the landscape, ability to access the area, fish and wildlife species present, as well as seasonal considerations and engagement from the community. WDFW may place limitations on some activities to protect resources, preserve quality of experiences and infrastructure, and address the safety of personnel and the public. The agency seeks to promote public enjoyment of fish and wildlife while managing and perpetuating them for future generations.

Washington State's population is growing, putting more pressure on wildlife areas across the state, including the Blue Mountains wildlife areas. With more people comes a greater diversity of recreation interests, which can lead to conflicts among users, such as between target shooters and



Spotting deer on Chief Joseph Wildlife Area

Photo by Shari Brewer

hikers. User conflicts can be detrimental to natural resources and can result in fewer quality recreational experiences.

Because of the growing population and diversity of recreational interests statewide, WDFW is developing a Statewide Recreation Plan. This plan may lead to new rules, policies, and guidance to guide area management and to inform the public about where and how to recreate on WDFW lands. The strategy is expected to be completed in 2020.

Additionally, WDFW is looking

at developing new target shooting rules to protect the resources and improve safety for the public and wildlife.

Visitors to the Blue Mountains wildlife areas enjoy many outdoor activities. Recreation tends to be of the primitive nature. Blue Mountains offers visitors a unique and diverse landscape to enjoy, with its hills, canyons, grasslands, forests, riparian areas, rivers and streams, abundant wildflowers in the spring, and diversity of fish and wildlife species. Along with the typical hunting and fishing, many people camp, raft, hike, ride horses and mountain bikes, take pictures, gather berries, mushrooms, and shed antlers, and generally enjoy this remote and rugged location. While people enjoy hiking and horse riding, there are only a couple developed trails.



Table 9. Recreational use on Blue Mountains wildlife areas

	Asotin Creek <i>Asotin Creek, George Creek, Weatherly</i>	Chief Joseph <i>4-0 Ranch, Chief Joseph, Grouse Flats, Shumaker</i>	W.T. Wooten <i>W.T. Wooten, McDonald Bridge, Swegle Rd.</i>
Primary Hunting Opportunities	Elk Mule deer, white-tailed deer Black bear Cougar Turkey Grouse Pheasant Small game Upland game birds	Elk Mule deer, white-tailed deer Black bear Cougar Turkey Grouse Small game Upland game birds	Elk Mule deer, white-tailed deer Black bear Cougar Turkey Grouse Pheasant Small game Upland game birds
Primary Fishing Opportunities	Rainbow Trout	Steelhead Smallmouth bass Rainbow trout	Rainbow trout Steelhead
Other Recreational Activities	Hiking Horseback riding Wildlife viewing Wildflower viewing Shed antler gathering Geocaching Target shooting	Camping (<i>along Grande Ronde and Heller Bar</i>). Autrey Campground on 4-0 Hiking Horseback riding Wildlife viewing Wildflower viewing Shed antler gathering Geocaching Rafting and water play	Camping (<i>only on the W.T. Wooten Unit and only in the campgrounds; no dispersed</i>) Hiking Horseback riding Wildlife viewing Wildflower viewing Shed antler gathering Geocaching Target shooting Water play
Education/ Interpretation	3 kiosks	7 Kiosks	18 Kiosks
Restrictions	Possible seasonal closures to protect wintering wildlife	Possible seasonal closures to protect wintering wildlife 4-0 Ranch deer and elk hunting is permitted by special draw only. Seasonal closures (<i>catch and release on during part of the fall for steelhead in the lower Grande Ronde</i>). Motorized vehicles 48" and under are permitted on Green Gulch Road during Oct-Nov only.	Fly fishing only on Big Four Lake. No floatation devices on lakes. Camping only in designated campgrounds. Tucannon River closed to fishing south of Cow Camp bridge. Annual seasonal closure of Cummings Creek to protect wintering wildlife.
Facilities and Parking	Multiple parking areas No public restrooms 1 Shooting range 5 Primitive trails	Multiple parking areas Multiple restrooms along the lower Grande Ronde River. 1 Campground on the 4-0 with vault toilet; other camping is dispersed or in unimproved areas on the Grande Ronde River and on WDFW lands	Multiple parking areas 25 public restrooms 11 Campgrounds 1 Shooting range



The wildlife areas offer many opportunities to access the rivers and lakes for fishing, boating, and water play. See Appendix H for a list of water access sites that are on or near the wildlife areas. The table is organized by county, waterbody, and wildlife area unit. Visitors can find access to Asotin Creek, the Snake, Grande Ronde, Touchet, Tucannon, and Walla Walla rivers, as well as eight human-made lakes on the Tucannon River.



Fishing on the Grande Ronde River

Photo by Steve Panther



Wildlife area goals, objectives, and monitoring

This plan sets management priorities for the Blue Mountains wildlife areas for the next 10 years. The goals, objectives, and performance measures in this plan were developed by an interdisciplinary team of regional and headquarters staff, with input from the W.T. Wooten and Chief Joseph/Asotin Creek Wildlife Area Advisory Committee, tribes, the public, USFWS, and other agency staff. They are consistent with WDFW's Mission and Strategic Plan. The plan goals, objectives, and performance measures will be reviewed and updated every two years. The objectives listed in this plan may or may not be fully funded. In many cases, successful outcomes will be dependent on availability of funding.



Fence line on the W.T. Wooten Unit
Photo by WDFW

Table 10 lists the goals, objectives, and performance measures of the plan. The “Tasks” column lists some of the steps that need to be taken or things to consider to achieve the planned objectives. While writing the goals and objectives, staff considered how projected changes in climate could impact resources of the wildlife area and took note of opportunities that may help to mitigate or prepare for those impacts. These considerations are listed in the “Tasks” column where appropriate to the activity.



Table 10. Blue Mountains wildlife areas goals, objectives, and performance measures

Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
<p>1. Maintain or improve the ecological integrity of priority ecological systems and sites</p>	<p>A. Establish an ecological integrity baseline and associated goals for ecological systems of concern and priority systems and sites and establish ecological integrity goals by 2024.</p>	<p>All</p>	<p>1. Funding identified (Y/N) 2. Baseline established (Y/N) 3. Ecological integrity goals established (Y/N)</p>	<p>Ecological Integrity Monitoring Team</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with WLA manager to design a monitoring plan to achieve the objective over 10-year planning term. - Conduct data collection to determine baseline within 10-year planning term. - Provide baseline report to WLA manager prior to start of subsequent 10-year planning term. - Work with WLA manager to establish ecological integrity goals. <p>Climate change consideration: The ecological integrity baseline should include parameters that can provide a baseline for assessing climate change impacts.</p>
	<p>B. Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and by 2024 develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat.</p>	<p>All</p>	<p>1. Funding for assessment identified (Y/N) 2. Number of volunteer groups identified to partner on assessment and plan 3. Native prairie assessment completed (Y/N) 4. Native prairie restoration plan developed (Y/N)</p>	<p>Habitat Program <i>WLA Manager</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conduct assessment of native prairie to gather baseline data. - Consider conducting periodic surveys. - Prioritize areas for restoration. - Identify funding sources. <p>Climate change consideration: Restoration goals should be designed around future conditions due to climate impacts. Consider viability before large investments.</p>



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
	C. Develop a plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants including Spalding's catchfly (<i>S. spaldingii</i>) by 2024. Develop management plan for areas where rare plants are found, including adaptive measures.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Funding to conduct surveys identified (Y/N) 2. Number of volunteer groups identified to conduct survey 3. Number of forest management actions identified where plant surveys can be built into plans 4. Survey conducted Y/N) 5. Management plan developed for locations where rare plants are found (Y/N) 	Habitat Program WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work more closely with native and rare plant groups to share information, priorities, projects (e.g. UW Rare Care, DNR Natural Heritage). - Identify data gaps and prioritize areas to survey for new plant species (e.g. Smoothing Iron). - In forest management actions, build funding in to conduct plant surveys in these areas. - Recruit volunteer groups to do surveys (Scouts, etc.). - Work with partners to identify funds for more plant surveys. - Work with Wildlife Diversity staff on survey. - Adjust activities in areas where rare plants are found to minimize impact.
	D. Develop plan to monitor and conserve federally threatened <i>S. spaldingii</i> on Asotin Creek WLA and anywhere it is found on the wildlife areas.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Plan developed to monitor and conserve <i>S. spaldingii</i> (Y/N) 2. Plan includes all areas where <i>S. spaldingii</i> is found (Y/N) 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with WDFW vegetation ecologist and other specialists to develop plan. - Take into consideration that <i>S. spaldingii</i> may be dormant in some years and multiple surveys may be needed. - Include all areas where <i>S. spaldingii</i> is found in the plan.
	E. Monitor <i>S. spaldingii</i> in areas where grazing occurs and in future locations where it is found.	All areas with grazing permits	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of grazing areas where <i>S. spaldingii</i> is found monitored 2. If impacts to <i>S. spaldingii</i> are found, measures taken to minimize or reduce risk (Y/N) 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with the WDFW Range Ecologist on measures to reduce risk. - Implement risk reduction measures.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
	F. Annually inspect elk fencing and gates; repair and replace as needed and as funding allows.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of miles of fencing inspected and repaired or replaced 2. Capital funding request submitted (Y/N) 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Inspect fences and gates annually. - Complete repairs as needed. - Submit Capital funding requests to Headquarters for replacement/maintenance of aging sections of fence.
	G. Reduce presence of trespass cattle onto WLAs by maintaining integrity of boundary stock fences.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Miles of boundary fence maintained or replaced 2. Critical stock fences identified and mapped (Y/N) 3. Meeting with USFS to discuss lease obligations (Y/N) 4. Proposal developed and submitted for fence crew (Y/N) 	WLA Manager Enforcement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Meet with USFS to discuss lessee fence obligations. - Work with WDFW Enforcement to take action when/where appropriate. - Seek Capital funding to replace aging stock fences. - Seek funding to hire a seasonal four-person crew for working on stock and elk fence.
	H. Implement Weed Management Plan annually. Identify weeds that are increasing such as ventenata, medusa head, yellow starthistle, and sulfur cinquefoil.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of acres inspected 2. Number of acres treated 3. Annual weed control report produced (Y/N) 4. New and increasing weeds identified and documented (Y/N) 5. Number of A-list weeds identified and eradicated (Y/N) 6. Proposal for statewide seasonal weed suppression crew developed and submitted (Y/N) 7. Where weeds are managed in or near Spalding's catchfly (<i>S. spaldingii</i>) or other rare 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Annually develop work plan in coordination with Assistant Managers and statewide weed manager. - Use Integrated Pest Management to minimize use of rodenticides, pesticides, and herbicides. - Utilize local private contractors where appropriate to conduct weed suppression on wildlife areas. - Complete annual reporting requirements. - Identify and document weeds that are increasing.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
			<p>plant habitat, measures implemented to avoid or minimize effects on the plant (Y/N)</p> <p>8. Measures implemented to avoid or minimize impacts to listed fish species, including bull trout (Y/N)</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Eradicate A-List weeds such as Mediterranean Sage and yellow starthistle. - Develop a proposal to hire and manage statewide seasonal weed suppression crews to work on multiple WLAs in Eastern Washington. - Near locations of <i>S. spaldingii</i>, implement measures to reduce impacts to the plant. - Near listed fish habitat, implement measures to reduce impacts to fish. <p>Climate change consideration: Plan for possibility of new weeds. Monitor weeds expected to increase because of climate change.</p>
	I. Control noxious weeds including A-list Mediterranean sage and yellow starthistle.	George Creek Chief Joseph Shumaker	<p>1. Number of acres of Mediterranean sage treated (George Creek)</p> <p>1. Number of acres of yellow starthistle treated on Chief Joseph, George Creek, Shumaker</p>	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prioritize weed control efforts for A-list species.
	J. Implement the Forest Management Plan.	All	<p>1. Number of acres of commercial thinning completed</p> <p>2. Number of acres of non-commercial treatment completed</p> <p>3. Number of acres of prescribed broadcast burning</p> <p>4. Number of acres of reforestation</p>	Forester	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Plant trees on W. T. Wooten. - Continue treating forests that have diseased trees or are overcrowded. - Create appropriate snags. - Maintain healthy understory vegetation communities. - Maintain healthy riparian forest ecosystems.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
			5. Number of acres assessed for future treatment		Climate change consideration: Climate resilience is considered in forest plan.
	K. Manage the 4-0 Ranch consistent with the ESA Section 6 Plan.	4-0 Ranch Unit	1. Management actions consistent with ESA Section 6 Plan (Y/N)	WLA Manager	- Consult the ESA Section 6 Plan to assure management actions are consistent with species protections. - Consult USFWS on actions that may impact species.
2. Assess, protect, and improve habitat for fish and wildlife	A. Conduct Fish Passage projects at Lick Creek and coordinate with USFS on the culvert at Sourdough Gulch. Identify and plan for other fish passage barrier removals.	Asotin Creek Unit All	1. Lick Creek fish passage project completed (Y/N) 2. Sourdough Gulch culvert repaired (Y/N) 3. Plan for other fish barrier removal developed (Y/N)	Habitat Program <i>WLA Manager</i>	- Work with Habitat Management to make this a priority. - Coordinate with USFS on culvert work. Climate change consideration: Use climate-adapted culverts information to size culverts.
3. Sustain individual species through habitat and population management	A. Maintain healthy bighorn sheep population by communicating to the public the risks from domestic animals to bighorn sheep.	All	1. Information about risks to wild bighorn sheep from domestic sheep and goats communicated to the public (Y/N)	WLA Manager <i>Wildlife Biologist</i>	- Obtain current information from wildlife biologist. - Use opportunities when engaging with the public to provide information. - Provide information to neighboring landowners.
	B. Reduce injuries to wildlife by continuing to remove unnecessary or problematic fencing where and when possible, replacing and installing wildlife-friendly fences of no more than 42 inches high, 3-strand when possible.	All	1. Number of miles of unnecessary or derelict fencing removed 2. Number of miles wildlife-friendly fence (42 inches or less, 3-strand when possible) installed	WLA Manager <i>Wildlife Biologist</i>	- Review CREP contract at Charley Creek and seek ways to remove fence. - Where appropriate, mark fences with tags or flags so wildlife can adequately see the fencing to reduce injuries.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
					- Work with volunteer groups such as Inland Northwest Wildlife Council.
	C. Improve habitat for mule deer populations.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of overgrown tree stands that are non-commercially thinned 2. Number of stands that have been converted to fir-dominant stands commercially thinned 	Forester <i>Wildlife Biologist</i>	- Work with wildlife biologist to identify forest activities that may improve habitat for mule deer.
	D. Reduce the threat of lead poisoning to golden eagles and other species.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Coordinate with other efforts to address lead ammunition (Y/N) 2. Best Management Practices to reduce the risk of lead ammunition drafted (Y/N) 	Wildlife Biologist <i>WLA Manager</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Coordinate with internal and external efforts on lead ammunition risk reduction. - Explore incentives for the use of non-toxic ammunition. - Begin to develop or promote best management practices that include burying or removing gut piles, discouraging shooting of non-classified wildlife.
	E. Reduce and manage human /wildlife conflicts.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of additional acres of food crops planted for large wildlife 2. Existing salt sites maintained for big game species (Y/N) 3. Water systems and troughs maintained for big game species (Y/N) 4. Talking points developed on why food crops are planted for elk and how they are used in conjunction with water developments and salt sites (Y/N) 5. Recommendations of “wolf conflict on WLA lands” group implemented (Y/N) 	WLA Manager <i>Wildlife Biologist</i> <i>Conflict Specialist</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increase food crops based on need. - Look for ways to modernize water systems including wells, pipelines, storage tanks, and troughs. - Seek funding to make major infrastructure upgrades/repairs to water systems. - Document wolves living on wildlife areas where cattle grazing is permitted where possible. Also document all wolf/cattle conflicts on wildlife areas.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
			6. Number of conflicts resolved per year for wolves and for elk.		- Providing a diversity of habitat in good to excellent condition would provide species with the opportunity to adapt to these changing conditions. Climate change consideration: Consider how change in snowpack and winter conditions will affect impact of conflict.
	F. Plant several fields at Smoothing Iron Ridge in lure crops for elk to keep them on the wildlife area and off private property.	Asotin Creek	1. Acres of annual lure crops planted on Smoothing Iron Ridge	WLA Manager	- Plant lure crops annually.
	G. Initiate controlled burning projects to enhance forage for keeping elk on wildlife area land and off private property.	Asotin Creek	1. Number of controlled burning projects initiated	WLA Manager	- Coordinate with WDFW staff and local jurisdictions.
	H. Provide information to the public about Endangered Species Act (ESA)-listed species management.	All	1. Information on management of ESA-listed species communicated to the public (Y/N) 2. Talking points developed on fish management and reason for low returns (Y/N)	Fish Program <i>Habitat Program</i> <i>WLA Manager</i>	- Obtain current information from fish and habitat biologist. - Use opportunities when engaging with the public to provide information on ESA-listed species. Climate change consideration: Consider climate change vulnerability information. Highlight improvement projects that help build resistance.
	I. Improve habitat for fish.	All	1. Number of fish habitat improvement projects conducted 2. Miles of stream improved	Fish Program <i>Habitat Program</i>	- Use Asotin County Conceptual Restoration Plan for Asotin Creek WLA (Bennett 2018) Climate change consideration: Project design should consider climate resilience aspects.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
					- Projects on lands purchased with USFWS Section 6 funding will be reviewed by USFWS.
	J. Implement the W.T. Wooten Floodplain Management Plan. Prioritize projects based on available funding from biennial Capital Projects. Projects: 1) Bury the power line from the Tucannon Hatchery to Camp Wooten; 2) enhancement at Beaver-Watson Lake; 3) enhancement at Deer Lake; 4) construction at Spring Lake; 5) decommission Big Four Lake.	W.T. Wooten	1. Power line from the Tucannon Hatchery to Camp Wooten buried (Y/N) 2. Enhancement at Beaver-Watson Lake completed (Y/N) 3. Enhancement at Deer Lake completed (Y/N) 4. Construction at Spring Lake competed (Y/N) 5. Big Four Lake decommissioned (Y/N)	WLA Manager Habitat Program	- Prioritize projects based on need and budget. Climate change consideration: Monitoring and reporting should consider climate resilience benefits of the projects.
	K. Construct the Large Wood Project from Rainbow Lake inlet to the hatchery bridge.	W.T. Wooten	1. Construction completed (Y/N)	WLA Manager Habitat Program	- Coordinate with Habitat Program.
	L. Continue fish monitoring and tagging efforts on the Tucannon River and Asotin Creek (steelhead, spring chinook, and bull trout).	W.T. Wooten Asotin Creek	1. Fish monitoring and tagging efforts documented (Y/N)	Fish Program	- Continue to monitor steelhead, spring chinook, and bull trout in Tucannon River. - Continue to monitor steelhead and bull trout in Asotin Creek - Report bull trout information to USFWS E. WA Field Office.
4. Protect wintering wildlife from human disturbance	A. If conditions warrant to protect wintering wildlife, implement emergency closure to human entry at sensitive areas including Smoothing Iron Ridge, Weatherly, 4-0 Ranch, Lick Creek, Abel's Ridge, and other areas deemed necessary. Coordinate with Asotin County	All	1. Number of additional sensitive areas identified for potential emergency closure 2. Criteria for when to initiate closures drafted (Y/N) 3. Number of and length of closures each year	Wildlife Biologist WLA Manager <i>Enforcement Program</i>	- Identify other areas where wildlife over winter that would benefit from emergency closure. - Coordinate with Asotin County on road closures, and other jurisdictions as appropriate. - Work with other WLAs (Chelan) to develop consistent criteria for closure including impacts to wildlife or habitat from weather,



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
	and other appropriate jurisdictions on road closures.				fire, or other data showing an impact of human presence. - Follow agency procedures for closures. - Reassess and consider additional closures in the plan update, or as conditions or public use change. Climate change consideration: If agency understanding improves on the cumulative effects of winter disturbance, additional restrictions should be considered if appropriate.
	B. Maintain current seasonal closures to protect wintering wildlife at Cummings Creek (Jan. 1-April 1). As per agreement with Asotin County, maintain motorized closure at Asotin Creek (Dec. 1-April 1) annually.	W.T. Wooten Asotin Creek	1. Closure posted at Cummings Creek (Y/N) 2. Agreement with Asotin County maintained (Y/N). 3. Gates closed on Asotin Creek (Y/N)	WLA Manager <i>Enforcement Program</i>	- Work with USFS to coordinate gate closure. - Maintain agreement with Asotin County and USFS on gate closure on Asotin Creek. - Reassess conditions during 2-year plan update or as conditions change.
	C. Continue to limit off-road vehicle travel on the wildlife areas, and ensure any temporary roads constructed for forest health projects are closed to vehicle use.	All	1. Temporary roads closed after projects completed (Y/N) 2. Road closures posted (Y/N) 3. Number of cases of violators enforced	WLA Manager Forester <i>Enforcement Program</i>	- Install/maintain gates. - Post closed roads. - Enforce road closures.
5. Achieve species diversity at levels consistent with healthy ecosystems	A. Develop species-specific actions for the WLA, for listed species or species of greatest conservation need.	All	1. Number of species-specific actions identified 2. Number of species-specific actions completed	Wildlife Biologist <i>WLA Manager</i>	- Develop species-specific actions for the WLA, for listed species, or species of greatest conservation need. Climate change consideration:



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
					Consider future stress from climate change for those species considered highly vulnerable.
	B. Conduct survey for Species of Greatest Conservation Need in coordination with the Diversity Division.	All	1. Species surveys completed (Y/N)	Diversity Division <i>Wildlife Biologist</i>	- Coordinate district priorities with Olympia Wildlife Diversity staff annually.
6. Provide for and improve opportunities for hunting and fishing	A. Increase public's knowledge of hunting opportunities on the wildlife area, and promote hunting of game birds, turkey, and predators in addition to big game by 2020. Offer turkey hunting clinic.	All	1. Materials developed and posted or provided (Y/N) 2. Talking points produced for staff to explain the hunting conditions (Y/N) 3. Plan established with Hunter Ed on turkey clinics (Y/N) 4. Turkey clinics held (Y/N)	WLA Manager Game Division Hunter Education Division	- Determine which units and hunts to promote. - Partner with other WLAs interested in similar message. - Partner with hunter groups. - Work with Public Affairs on materials development. - Work with Hunter Ed to determine feasibility of developing a turkey clinic. - Determine if interest in other hunting clinics.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
	B. Biennially review the status of the special deer and elk hunt on the 4-0 Ranch and identify the potential for increased deer and elk hunting.	4-0 Ranch	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Status of hunt on 4-0 reviewed biennially (Y/N) 2. Recommendation made on the 4-0 Ranch hunt (Y/N) 3. Problems/Issues documented (Y/N) 	WLA Manager Wildlife Biologist Game Division	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review land purchase obligations regarding deer and elk hunting. - Consult ESA Section 6 Plan. - Determine if changes could be made to the hunt structure. - Make recommendation regarding expanding.
	C. Inventory and assess ADA fishing and hunting opportunities biennially.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of current fishing and hunting ADA opportunities formalized on WLAs 2. Number of possible new ADA fishing and hunting opportunities identified 3. Number of new fishing and hunting ADA opportunities developed 4. Biennial assessment completed (Y/N) 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with agency ADA staff on issues and funding. - Identify ADA opportunities to be improved. - Identify new ADA opportunities to develop.
	D. Reduce the number of ADA licensees taking motorized vehicles beyond where allowed.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ways to reduce of misuse of ADA license identified (Y/N) 2. Number of ADA “case-by-case” accesses set up 3. Number of cases of violators enforced 	WLA Manager <i>Wildlife Biologist Enforcement Program</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identify options to reduce ADA licensees taking motorized vehicles beyond where allowed. - Determine if “case-by-case” ADA access is reasonable approach. - Enforce violations.
	E. Continue to provide fishing opportunities on the W.T. Wooten WLA.	W.T. Wooten	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of fish stocked at Tucannon lakes 2. Number of fishable lakes maintained 	Fish Program	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use fish stocking report for data. <p>Climate change consideration: Longer term plans regarding fishing should consider changes in water temperatures which may impact fish.</p>



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
7. Provide and improve other appropriate recreational opportunities	A. Develop a plan to create trails on the 4-0 Ranch Unit (to eventually connect to existing USFS trails, such as on Wenatchee Creek), which include minimizing impacts to wildlife and habitat, by 2020.	4-0 Ranch	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Plan for developing trails completed (Y/N) 2. Number of grant applications submitted and approved 3. Miles of trail developed 4. Connection to USFS trail made (Y/N) 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Plan to create trails on the 4-0, and identify impacts and issues: sediment, maintenance, conflicts with user groups, fish and wildlife, habitat. - Consult ESA Section 6 Plan. - Work with USFS on trail connections. - Solicit partners, such as the WTA. <p>Climate change consideration: Consider possible climate related creek flow changes.</p>
	B. Improve and maintain trails on North and South Fork of Asotin Creek annually.	Asotin Creek	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Miles of maintenance done on North Fork Asotin Creek 2. Miles of improvements made to South Fork Asotin Creek. 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with WTA and others interested in trails. - Minimize impacts to fish and wildlife. <p>Climate change consideration: Consider possible climate related creek flow changes and potential washouts.</p>
	C. Identify locations to develop campgrounds and funding sources to support them. Develop Asotin Creek Campground by 2020.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of potential areas for developed campgrounds identified. 2. Number of funding sources applied to and approved 3. Asotin Creek campground developed (Y/N) 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identify areas for possible campgrounds; look on the 4-0, and in multiple places, including along the Grande Ronde River (Consult ESA Section 6 Plan for anything on the 4-0 Ranch). - Work with Asotin County for additional funding. - Partner with organizations to increase grant success. - Apply for RCO grants <p>Climate change consideration:</p>



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
					Consider future siting based on higher peak flows.
	D. Improve camping at W.T. Wooten by controlling at-large camping; pilot by 2019.	W.T. Wooten	1. Pilot marking individual sites completed (Y/N) 2. Assessment of pilot completed and recommendations made (Y/N)	WLA Manager	- Pilot a program at Camp 1 to identify and mark individual campsites. - Assess effectiveness at end of season.
	E. Improve shooting range conditions for humans, wildlife, and habitat; address human safety and lead abatement at current sites by 2020.	Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	1. Assessment of shooting range improvements/relocation options conducted (Y/N) 2. Best course of action selected (Y/N) 3. Number and type of shooting range improvements/relocation completed	WLA Manager Wildlife Biologist	- Consider option of relocation of range - Re-work the Asotin range to contain shooting activities. - Work with group such as Vista Outdoor to reconstruct range to make it safer and more environmentally friendly (make better backstops). - Consider removing the food plot surrounding shooting range to discourage upland game birds from foraging there. - Consider timing of closures and range maintenance to reduce fire danger.
	F. Assess the wildlife area for restroom facilities that need to be improved, replaced, or added, including ADA accessibility, by 2022.	All W.T. Wooten (ADA)	1. Assessment of restroom facilities completed (Y/N) 2. Capital funding request submitted (Y/N)	WLA Manager	- Determine high use areas for restroom locations - Identify funding sources for new and improved (ADA) restrooms. Climate change consideration: Siting should consider flooding potential.
	G. Develop an interpretive site and/or signage on the 4-0 Ranch by 2022.	4-0 Ranch	1. Partners for interpretive site/signage identified (Y/N) 2. Number of grant applications submitted	WLA Manager	- Consult ESA Section 6 Plan. - Look into grants for historic cemeteries/sites. - Identify partners interested in historic sites.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
			3. Interpretive site and/or signage developed on 4-0 Ranch (Y/N) 4. Project implemented (Y/N)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with cultural resources professionals. - Determine location, messaging. Climate change consideration: Include climate change impacts in interpretive sign, especially for fish and aquatic resources.
	H. Develop plan for identifying and promoting non-consumptive recreational uses compatible with fish and wildlife, such as winter wildlife viewing, hiking, mountain biking, horseback riding, especially on the 4-0 Ranch by 2024.	All 4-0 Ranch	1. Partners or user groups for plan development identified (Y/N) 2. Plan for non-consumptive recreation developed (Y/N) 3. Number of non-consumptive opportunities developed or promoted	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - As interest arises, work with user groups on recreational opportunities. - Consult ESA Section 6 Plan for plans on 4-0 Ranch.
	I. Manage recreational use of boaters and campers at Heller Bar site and increase compliance with rules by 2020. Complete planned expansion of boat ramp.	Chief Joseph	1. Planned expansion (boat ramp) at Heller Bar completed (Y/N) 2. Number of vehicle counts conducted annually during maintenance visits 3. Number of signs posted during peak rafting to direct ramp use 4. Signage on commercial use improved (Y/N) 5. Number of rafting clubs/companies contacted about compliance	WLA Manager Access Manager Enforcement Program	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Track recreational commercial contractors (such as raft companies). - Work with others to improve verbiage on signs for commercial use. Climate change consideration: In the long-term, consider if climate change impacts could impact peak timing of use or exacerbate issues. -Coordinate with the Nez Perce on placement of their interpretive signs.
	J. Make basic improvements to Couse Creek boat ramp by 2019 and plan for future improvements.	Chief Joseph	1. Funding identified for boat ramp improvement (Y/N) 2. Grant applications for future requests submitted (Y/N)	WLA Manager Access Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identify funding sources. - Improve the existing parking situation.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
			3. Improvement to boat ramp completed (Y/N)		
	K. Work with Lands Division on building capacity and support for wildlife area recreation infrastructure needs. Assess recreational funding sources available.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. List of recreational funding opportunities developed (Y/N) 2. Number of funding opportunities pursued 3. Amount of funding secured 4. Number of projects developed and number completed 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Meet with Lands Division manager on recreation funding strategy. - Assess recreational funding sources available. - Identify local champions, possibly WAAC members, or other partners. - Connect with WDFW Lands showcase initiative –may include requests for O&M, equipment, capital needs.
	L. Develop a printed, folding map of all wildlife areas for public use. Include facilities, water access sites, trails, bordering USFS lands, by 2022.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Funding for map identified (Y/N) 2. Map developed (Y/N) 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identify funding for map development - Work with cartographers to create map. - Connect with Lands Showcase and Rec Strategy teams.
	M. Continue to install markers to identify wildlife area boundaries.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Collect data on stolen, missing, or damaged signs 2. Number of boundary signs installed 	WLA Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Install fences with special screws to attempt to reduce theft.
8. Offer multiple and varied opportunities for stakeholder participation and engagement	A. Continue to meet with the Chief Joseph/Asotin Creek and W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area Advisory Committees and the Inland Northwest Wildlife Council.	All	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of meetings per year 2. Topics of interest to members addressed 	WLA Manager and Assistant WLA Managers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Setup meeting time and place based on group members' availability. - Draft agenda with attention to group interest and time constraints. - Hold meeting and collect group comments and recommendations for consideration relative to future management actions (proposed or ongoing).



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
					- Publish meeting notes on committee web pages.
	B. Continue to meet with the Asotin Lands Committee	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek	1. Number of meetings per year 2. Topics of interest to members addressed	WLA Manager	- Work with committee members to identify topics to discuss and meeting times.
	C. Expand the volunteer base and identify new volunteer projects.	All	1. Number of new volunteer groups working on wildlife area 2. Number of volunteer days per year	WLA Manager and Assistant WLA Managers	- Continue working with Lewis Clark ATV, BCH, RMEF and WA Bighorn Sheep, Inland Northwest Wildlife Council (INWC). - Identify and work with new groups as opportunities arise.
	D. Work with local community business and tourism associations to communicate opportunities on and benefits of the wildlife area.	All	1. Number of stories or events promoted on the wildlife area annually 2. List and # of local entities contacted.	WLA Manager Lands Messaging Team	- Work with internal Lands Messaging Team to develop messages, stories, and promotions on the wildlife area. - Develop 1-2 stories each biennium or as opportunities arise.
9. Maintain productive and positive working relationships with local jurisdictions, community, neighbors, lessee partners and permittees	A. Continue to allow and look for new opportunities for grazing permits and agricultural leases to promote working lands where the action is consistent with WDFW Commission policy and agency grazing and agriculture policies.	All	1. Number of agricultural leases and grazing permits active annually 2. New grazing policy implemented (Y/N) 3. Monitoring of grazing leases annually (Y/N) 4. New agricultural lease policy implemented (Y/N) 5. Number of potential new grazing and agriculture opportunities explored	WLA Manager	- Implement the range management plan. - If new grazing opportunities are proposed, explore the feasibility of issuing permits, based on state rules and policies and ecological conditions. - Consider that climate change increases the importance of protecting riparian areas, seeps and springs.
	B. Maintain strong communication and coordination with local jurisdictions on implementation of the plan.	All	1. Appropriate local jurisdictions consulted on land use actions (Y/N)	WLA Manager	- Consult with appropriate jurisdictions on actions that need their input.



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
	C. Implement and monitor grazing permits.	Asotin Creek 4-0 Ranch Shumaker	1. Grazing areas monitored for permit compliance (Y/N) 2. Corrective measures implemented if violations discovered or conditions warrant adaptive measures (Y/N)	WLA Manager	- Regularly inspect permitted areas. - Communicate with permittees to address concerns. - Implement measures to protect listed species. - Consult ESA Section 6 plan for action on the 4-0 Ranch.
10. Maintain productive and positive working relationships with tribes	A. Work with the treaty tribes (Nez Perce and Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR)) to ensure the Plan’s management objectives of fish and wildlife are achieved while providing opportunities for the exercise of treaty reserved rights. Protect tribal treaty rights and carefully evaluate and consider impacts to traditional hunting and gathering sites. Discuss mutual concerns for wildlife resources with the tribes.	All	1. Nez Perce Tribe invited to discuss wildlife area plan management objectives and mutual concerns for wildlife resources (Y/N) 2. CTUIR invited to discuss wildlife area plan management objectives and mutual concerns for wildlife resources (Y/N) 3. For management actions that could affect them, tribal treaty rights are considered and evaluated (Y/N)	WLA Manager Wildlife Program Manager Region 1 Director	- Coordinate with tribes on management actions that may be of interest to them. Extend annual invitation to Nez Perce Tribe and CTUIR to discuss wildlife area management issues, wildlife resources, and other common interests. - For management actions that could affect tribal interests, consider and evaluate impacts.
11. Maintain safe, highly functional administration, information, and facilities	A. Increase patrols in Blue Mountains to reduce deer and elk poaching. Investigate options for assistance such as bringing in officers from out of	All	1. Additional number of patrols on the Blue Mountains WLA 2. Number of options for additional assistance identified	Enforcement Program	- Advocate for more enforcement officers for Blue Mountains Area. - Assist Enforcement with logistical needs when situations arise (ATVs, Trailers, etc.).



Goal	Objective	WLA or Units	Performance measures	WDFW Lead Support	Tasks
	the area to assist in busy times (such as a marine detachment).				
	B. Update wildlife area facility information in centralized database quarterly.	All	1. Central facilities database updated quarterly (Y/N)	WLA Manager	- Use agency facility inventory tool to update facilities information.
	C. Review and update information on the wildlife area web pages annually.	All	1. Wildlife area web pages reviewed and updated annually (Y/N)	WLA Manager	- Keep online information up-to-date. - As soon as possible, post information about closures or major events that could limit public access.



Monitoring and adaptive management

Wildlife area objectives are to be measured annually based on the associated performance measures and through staff annual evaluations. On a biennial basis, wildlife area managers will review and work with staff leads to develop two-year updates with the advisory committee and district teams. Such reporting will allow the manager, staff, and regional office to modify tasks and timelines as necessary to meet plan objectives. Plan implementation may be affected by available funding.



Collared bighorn sheep on Asotin Creek Wildlife Area
Photo by Alan Bauer



Physical characteristics

Geology and soils

The Blue Mountains are part of the Columbia Plateau that was formed by fissure lava flows. The Blue Mountains were formed during the last 20 million years by the uplift of a broad anticline arch. They are comprised of a core of Paleozoic and Mesozoic metamorphic rock mantles by flows of the Columbia River Basalt Group. The bedrock of Tucannon watershed consists nearly entirely of lava flows 6 to 16.5 million years old (Miocene) and belongs to the Grande Ronde and Wampur formations (Gephart 2001).

Parent bedrock material consists of basaltic rock, and includes fractured and folded lava flows. The basalt material has broken down into coarse gravels, cobbles, and boulders, with fine silts and clays (Asotin County Conservation District 1995). The overlying soil is composed of fine-grained loess, deposits of volcanic ash, and silt loams, all of which are highly erosive. Two major soil types exist in the Blue Mountains area: vitrandepts, which originate from volcanic parent material and are found at higher elevations supporting forest habitats; and agrikerolls, which are developed from



Green Gulch, Chief Joseph Unit

Photo by Alan Bauer

igneous rock and loess deposits, and support grass and shrubland vegetation (Fowler 2001). Folding of the bedrock caused uplift in the topography and over time stream channels cut through the fragile soils to form steep-sided, narrow canyons.

One of the most notable geologic features in the Tucannon subbasin is the Hite Fault. This fault system forms the western margin of the Blue Mountains between Pomeroy, WA and Pendleton, OR, and has been the focus of many historic earthquakes (U.S. Department of Energy 1988). This fault is 135 kilometers (83.9 miles) in length and crosses both the Tucannon River and Pataha Creek at right angles. The Hite Fault is still active and may be the cause of elevated ground water temperatures well above the standard geothermal gradient recorded in local wells (Covert et al. 1995, as cited in Gephart 2001).



The McDonald Road Unit and Swegle Unit are located in Walla Walla County along the Walla Walla River, west of the Blue Mountains. The McDonald Road Unit has the Walla Walla River wash and Touchet silt loam (0-3% slope) series. River wash consists of nearly level bars of coarse sand and gravel. It is nearly bare of vegetation but willows and cottonwoods are establishing in places. It is subject to change in size and position, even during the normal flow of the river. The Touchet series consists of moderately well drained, medium textured soils of stream bottomlands. The soils have formed in deep deposits of alluvium that washed from the uplands. Vegetation is largely cottonwood, willow, and alder.

The Swegle Unit consists of a variety of soil series. Pedigo silt loam, over washed (0-3 percent slope) soil formed in recent alluvium that washed from the uplands. They have a uniform profile of silt loam that is calcareous, slightly saline, and moderately to strongly alkaline. Vegetation is mainly giant wild rye and saltgrass, in wet spots it is alkali bluegrass and in the dry, fringe areas it is bluebunch wheatgrass. Yakima silt loam (0-3 percent slope) and Yakima gravelly silt loam (0-3 percent slope) series consist of excessively drained, medium textured soils that have formed in alluvium. The alluvium consists of basaltic material that has washed from the Blue Mountains and of some loess from the soils of the uplands. Native vegetation consisted of willow and cottonwood along the streams and beardless wheatgrass and wild rye on the dry parts of the bottom. Sagebrush and sumac grew in the more cobbly areas. Hermiston silt loam (0-3 percent slope). Umapine silt loam, leached surface (0-3 percent slope) is a well-drained saline-alkali soil on gently sloping terraces. The soils formed on old alluvium derived mainly from loess and pumice mixed with a small amount of basaltic material. It is low in fertility and low in water-supplying capacity. Root penetration is moderately shallow and very little wind erosion occurs as long as salt grass cover is maintained.

Hydrology and watersheds

The three wildlife areas are primarily in the Middle Snake River Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA), and partly in the Walla Walla WRIA.

The main river systems in the wildlife areas are the Snake River, the Grande Ronde River, Tucannon River, and Walla Walla River, and tributaries of each river.

Chief Joseph Wildlife Area

Joseph Creek is located on the Chief Joseph Unit, and is the lowest tributary of the Grande Ronde River in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin. Roughly 2.3 miles of Joseph Creek and 8 miles of the Grande Ronde River run through or are adjacent to the wildlife area. Both of these drainages contain anadromous fish species. The floods of 1996-7 modified some stream characteristics of Joseph Creek and piled rock and debris in the floodplain.

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality has identified many stream segments within the Grande Ronde subbasin as “water quality limited.” Many of these streams are habitat for chinook salmon, summer steelhead, and bull trout. “Water quality limited” means instream water quality fails to meet established standards for certain parameters for all or for a portion of the year (Nowak 2004). Joseph Creek fails to meet the temperature quality standard.



The 4-0 Ranch Unit contains perennial and intermittent streams. The most notable are the Wenatchee and Cougar creeks. There are many stock ponds and artificially created impoundments designed to capture spring runoff water throughout the property.

Grouse Flats Unit does not contain any large permanent bodies of water or fish-bearing streams. Four small ponds were created to enhance wildlife watering sites, which are spring fed or filled by run-off, but only one holds water.

Asotin Creek Wildlife Area

Asotin County recently completed a watershed assessment for four watersheds in the county, including Asotin Creek (Bennett, et al 2018). The study found that the geomorphic function was stable or improving in most reaches in the study, assumed to be the result of past conservation actions. The study also found that lack of habitat diversity and key habits are factors that limited productivity and survival of key fish species.

Asotin Creek has a mean annual flow of 94 cubic feet per second (cfs). Three tributaries to Asotin Creek have some discharge monitoring: George, Charley, and North Fork (continuation of the mainstem) and South Fork Asotin Creeks. North Fork has the second largest mean annual discharge of 45 cfs. George Creek enters Asotin Creek approximately 3 miles from the mouth and makes up almost 40 percent of the land area of the Asotin Creek watershed.

George Creek forms the largest subbasin within the Asotin Creek watershed, along with its tributaries Pintler and Rockpile creeks. George and Pintler creeks have groundwater dominated hydrology, and both have stretches that go dry on the surface every summer, though they are still flowing underground through the gravel. Charley Creek and both North and South Fork Asotin Creeks are perennial streams, and Lick Creek flow may be intermittent.

Historically, Asotin Creek had a less severe gradient, a meandering flow pattern with point bars that formed pools and riffles, and a well-developed thalweg (low flow stream channel). This stream morphology has been altered, and now most of the tributaries in the watershed have been straightened, diked, or relocated (ACCD 2004). These channel modifications, exacerbated by multiple flood events, resulted in a loss of well-defined thalwegs and point bars, and created a braided channel that lacks instream structure, pools, and woody vegetation. Today's drainage is straighter, steeper, and more confined, and has modified runoff patterns. The combination of all these factors, most significantly the loss of thalwegs and naturally functioning point bars, is responsible for the degradation of fish habitat in much of the Asotin drainage (ACCD 2004).

W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area

W.T. Wooten Unit is in the Tucannon River Subbasin of the Columbia River. The Tucannon River is the major drainage of the wildlife area, and approximately 17 miles of the river flow through the wildlife area. Significant tributaries of the Tucannon River are Tualum Creek, Cummings Creek, and the Little Tucannon River, each of which provide riparian cover and fish habitat. These waters support natural origin bull trout as well as natural and hatchery origin steelhead and spring chinook. The Tucannon is designated critical habitat for these three federally-endangered species (Southerland 2004).



Eight artificial lakes were created in the early 1950s to provide recreational fishing opportunities. Six of the eight lakes are fed by diversions from the Tucannon River, and two are spring-fed. Water passes through the lakes and returns to the river. During the summer months the water returning to the river is warmer than the diverted water, exacerbating water temperature problems. As early as 1981, elevated water temperatures were documented as a limiting factor for Tucannon River salmon production (Gephart and Nordheim 2001).

A temperature analyses for the Tucannon River was conducted in 2006 (HDR 2006). High water temperature in the Tucannon River was identified as a limiting factor for salmonid habitat. Twelve segments of the Tucannon River are included on the “impaired waters” list. The temperature data collected in 2004-2005 show that water temperatures in the river are elevated and exceed current water quality standards (HDR 2006). The study also found that improved riparian shading could lower water temperature by a maximum of 1-4 degrees centigrade (2-7 degrees Fahrenheit) assuming that the riparian vegetation in the watershed could be restored to the full system potential.

The W.T. Wooten Floodplain Management Plan was written in 2014 to improve the Tucannon River floodplain function, improve fishing, and improve habitat condition for Endangered Species Act-listed salmonids and other aquatic species.

The McDonald Road and Swegle units are both in the Walla Walla River Subbasin of the Columbia River. The McDonald Road Unit includes two separate parcels, one lying east and one lying west of McDonald Road. Together these units contain about one mile of Walla Walla River frontage. Riparian vegetation is in excellent condition and fairly stable except during extreme flood events. Historic dike construction altered the hydrology of this area, preventing floodwaters from recharging the riparian zone in some places. These dikes have been removed. These units lie within Watershed Resource Inventory Area 32, the Touchet, and Walla Walla River watersheds.

The Swegle Unit is comprised of three separate parcels and contains parts of Mill Creek, the Little Walla Walla River, and the main stem Walla Walla River. The WDFW owns approximately 5,800 feet of frontage on the Walla Walla River, 1,600 feet on the Little Walla Walla River, and a 2,600-foot easement along Mill Creek. Riparian vegetation along the Walla Walla River and Mill Creek is in very good condition. The Little Walla Walla River has excellent riparian habitat near the confluence with the main stem Walla Walla River. The upper portion, however, has excellent herbaceous cover but is lacking a woody vegetation component. Shoreline areas are very stable on both the Little Walla Walla River and Mill Creek. The main stem Walla Walla River is stable except in high runoff events when it typically scours and moves side to side.

Climate

The Blue Mountains Wildlife Area’s overall climate is semi-arid. With elevations from 850 to about 4,000 feet, there is a lot of variation.

The wildlife areas are contained in NOAA’s climate division called “Palouse-Blue Mountains” and described on the Western Regional Climate Center webpage: <https://wrcc.dri.edu/>.



“This area includes counties along the eastern border of the state south from Spokane to the Oregon border and west to near Walla Walla. The elevation increases from 1,000 feet in the vicinity of Walla Walla to 3,500 feet in the Palouse Hills and to 6,000 feet in the Blue Mountains. Precipitation increases as the elevation increases in an easterly direction across this area. Annual precipitation is between 10 to 20 inches over most of the agricultural section increasing to 40 inches or more in the higher elevations of the Blue Mountains. The average winter season snowfall varies from 20 to 40 inches. Snow can be expected in November and remain on the ground from periods ranging from a few days to two months between the first of December and March. Snowfall and the depth on the ground increase along the slopes of the mountains.

The average January maximum temperature is near 34° F in the Palouse Hills and 38° in the Snake and Walla Walla River valleys. The average minimum temperature varies from 20° to 25° F. Minimum temperatures between 0° and -15° F are recorded on a few nights each winter and temperatures ranging from -25° to -35° F have been recorded. In July, the average maximum temperature is in the upper 80s and the minimum is in the mid-50s. Maximum temperatures usually reach 100° F on a few afternoons and temperatures from 105° to 112° F have been recorded.

The last freezing temperature in the spring is the last of April in the Walla Walla and Snake River valleys and the last of May in the Palouse Hills. The first freezing temperatures usually occur the last of September or first of October”. (https://wrcc.dri.edu/Climate/narrative_wa.php).

Ecological values

Ecological systems and ecological integrity

WDFW’s strategic objectives include protecting and restoring the ecological integrity of critical habitats consistent with DNR’s Natural Heritage Program’s Ecological Integrity Monitoring (EIM). The statewide goal is to restore and protect the integrity of priority ecological systems and sites. Ecological Integrity Assessments (EIA) and EIM are used to direct and measure achievements towards that goal.

Ecological integrity is defined as the ability of a system to support and maintain a community of organisms that has species composition, diversity, and functional organization comparable to those of natural habitats. EIM is a tool to evaluate ecological integrity, and changes over time, within priority systems and sites on the wildlife areas. Similar to species classifications grouped according to level of threat and potential inability to support sustained populations, habitats are grouped by type, including those that are priorities for preservation and conservation. The complete classification system document, including descriptions of all ecological systems, can be found on this webpage: <https://www.dnr.wa.gov/NHPecologicalsys> and summarized in the framework.

The planning process for Blue Mountains wildlife areas identified 10 National Ecological Systems of Concern to manage for ecological integrity. Table 11 summarizes these systems for the wildlife area, as described in DNR’s Natural Heritage Program website of over 20 acres. A rough estimate is that about 76 percent of the acreage of the Blue Mountains wildlife areas is considered imperiled or critically imperiled.



Appendix A contains the list of Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) believed to be present on the wildlife areas and their relationships with ecological systems of concern. Actions associated with ecological integrity are included in the goals and objectives section (page 77), and include determining a baseline for ecological integrity for each of these systems and devising a monitoring plan to evaluate progress over time.



Table 11. Ecological Systems of Concern on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas (2015 Rocchio)

Ecological System of Concern	Wildlife Areas	Estimated Acres	Description
Columbia Basin Foothill and Canyon Dry Grassland	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	47,900	Foothill herbaceous vegetation found on steep open slopes, in the canyons and valleys of the Columbia Basin, particularly along the Snake River canyon, the lower foothill slopes of the Blue Mountains, and along the main stem of the Columbia River. Settings are primarily long, steep slopes of 328 feet to well over 1,300 feet, and slope failure is a common process.
Northern Rocky Mountain Ponderosa Pine Woodland and Savanna	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	6,660	These woodlands and savannas are, or at least historically were, fire-maintained and occurring at the lower treeline/ecotone between grasslands or shrublands at lower elevations and more mesic coniferous forests at higher elevations. This is the predominant ponderosa pine system of E.WA.
Northern Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	1,460	Riparian woodland and shrubland consists of deciduous, coniferous, and mixed conifer- deciduous forests that occur on streambanks and river floodplains of the lower montane and foothill zones.
Inter-Mountain Basins Big Sagebrush Steppe	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	1,460	This system is grassland with shrubs. Shrubs are dominated by <i>Artemisia spp.</i> , and/or <i>Purshia tridentata</i> in an open to moderately dense shrub layer and with at least 25 percent total perennial herbaceous cover. The natural fire regime of this ecological system maintains a patchy distribution of shrubs, so the general aspect is that of grassland. <i>P. tridentata</i> is present almost always in association with tree cover, not out in the open.
Columbia Basin Foothill Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	940	Low-elevation riparian system found along the mainstem of the Columbia River and associated major tributaries on the periphery of the mountains surrounding the Columbia River Basin at and below lower tree line. Found in low-elevation canyons and draws, on floodplains, or in steep-sided canyons, in narrow V-shaped valleys with rocky substrates.
Columbia Plateau Steppe and Grassland	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	940	Grasslands dominated by perennial bunch grasses and forbs, sometimes with a sparse shrub layer. Often forms a landscape mosaic with the Columbia Plateau Shrubland ecological system. Very little exposed bare ground due to mosses and lichens carpeting the area between plants, comprising a biological soil crust that is a very important characteristic in this ecological system.



Northern Rocky Mountain Western Larch Savanna	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	380	These open-canopied "savannas" of the deciduous conifer <i>Larix occidentalis</i> appear in the Okanogan Highlands, East Cascades and possibly in the Blue Mountains of eastern Washington. These stands may have been initiated following stand-replacing crown fires of other conifer systems but are then maintained by a higher frequency, surface-fire regime.
Columbia Plateau Low Sagebrush Steppe	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	80	Dwarf sagebrush shrubsteppe dominated by <i>Artemisia arbuscula</i> . And typically found on mountain ridges and flanks and broad terraces. In Washington, it appears on isolated ridges near or above lower treeline in Chelan, Kittitas and Yakima counties.
Rocky Mountain Aspen Forest and Woodland	Chief Joseph Asotin Creek W.T. Wooten	21	Upland forests and woodlands found east of the Cascades. These forests and woodlands are most common along the east side of the North Cascades and in the Okanogan Highlands.
Inter-Mountain Basins Greasewood Flat	Chief Joseph	17	Open to moderately dense shrublands dominated or co-dominated by <i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i> and with saline soils. This system typically occurs near drainages on stream terraces and flats or may form rings around more sparsely vegetated playas. Seasonally high water tables and intermittent flooding is expected, however most sites remain dry at the soil surface through most growing seasons. In Washington, it occurs in the Columbia Basin and Okanogan Valley.

Habitat connectivity

The Blue Mountains wildlife areas are situated on the Columbia Plateau and is located in the watersheds of the Snake and Grande Ronde (Chief Joseph), Asotin Creek (Asotin Creek), and the Tucannon, and the Walla Walla rivers (W.T. Wooten has 17 miles of the Tucannon River, and two small units on the Walla Walla). This broad area is dominated by foothill herbaceous vegetation (Columbia Basin Foothill and Canyon Dry Grassland) and upland forests, woodlands, and savannahs (Northern Rocky Mountain Ponderosa Pine Woodland and Savanna and Rocky Mountain Aspen Forest and Woodland).

Fish and wildlife survival depends in part on the ability to move through the environment to find food and reproduce. The degree to which land protection and condition supports these necessary movements is called habitat connectivity. WDFW is a member of the Washington Wildlife Habitat Connectivity Working Group (WHCWG) (<http://wacconnected.org/>). This group represents a science-based collaboration of land and resource management agencies, non-governmental organizations, universities and Washington Treaty Tribes.

Key wildlife habitat connectivity linkage networks at the statewide level were identified by the WHCWG in 2010, and looked at 16 focal species. A second examination of wildlife habitat connectivity linkages within the Columbia Plateau occurred two years later and looked at 11



species, WHCWG (2013). The Columbia Plateau is the largest ecoregion in Washington, occupying nearly one-third of the state. The Blue Mountains wildlife areas are in this ecoregion. See the Columbia Plateau Ecoregion Analysis for more information.

These two connectivity efforts have some species in common. The Columbia Plateau Connectivity Analysis was performed at a finer scale since it was focusing on a subset of Washington, not the entire state.

The linkage networks, comprised of suitable habitats and the linkages connecting them, were derived from two modeling approaches: focal species and landscape integrity. The focal species approach identified important habitat areas for the species. The landscape integrity approach was used to help define the best linkages between habitat areas for each wildlife focal species found on or near the Blue Mountains wildlife areas.

Focal species were carefully selected to represent the connectivity needs of a broader assemblage of wildlife (WHCWG 2012). The best linkages provided the least resistance to movement between habitat areas for that animal in that area. This means that some of the linkages may not be comprised of ideal habitat, but provide opportunities for movement through a human-modified landscape. The landscape integrity approach identified core habitat areas that were relatively free from human modification and the least human-modified linkages between them (WHCWG 2012).

Habitat connectivity information will be used when appropriate to inform management decisions on the wildlife area. Habitat restoration and management projects will seek to maintain or improve linkages between habitat blocks on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas for bighorn sheep, western rattlesnake, western toad, and elk (Table 12). Habitat concentration areas and linkages for these species can be found online (<http://waconnected.org/>). The connectivity findings are a useful tool to assess important locations for the movement or migration of animals so they can reach the wildlife areas and move between wildlife area units.

Table 12: Species with habitat connectivity on wildlife areas

Focal Species	Wildlife Area Units
Bighorn sheep	W.T. Wooten, Asotin Creek, Chief Joseph, Shumaker, 4-0 Ranch, Grouse Flats
Western rattlesnake	Chief Joseph, Shumaker, 4-0 Ranch
Western toad	W.T. Wooten, Chief Joseph, Shumaker, 4-0 Ranch, Grouse Flats
Elk	W.T. Wooten, Asotin Creek, Weatherly, Chief Joseph, Shumaker, 4-0 Ranch, Grouse Flats



Species management

WDFW's mission is to preserve, protect, and perpetuate fish, wildlife, and ecosystems while providing sustainable fish and wildlife recreational and commercial opportunities. The agency carries out this mission according to state and federal laws (including the Endangered Species Act or ESA) and funding requirements (from property acquisition and/or funds used for ongoing operations and maintenance), which direct many management activities on WDFW's wildlife areas. Other guidance comes from statewide plans for species and/or habitats, and other scientific approaches recommended by internal and external parties (e.g. The Washington State National Heritage Program's Ecological Integrity Assessments). Management actions may also be influenced by collaborative work undertaken with other conservation organizations, including tribal governments, land trusts, and other land management organizations, academic research programs, and even the specific interests of volunteers if they fit within WDFW's mission, budget and wildlife area goals.



Wintering elk
Photo WDFW

Species management overview

Consistent with WDFW's mission, the agency manages species on wildlife areas for two primary purposes: 1) conservation and protection to manage sustainable populations; and 2) provision of recreational and commercial opportunities.

The Wildlife Area Management Planning Framework describes how species are classified – including species listed at the state or federal level as threatened or endangered, as well as other



designations such as Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN). SGCN species are summarized in the State Wildlife Action Plan (<https://wdfw.wa.gov/species-habitats/at-risk/swap>) and defined as species already listed as threatened,

endangered or sensitive, as well as additional species thought to need conservation attention. The framework also incorporates goals from WDFW's Game Management Plan, which includes protecting, sustaining, and managing hunted wildlife, providing stable, regulated recreational hunting to all residents, protecting and enhancing wildlife habitat, and minimizing adverse impacts to residents, other wildlife, and the environment. The wildlife area plan integrates these plans and priorities,

and, in the goal and objectives section (page 77), defines specific actions to achieve them.



River Otter in Spring Lake, W.T. Wooten Unit

Photo by Alan Bauer

The Blue Mountains wildlife areas supports a broad variety of game and non-game wildlife species called “diversity” species, including golden eagles, flammulated owls, bumble bees, numerous bat species, amphibians, reptiles, fish, deer, elk, cougar, otter, bear, bighorn sheep, and wolves. The range of habitats on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas provide habitat for a wide range of species, with multiple levels of management and recovery categories. There are 57 SGCN species, 21 State Candidate, three Federal Candidate, four State Endangered, two State Threatened, and four Federal Threatened species (Table 13).



Table 13. State and federal conservation status, WDFW Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) and SGCN criteria and priority areas that may occur on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Status State Status SGCN, PHS	Wildlife Area Unit
MAMMALS			
Merriam's shrew	<i>Sorex merriami</i>	SGCN,PHS	All
Preble's shrew	<i>Sorex preblei</i>	SGCN,PHS	W.T. Wooten
Roosting concentrations of Big-brown bat, Myotis bats, Pallid bat	<i>Eptesicus fuscus, Myotis spp., Antrozous pallidus</i>	PHS	All
Hoary Bat	<i>Lasiurus cinereus</i>	SGCN	W.T. Wooten, McDonald Bridge, Swegle Road
Silver-haired bat	<i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i>	SGCN	All
Townsend's big-eared bat	<i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Gray wolf	<i>Canis lupus</i>	SE,SGCN,PHS	All
Marten	<i>Martes americana</i>	SGCN,PHS	All
American badger	<i>Taxidea taxus</i>	SGCN	All
Bighorn sheep	<i>Ovis canadensis</i>	PHS	All
Moose	<i>Alces</i>	PHS	All
NW white-tailed deer	<i>Odocoileus virginianus ochrourus</i>	PHS	All
Elk	<i>Cervus elaphus</i>	SGCN,PHS	All
Rocky mountain mule deer	<i>Odocoileus hemionus</i>	PHS	All
BIRDS			
American white pelican	<i>Pelecanus erythrorhynchos</i>	ST,SGCN,PHS	W.T. Wooten (McDonald Bridge, Swegle Road)
Black-crowned night-heron	<i>Nycticorax</i>	PHS	W.T. Wooten (McDonald Bridge, Swegle Road)
Great blue heron	<i>Ardea herodias</i>	PHS	All
Sandhill crane	<i>Grus Canadensis</i>	SE, SGCN	4-0 Ranch



Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Status State Status SGCN, PHS	Wildlife Area Unit
Cavity-nesting ducks: Wood duck, Barrow's goldeneye, Common goldeneye, Bufflehead, Hooded merganser	<i>Aix sponsa</i> , <i>Bucephala islandica</i> , <i>Bucephala clangula</i> , <i>Bucephala albeola</i> , <i>Lophodytes cucullatus</i>	PHS	All
Waterfowl concentrations	(<i>Anatidae</i> - excluding <i>Canada</i> <i>geese in urban areas</i>)	PHS	All
Cinnamon teal	<i>Anas cyanoptera</i>	SGCN	All
Bald eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	FSC, SGCN	All
Ferruginous hawk	<i>Buteo regalis</i>	ST,SGCN,PHS	W.T. Wooten (Historic)
Golden eagle	<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Northern goshawk	<i>Accipiter gentilis</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Peregrine falcon	<i>Falco peregrinus</i>	FSC	All
Flammulated owl	<i>Otus flammeolus</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Great gray owl	<i>Strix nebulosa</i>	SGCN	All
Short eared owl	<i>Asio flammeus</i>	SGCN	All
Western Screech owl		SGCN	All
Burrowing owl	<i>Athene cunicularia</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	McDonald Bridge, Swegle Rd <i>Historic</i>
Prairie falcon	<i>Falco mexicanus</i>	SGCN,PHS	Asotin Creek Chief Joseph
Chukar	<i>Alectoris chukar</i>	PHS	All
Dusky grouse	<i>Dendragapus obscurus</i>	PHS	All
Mountain quail	<i>Oreortyx pictus</i>	SGCN,PHS	All
Ring-necked pheasant	<i>Phasianus colchicus</i>	PHS	All
Wild turkey	<i>Meleagris gallopavo</i>	PHS	All
Upland sandpiper	<i>Bartramia longicauda</i>	SE,SGCN,PHS	Chief Joseph
Yellow-billed cuckoo	<i>Coccyzus americanus</i>	FT,SE,SGCN, PHS	Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek



Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Status State Status SGCN, PHS	Wildlife Area Unit
Vaux's swift	<i>Chaetura vauxi</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Black-backed woodpecker	<i>Picoides arcticus</i>	SC,PHS	All
Lewis' woodpecker	<i>Melanerpes lewis</i>	SGCN,PHS	All
Pileated woodpecker	<i>Dryocopus pileatus</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
White-headed woodpecker	<i>Picoides albolarvatus</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Loggerhead shrike	<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	
Pygmy nuthatch	<i>Sitta pygmaea</i>	SGCN	All
Sage thrasher	<i>Oreoscoptes montanus</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
AMPHIBIANS			
Columbia spotted frog	<i>Rana luteiventris</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Rocky mountain tailed frog	<i>Ascaphus montanus</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Western toad	<i>Bufo boreas</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
REPTILES			
Striped whipsnake	<i>Masticophis taeniatus</i>	SGCN,PHS	W.T. Wooten, Chief Joseph
Sagebrush lizard	<i>Sceloporus graciosus</i>	SGCN,PHS	All
FISH			
Pacific lamprey	<i>Entosphenus tridentata</i>	FSC, SGCN, PHS	All
Leopard dace	<i>Rhinichthys falcatus</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Mountain sucker	<i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i>	SC,SGCN, PHS	Chief Joseph
Bull Trout/ Dolly Varden	<i>Salvelinus confluentus/S. malma</i>	FT,SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	FT,SC,PHS	All
Rainbow Trout/ Inland Redband Trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	SGCN,PHS	All
Snake River Basin Steelhead DPS	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	FT,SC,SGCN,PHS	All



Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Status State Status SGCN, PHS	Wildlife Area Unit
Margined Sculpin	<i>Cottus marginatus</i>	SS,SGCN,PHS	Wooten
INVERTEBRATES			
Giant Columbia River limpet (Shortface Lanx)	<i>Fisherola nuttalli</i>	PHS	Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek
Columbia pebblesnail	<i>Fluminicola columbiana</i>	SC,PHS	Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek
Poplar Oregonian	<i>Cryptomastix populi</i>	SC,PHS	Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek
California floater	<i>Anodonta californiensis</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	W.T. Wooten
Columbia River tiger beetle	<i>Cicindela columbica</i>	SC,SGCN	Chief Joseph
Mann's mollusk-eating ground beetle	<i>Scaphinotus manni</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek
Juniper hairstreak	<i>Mitoura grynea barryi</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Shepard's parnassian	<i>Parnassius clodius shepardii</i>	SC,SGCN,PHS	All
Morrison bumble bee	<i>Bombus morrisoni</i>	SGCN	All
Western bumble bee	<i>Bombus occidentalis</i>	SGCN	All
Suckley cuckoo bumble bee	<i>Bombus suckleyi</i>	SGCN	All

Abbreviations:

State endangered (SE), State threatened (ST), State Candidate for listing (SC), State Sensitive (SS), Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN), Priority Habitats and Species (PHS)

Federal endangered (FE), Federal threatened (FT), Federal candidate (FC), Federal species of concern (FSC)

PHS Criteria: 1: State listed candidate species; 2: Vulnerable aggregations; 3: Species of recreational, commercial, or tribal importance.



Game species overview

The predominant big game species on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas are mule deer, white-tailed deer, elk, bighorn sheep, black bears, and cougars. These species attract the majority of hunters in the area. The predominant small game species are chukar, gray partridge, turkey, ruffed grouse, California quail, and pheasants, with chukar and turkey receiving the most attention from hunters. All but ruffed grouse are introduced species.

A summary of the higher priority species and the factors contributing to their management is discussed below. The other game species are managed incidentally; additional information available in other WDFW publications.

Game management

WDFW manages game species on the wildlife areas in accordance to species-specific management plans. Almost all management activities for game species are conducted at a scale greater than the wildlife area, or any of its subunits.

The exception to this is the 4-0 Ranch Unit, where deer and elk hunting are managed as a “Quality” hunt opportunity, which limits the number of tags allotted for the area. For more information, see the WDFW Game Management Plan, available online at <http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/01676/>. Game species that require specific management actions in this plan include deer, elk, bighorn sheep, and pheasant. Management activities in this plan include managing winter range for ungulates, disease risk abatement for bighorn sheep, and releasing pheasants for hunting.

The Game Management Units (GMUs) associated with the Blue Mountains wildlife areas are: 162 Dayton; 163 Marengo; 166 Tucannon; 172 Mountain View; 175 Lick Creek; 178 Peola; and 181 Couse.



Mule deer on W.T. Wooten Unit
Photo by Alan Bauer



Game species

Bighorn sheep

Bighorn sheep, (*Ovis canadensis*), are native to Washington and were extirpated from much of their western range by the early 1900s. Bighorns were absent from the Blue Mountains until reintroduction of the Tucannon herd on the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area in 1957 and 1960. Following this reintroduction, four additional herds were established by translocations between 1973 and 1998 in the Grande Ronde drainage (Mountain View, Black Butte and Wenaha herds), and Asotin Creek drainage (Asotin Creek herd). Early transplants were comprised of 'California' bighorns originating from British Columbia, Canada, and the Sinlahekin Wildlife Area. Now regarded as the same subspecies as Rocky Mountain bighorns, California bighorns were presumed to be more susceptible to scabies-related mortality, which occurred among the early transplants. Subsequent transplants have been Rocky Mountain bighorns from WA, OR, MT, and BC. Scabies



Bighorn sheep

Photo by David Woodall, WDFW

continues to occur among all bighorn herds in the Blue Mountains, particularly in lambs and yearlings, but does not appear to be a significant mortality factor and usually resolves itself once sheep reach adulthood.

Bighorn sheep are highly susceptible to disease contracted from domestic sheep and goats. Recent research has shown that the bacteria *Mycoplasma ovipneumonia* is the primary source of all-age pneumonia die-offs in bighorn sheep herds across their range, and often results in extended periods of high lamb mortality. This is the major concern for managing bighorn sheep herds. All herds in the Blue Mountains have a history of exposure to domestic sheep and goats, and only the

Tucannon herd has avoided a pneumonia outbreak. WDFW has documented extensive exploratory movements by young rams outside of normal herd areas in the region. This is presumably the main source for transmission of disease between domestic and wild sheep among infected and healthy bighorn sheep herds, from neighboring landowners grazing domestic goats or sheep and pack goats using the wildlife areas and adjacent public lands.

Movement among bighorn herds is a main source of transmission between herds. This leaves managers with the problem of trying to recover bighorn sheep herds, but also keep numbers low enough to limit the number of transitory animals and their exploratory movements to keep inter- and intra-specific contact at a low level. As a general policy, WDFW will lethally or otherwise remove any bighorns discovered outside the normal herd range and with potential domestic sheep



or goat contact. While pack goats are perceived to have a lower risk of disease transmission, any disease transmission potential is not worth the risk to a species that WDFW has spent hundreds of thousands of dollars to recover. Until we have identified reliable procedures to eliminate any disease transmission from domestic sheep and goats to bighorn sheep, domestic sheep and goat activity (grazing, pack animals, etc.) should be prohibited on wildlife areas that have bighorn sheep use.

Other management issues related to bighorn sheep on the wildlife areas include habitat degradation due to exotic weed infestations, fire suppression activities which encourage dense forest cover, and fencing that inhibits bighorn sheep movements and presents an entanglement danger, particularly for lambs. The Asotin Creek Wildlife Area in particular has fencing along Charley Creek and Asotin Creek (south of the shooting range) which impedes sheep movement. The steep slopes above the drainage are a primary foraging area for bighorns and the creeks are a major water source. Lambs have been found entangled in fencing, and injuries have been observed that are consistent with those observed in domestic livestock injuries caused by barbed wire. Management goals include removal of derelict fencing, and use of wildlife-friendly fence and wire configurations, particularly around water sources utilized by bighorn sheep.

Elk

Elk (*Cervus canadensis*) were largely extirpated from the Blue Mountains by the 1880s (ODFW 2003), but sportsmen's groups and landowners organized transplants from Yellowstone National Park in 1911, again in 1919, and added more animals in 1931 (Urness, 1960). The first regulated hunting season was held in 1927 for branch-antlered bulls, and by 1934, bull and cow hunting was instituted to reduce elk numbers and control damage on private lands in Asotin and Cummings Creek drainages. Land that has become the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area was purchased in 1941 in part to minimize conflicts between wildlife and ranches/farms in the area. WDFW first purchased a portion of the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area in 1962 to protect big game winter range and calving areas. While many of the additional purchases have focused on protection of threatened salmonids and their habitats, some have also served to reduce conflict of big game on agricultural fields in the Anatone, Cloverland, and Grouse Flats areas. Protecting and enhancing big game winter range and reduction of agricultural conflicts continue to be among the main missions of the Blue Mountains wildlife areas.

The elk using the wildlife area complex are part of the Blue Mountains elk herd, which is managed under the Blue Mountain Elk Plan (in spring 2019, the plan is being revised and will be posted on the WDFW website when it is adopted). Although there is some seasonal migratory movement to higher elevations in summer and lower elevations in winter, much of the elk use on the wildlife areas is year-round. The Shumaker Unit of the Chief Joseph WLA probably sees the highest change in seasonal use, with elk use largely confined to the winter months. Since 2001, elk populations have remained relatively stable, ranging from a low of 4,300 to a high of 5,700. The goal is to increase elk populations that are below management objective in GMUs containing primarily public land, with an overall population management objective of 5,600 elk in the Blue Mountains herd (Wik et al., in review). While the overall population objective for the entire Blue Mountains elk herd is 5,600, in some units the population is managed at a reduced level to limit agricultural damage complaints and minimize elk damage on private agricultural lands. Elk depredation is a common



problem in GMUs 154, 162, 172, and 178 (Wik et al., in review). General season antlerless opportunity, antlerless permits, and landowner kill and damage permits are often issued to deal with elk damage, and over 20 miles of elk fence is maintained in attempt to reduce movement onto private lands.

On the wildlife areas and adjacent public USFS lands, WDFW land managers and biologists co-manage elk habitat to promote appropriate population densities, healthy age-class distribution, and for sustainable harvest opportunity. Myers (1999) documented that road densities, silviculture practices, grazing, and noxious weeds influence seasonal elk habitat use. Lyndaker (1994) found that elk use of optimum habitat is reduced significantly by human activity. Protection from disturbance in breeding areas, winter ranges, and calving areas is an important consideration in the management of the Blue Mountains herd. Several area closures have been implemented on winter ranges and calving areas to protect elk from disturbance when they are most vulnerable and in the poorest condition. Managers also limit off-road vehicle travel on the wildlife area, and ensure any temporary roads constructed for forest health projects are closed to vehicle use, as noted in the objectives.

An existing disturbance issue on both USFS lands and Blue Mountain WLAs is the proliferation of people who collect shed antlers. As with traditional hunters, most shed antler collectors are ethical and conscientious in their pursuit of shed antlers, but with increased value on the open market, competition for shed antlers has increased, with hunters arriving in elk habitat earlier and causing significant disturbance on winter ranges. Some wildlife area winter closures have already been instituted to protect elk on winter ranges and sensitive calving areas; more extensive closures may be necessary to protect wintering bulls as well. Management of shed antler collectors could occur on an agency level with the institution of a shed antler collection rules or policies, or at the wildlife area level with the institution of seasonal all-entry closures similar to those already in use to protect sensitive winter range and calving areas. In addition to anthropomorphic effects, elk habitat quality and use has been negatively impacted due to long-term fire suppression. Satisfactory cover for elk consists of coniferous stands that are greater than 40 feet tall, with a canopy closure of greater than 70 percent. Marginal cover is defined as coniferous trees greater than 10 feet tall with a canopy closure of greater than 40 percent. Cooperative habitat management practices between WDFW and USFS strive to maintain elk habitat quality through use of controlled burns, commercial and non-commercial thinning, and appropriate fire suppression.

In addition to habitat issues, disease has become a limiting factor on some elk herds in Western Washington, particularly due to hoof disease from treponeme bacteria associated hoof disease (TAHD). AHD has recently been confirmed to occur in Walla Walla County (<https://wdfw.wa.gov/news/wdfw-confirms-case-elk-hoof-disease-blue-mountains-walla-walla-county>) in a Feb. 26, 2019 News Release, and has been detected in Northeast Oregon elk herds. TAHD has mainly been associated with domestic livestock kept in feedlots and wet conditions, but the presence of the disease in Northeast Oregon demonstrates the disease can occur in the Blue Mountains, and may be exacerbated by unnatural concentrations of elk, such as those occurring on winter-feeding sites. This is one reason the agency wants to avoid winter-feeding of elk. In addition to the potential health impacts from feeding large concentrations of elk unnatural diets, there is also an increased predation risk.



Deer

Mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus hemionus*) are year-round residents on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas. While WDFW does not conduct population estimation surveys on the wildlife areas specifically, harvest analysis and ground composition surveys suggest mule deer are below management objectives in most mountainous areas. General season harvest regulations for GMUs in which wildlife areas are present are restricted to three point or better bucks, with limited general season or permit antlerless opportunity. Deer are primarily browsers of trees, shrubs, and forbs, and generally avoid large elk concentrations. High elk concentrations on the Asotin Creek and W.T. Wooten wildlife areas may be one reason for low deer densities in those areas, but habitat management that promotes mixed seral stages should benefit both elk and mule deer populations. Appropriate use of controlled burns, non-commercial thinning of overgrown tree stands, and commercial thinning where forests have been converted from ponderosa pine-dominant to fir-dominant stands are all management tools that can be utilized to improve habitat for mule deer populations. See Appendix E for the wildlife area forest management strategy.



Mule deer

Photo by Susan Jensen

In addition to the nutritional value of shrubs for mule deer, areas with healthy shrub cover also provide security cover for this rangeland species. Management practices that promote healthy sagebrush stands will help provide winter forage and cover. Cattle have been removed from the Charley Creek and Dry Creek drainages where there are sagebrush stands. Any grazing proposed for these sensitive areas should incorporate careful shrub monitoring protocols to ensure the continued management to restore this critical habitat.

Fencing can be a risk hazard for deer across the landscape, as it is with bighorn sheep. Wildlife area managers have already removed significant amounts of derelict and unnecessary fencing. Managers will continue to identify fencing for removal, and ensure any new fencing projects incorporate wildlife friendly designs to reduce risk to wild ungulates. This is particularly important on the 4-0 Ranch Unit where 6-7 strand high tensile fencing is responsible for killing deer and elk every year.

Ring-necked pheasants

Ring-necked pheasants (*Phasianus colchicus*) are an introduced species to Washington that are popular among upland game hunters. Habitat on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas is not ideal for pheasant reproduction, resulting in small populations that are supplemented by WDFW's pheasant program in order to provide a positive hunting opportunity. WDFW releases pen-raised pheasants



on two portions of the Blue Mountains Wildlife Area: The Hartsock area of the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area and Halsey area of the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area (<https://wdfw.wa.gov/hunting/locations/pheasant-enhancement>). Both of these sites require hunters to use non-toxic shot, with the goal of reducing the potential for lead exposure to raptors in the area.

Diversity species (Non game)

Golden eagle

Golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*) are a state candidate species and have been the subject of recent federally coordinated range-wide surveys. Golden eagles are thought to be declining statewide, and 2013 surveys estimated 158 of 288 historic territories to be occupied, while 2014 surveys estimated 177 occupied territories only for east-side territories (WDFW 2014). Golden eagles are found on all parts of the Blue Mountains wildlife areas except perhaps on the McDonald Bridge and Sweigle Rd sites. Across Southeast Washington, a minimum of 27 recently occupied territories have been documented, with 12 occurring on or adjacent to the Blue Mountains wildlife areas. Habitat use occurs year-round, with documented breeding territories on Asotin, Chief Joseph, and W.T. Wooten wildlife areas, and wintering areas throughout the Blue Mountains.

Golden eagles are an apex predator, and maintaining habitats that support a healthy eagle population ensures protection of a diversity of other species eagles rely on. Primary management concerns on the wildlife areas include addressing potential threats from lead poisoning through ingestion of fragments in big-game gut piles and wounding losses, and from recreational shooting of non-classified wildlife (marmots, ground squirrels, etc.), and predator hunting, such as coyotes and bobcats. Sixty-five percent of golden eagles sampled in Washington had elevated lead levels and 24 percent demonstrated chronic exposure (Watson and Davis, 2015). To reduce exposure, a key management need on the wildlife area complex is public education about the effects of lead on susceptible wildlife species and the availability of non-toxic ammunition to reduce poisoning risk for both human and wildlife health. Management considerations might include promoting the use of non-toxic ammunition through incentive programs or direct prohibition, as has been done for



Golden eagle

Photo by Justin Haug, WDFW



waterfowl and upland game, encouraging hunters to bury or remove gut piles, and discouraging or prohibiting shooting of non-classified wildlife.

Another threat to golden eagles across their range has been the widespread use of rodenticides on agricultural crops. Eagles and other scavengers are susceptible to secondary poisoning, especially if poison baits are not deployed according to manufacturer specifications. Even when application guidelines are followed, the decline in prey species has a direct impact on the food supply of eagles and other species that rely on small mammals for survival. While lure crops have been demonstrated to be a useful tool for the management of elk conflicts on private lands adjacent to the wildlife areas, WDFW staff need to remain aware of the impacts to other species before making a determination on the necessity of using rodenticides and other pesticides on a wildlife area. Management considerations might include the cross-program review prior to any pesticide use to ensure there are no unintended impacts to sensitive fish and wildlife species.

Black-backed and Lewis' woodpeckers

Both black-backed (*Picoides arcticus*) and Lewis' woodpeckers (*Melanerpes lewis*) occur on multiple units of the Blue Mountains wildlife areas. Both species are somewhat fire-dependent and their populations are therefore eruptive in nature. Currently, conditions resulting from historic fires on the W.T. Wooten WLA are conducive for breeding of both species, with black-backed woodpeckers selecting burned conifers in upland areas, and Lewis' woodpeckers using cottonwoods and ponderosa pines in the riparian corridor. Both species prefer a wide-variety of insects, with black-backed woodpeckers foraging in the bark for boring beetle larvae and Lewis' woodpeckers catching insects on the wing. Threats to both species include loss of mature and old trees with cavities through harvest or snags felled for safety concerns. Management actions that promote habitat for nesting and foraging include protection and recruitment of snags and old-growth trees and snags in burned stands, and a return to open ponderosa pine conditions that mimic natural fire regimes.



Lewis' woodpecker

Photo by Justin Haug, WDFW

Grasshopper sparrow and short-eared owl

Grasshopper sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*) and short-eared owl (*Asio flammeus*) are two grassland species that are representative of ground-nesting birds requiring native grassland habitat. A major ecological system of concern across the wildlife areas is the Columbia Basin Foothill and Canyon Dry Grassland. Sharp-tailed grouse has been long extirpated from the area, and any reintroduced population would be functionally isolated from other populations in Washington.



Reintroduction of a self-sustaining and genetically robust population is unlikely to succeed due to the limited habitat area and with no identified linkages to other populations. Owls and sparrows currently inhabit portions of the Blue Mountains wildlife areas, and these species should serve as indicators of functioning grassland habitat. Management projects that incorporate prescribed burns and weed treatments should be coordinated across multiple years to avoid impacts to entire populations in one year. Prescribed burn windows often conflict with the early nesting period of short-eared owls, and large burns can leave owls with no available nesting habitat. Managers should leave some suitable nesting habitat undisturbed until other treatment areas have recovered.

Cattle grazing can also affect nesting cover for ground-nesting species. Managers should closely monitor grass heights during any grazing periods to ensure producers are following grazing prescriptions and cattle are removed before prescribed minimum grass heights are surpassed.

Fencing can also be an entanglement risk for low-coursing raptors, such as short-eared owls and northern harriers. It is not uncommon for short-eared owls to catch a wing on the top strand of barbed wire, resulting in an entanglement mortality. Managers should also consider fencing risk to raptors when installing new fencing projects and use wildlife-friendly configurations.

Bumble bees

Morrison's bumble bee (*Bombus morrisoni*), Western bumblebee (*Bombus occidentalis*), Suckley cuckoo bumble bee (*Bombus suckleyi*) are potentially present on the wildlife areas.

WDFW lists the above three species of bumble bee as species of greatest conservation need, but across their ranges, many species of bees are seeing declines. All the above bumble bee species historically occurred in healthy populations across large geographic areas. Recent surveys reveal significant declines in their numbers, distribution, and ranges. Additional surveys are needed to determine the location and number of extant Washington populations for all three species, especially for Morrison's bumble bee and Suckley cuckoo bumble bee.

The Washington State Wildlife Action Plan states that "bumble bees depend on habitats with rich floral resources throughout the nesting season, and many species select specific suites of plants for obtaining nectar and pollen. They also select flowers based on their structure and the bee's tongue length. For example, the short to medium length-tongued Suckley cuckoo bumblebee uses shallow to medium-depth flowers. Bumble bees require above and belowground micro-sites for overwintering and nesting, including logs, stumps, and abandoned rodent and ground-nesting bird nests. Their habitats must also be protected from insecticides. Bumble bees are adaptable; they do not require native vegetation. However, intensive agricultural development has been shown to result in regional bumble bee declines. Although habitat loss and insecticide use have played a role in bumble bee declines, their rapid and widespread declines even from apparently high quality habitats support the current prevailing hypothesis that pathogens introduced into the wild from commercial bumblebee facilities are the main factor in declines."

Management actions that can promote the health of local bumblebee populations include limiting use of herbicide to ground-based application to target weed infestations. Although aerial application requires less time, indiscriminate spraying can affect desirable native broadleaf species and leave large landscapes with only a grass component in the habitat structure. Heavy grazing is



also a threat, but appropriate levels can enhance native species composition, so any grazing activity should be closely monitored to ensure minimal impacts to native forb communities.

Gray wolf

The gray wolf (*Canis lupus*), a native species that was nearly extirpated early last century, is returning to Washington on its own, dispersing from populations in other states and provinces. The gray wolf is listed as Federally Endangered in the western two-thirds of the state; on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas, the gray wolf status is federally de-listed, but has state endangered status. WDFW is working to manage this recovering species, guided by a citizen-developed plan to address conflicts with livestock and impacts to other wildlife species. There are no land use restrictions associated with the recovery efforts of wolves, although management practices regarding livestock use are subject to increased efforts that are designed to reduce conflict. The management practices developed related to livestock use on public lands (federal or state) where wolves are present and can be found at the WDFW website (<https://wdfw.wa.gov/species-habitats/at-risk/species-recovery/gray-wolf>). Additional guidance for WDFW lands as it pertains to grazing where wolves are present is under development in collaboration with a diverse set of interested parties.

Fish species overview and management

Fish species

The Blue Mountains wildlife areas are made up three wildlife areas that include multiple units and water access sites. These lands are located across Walla Walla, Columbia, Garfield, and Asotin counties and five watersheds: Snake River, Grande Ronde River, Asotin Creek, Tucannon River, and Walla Walla River. All of these watersheds contain at least one salmonid species that is listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA).

Steelhead/Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) are the most common salmonid found in the wildlife areas, but chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) [Not Listed], bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), and mountain whitefish (*Prosopium williamsoni*) [Not Listed], also occur.




Snake River steelhead
Photo by Reese Overly

The following maps show the distribution of salmonids on the wildlife area units. After the maps (see Maps 15 – 21) are descriptions of the fish species from the species fact sheets in State Wildlife Action Plan (WDFW 2014).



Figure 15: Salmonid distribution in Blue Mountains wildlife areas



Blue Mountain Units

- | Fish Distribution | Hydrography | Other Lands |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Spring Chinook | DNR F-Type stream | US Forest Service |
| Dolly Varden/ Bull Trout | Other Major Stream | WA DNR |
| Summer Steelhead | | |





Figure 16: Salmonid distribution in Asotin Creek and Weatherly Units



Weatherly and Asotin Creek Units

- | Fish Distribution | Hydrography | Other Lands |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Spring Chinook | DNR F-Type stream | US Forest Service |
| Dolly Varden/ Bull Trout | Other Major Stream | WA DNR |
| Summer Steelhead | | |





Figure 17: Salmonid distribution in George Creek Unit



George Creek Unit

- | Fish Distribution | Hydrography | Other Lands |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Spring Chinook | DNR F-Type stream | US Forest Service |
| Dolly Varden/ Bull Trout | Other Major Stream | WA DNR |
| Summer Steelhead | | |





Figure 18: Salmonid distribution in Shumaker and Chief Joseph Units



Shumaker and Chief Joseph Units

Fish Distribution	Hydrography	Other Lands
Spring Chinook	DNR F-Type stream	US Forest Service
Dolly Varden/ Bull Trout	Other Major Stream	WA DNR
Summer Steelhead		





Figure 19: Salmonid distribution in 4-0 Ranch and Grouse Flats Units



Grouse Flats and 4-0 Ranch Units

Fish Distribution	Hydrography	Other Lands
Spring Chinook	DNR F-Type stream	US Forest Service
Dolly Varden/ Bull Trout	Other Major Stream	WA DNR
Summer Steelhead		




Figure 20: Salmonid distribution: W.T. Wooten Unit







Figure 21: Salmonid distribution: McDonald Bridge and Swegle Road Units



McDonald Bridge and Swegle Road Units

Fish Distribution	Hydrography	Other Lands
Spring Chinook	DNR F-Type stream	US Forest Service
Dolly Varden/ Bull Trout	Other Major Stream	WA DNR
Summer Steelhead		



Bull trout

Bull trout in this Columbia River Distinct Population Segment (DPS) face threats from habitat degradation and fragmentation, poor water quality, and introduced native fishes. They spawn in headwater streams and rivers from late summer to late fall, with falling water temperatures between 41 to 48 degrees Fahrenheit, and require colder water than other trout species. Eggs hatch in late winter or early spring, and fry emerge from gravel in April or May. Small bull trout eat terrestrial and aquatic insects, and shift to preying on fish as they grow larger. Resident and riverine migratory forms may co-occur, and each form produces offspring with either life history strategy.

Bull trout in the id-Columbia Recovery Unit occur in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho watersheds of the Columbia Basin east of the Cascade Mountains crest. In Washington, there are seven core areas, and Washington shares two other core areas with Oregon. The area upstream from Chief Joseph Dam on the Columbia River is currently unoccupied by bull trout. Asotin Creek Core Area was rated as one of the least robust (most threatened). Some populations are regularly monitored, especially in the Yakima River Core Area, for spawner abundance, but total population abundance estimates are not available.



Bull trout
Photo by Eric Anderson

Habitat includes deep pools in cold rivers and large tributary streams, often in moderate to fast currents, and large, cold lakes and reservoirs. The wildlife area is adjacent to but does not contain most of this habitat. Conditions that favor population persistence include stable channels, relatively stable stream flow, low levels of fine substrate sediments, high channel complexity with various cover types, and temperatures not exceeding about 59 degrees Fahrenheit. Suitable migratory corridors between seasonal habitats and for genetic exchange among populations are needed. Spawning usually occurs in gravel riffles of small tributary streams, including lake inlet streams, with sites often associated with springs and upwelling groundwater. Optimum temperatures for incubation are about 36 to 39 degrees Fahrenheit and for juvenile rearing, about 45 to 46 degrees Fahrenheit. Abundance of large woody debris and rubble substrate are important for rearing habitat.



Snake River steelhead trout DPS

Adults in this DPS exhibit summer return timing. They enter freshwater in immature condition in late spring, and travel to and enter natal tributaries through summer, fall, and the following spring if they hold through winter in mainstem reservoirs. They mature in freshwater and spawn from February to May in a calendar year following Columbia River entry. Adults can survive spawning and migrate back to sea, allowing some to spawn more than once. Juveniles may rear in freshwater for one to three years, with most rearing for two years. Juveniles that migrate seaward do so predominately from March through June; some mature in freshwater without going to sea, more commonly in males than females. Ocean migration paths are not well-documented but sub-adults may rear in North Pacific Ocean or Gulf of Alaska, typically for one to three years. Age at first return to spawn usually ranges from three to six years.

Steelhead in this DPS occur in Snake River tributaries in Washington, Oregon, and Idaho. Of 24 extant populations, two are entirely in Washington and two are in watersheds shared by Washington and Oregon. Historical populations also occurred upstream of the impassable Hells Canyon Dam. Asotin Creek abundance has been stable, but Tucannon River wild-born fish abundance has been low, and population was rated at high risk. Tucannon steelhead monitoring has revealed high proportions of non-local hatchery-origin and non-local wild-born adults entering the river (Mendel et al 2010). If these remain and spawn, they may affect abundance and productivity of native population. Also, many Tucannon steelhead were found to bypass the river during migration, hold in Snake River upstream of Lower Granite Dam, and a proportion did not return downstream (over the Lower Granite or Little Goose dam to their natal river). Populations partially in Washington were at viable or stable status.

Adult steelhead use a wide variety of freshwater habitats, spawning or holding in river mainstems and large and small tributaries. They migrate relatively far upstream in natal rivers and access is aided by flow conditions during migration timing. Redds are constructed in riffles, glides, and downstream margins of pools in streambeds where gravel sizes are optimal. Instream woody debris, boulders and stream bank structure provide important cover. Newly emerged juveniles use shallow gravel bed areas in riffles, among boulders, or near stream banks. As juveniles grow they move to higher water velocity areas and maintain individual territories for feeding. During long-term rearing, juveniles may move throughout watershed, using differing habitats in response to seasonal flow and temperature conditions. Instream cover is important for overwintering juveniles, and intact riparian vegetation is essential for contributing woody debris, supporting invertebrate prey, and shading. Freshwater temperatures over 77 degrees Fahrenheit are expected to be stressful or lethal, and temperatures above 68-70 degrees Fahrenheit are known to reduce growth and survival and affect migration. Columbia and Snake rivers are migration corridors, and are greatly modified by dams and reservoirs.

Snake River fall chinook salmon

Adults begin entering the Columbia River in August and quickly move upstream, entering the Snake River from late August through December. Spawning occurs from mid-October through mid-December in the mainstem and lower areas of Snake River tributaries. Juveniles rear for a few months in freshwater before migrating to the ocean. Some Snake River fall chinook may also rear for a year in mainstem reservoirs. Migration to the sea through the Snake and Columbia rivers'



mainstems occurs from spring through summer. Sub-adults rear in the Pacific Ocean coastal areas off British Columbia and Washington, and most rear for two to five years before returning to spawn.

Distribution of historical spawning habitat has been significantly altered by Snake River mainstem dams. Habitat upstream of Hells Canyon Dam is inaccessible, and a 108-mile mainstem reach between that dam and upper end of Lower Granite Dam reservoir is the remaining primary spawning habitat. Spawning also occurs now in lower areas of Snake River tributaries such as Grande Ronde, Clearwater, Salmon, and Tucannon rivers. Fish in two artificial production programs are included in the Evolutionary Significant Unit (ESU). Abundance of wild-born fish has increased in recent years due to on-going hatchery supplementation, and majority of naturally spawning fish are hatchery-origin. The geometric mean of natural-origin adult abundance for the 10 years of annual spawner escapement estimates from 2005-2014 was 6,418.

Adults and juveniles use riverine and reservoir habitats of the Snake River and lower mainstem areas of its tributaries. Habitat available is significantly reduced from historical conditions. The Snake River Basins' rainfall is generally low, between 10-20 inches, and snow is major form of precipitation, between 20-40 inches. High spring-time flows are important for successful juvenile outmigration. Natural seasonal hydrology has been altered by dams that control Snake River mainstem and some tributaries' flows. Four dams in lower Snake River and four dams in the Columbia River migration corridor negatively affect passage, flow and temperature conditions needed for adult and juvenile survival. Suitable or optimal freshwater temperatures vary by life stage, but generally range between 41 and 59 degrees Fahrenheit. Temperatures above 68 degrees Fahrenheit may block adult migration and over 75 degrees Fahrenheit may be lethal.

Fish management throughout the wildlife areas consists primarily of providing recreational angling and hatchery fish production while protecting and trying to restore ESA-listed species.

Fish management

Fish management throughout the wildlife areas consists primarily of providing recreational angling and hatchery fish production while protecting and trying to restore ESA-listed species.

W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area

The W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area includes three units: W.T. Wooten, McDonald Bridge, and Swegle Road. These units are divided into two watersheds, with the W.T. Wooten Unit in the Tucannon River basin and the McDonald Bridge and Swegle Road units in the Walla Walla River basin. These basins are also distinct in that the Tucannon River is a tributary to the lower Snake River and the Walla Walla River is a tributary to the Mid-Columbia, dividing them into different ESA Recovery Units for salmon and steelhead. The 2015 Bull trout Recovery plan reorganized recovery units from 27 down to six and the Mid-Columbia Recovery Unit includes both of the Tucannon and Walla Walla rivers (USFWS, 2015).

The W.T. Wooten Unit includes management activities for ESA-listed species, fishery regulations, and hatchery production. Fishery regulations on Tucannon River allow for angling opportunities to harvest gamefish, including rainbow trout and hatchery steelhead, while providing protections for ESA listed bull trout, spring chinook, and steelhead. Special gear restrictions, as well as area closures, are in place across the wildlife area.



WDFW also manages the stocking and regulation of a very popular trout fishery in the Tucannon Lakes (Spring, Blue, Rainbow, Deer, Watson, Curl and Big Four). These fish are stocked as part of the Lower Snake River Compensation Program (LSRCP). A creel survey conducted on four of the lakes from March 1 to mid-July 2003 estimated angler hours to be 38,116, equaling 19,749 angler days and likely annual economic benefits of over \$1 million for the Tucannon Lakes (Mendel and Trump, 2010).

A hatchery program for spring chinook within the Tucannon River is utilized to supplement the natural population, with the long term goal of having sustainable populations that would achieve ESA recovery and allow harvest. WDFW releases 225,000 hatchery spring chinook from Curl Lake Acclimation Pond annually. In recent years, and for unknown reasons, this population has seen high rates of pre-spawn mortality in the Tucannon River. WDFW, along with tribal co-managers the Nez Perce Tribe (NPT) and Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR) has agreed to collect all adults returning to the Tucannon River Adult Trap for broodstock purposes and for protection of the remaining fish that are captured at the trap. These adults are transported to Lyons Ferry Hatchery and held until just before spawning, with fish not needed for broodstock planted back into the upper Tucannon to spawn naturally.

Steelhead hatchery production in the Tucannon River has been converted entirely to an endemic (natural origin) stock with a dual purpose of supplementing the wild population to achieve ESA recovery, and allow harvest. WDFW plans to continue to develop this stock to consistently allow for full production of 150,000 smolts, of which 50,000 are for conservation and 100,000 for harvest mitigation.

McDonald Bridge and Swegle Road Units are small units on the Walla Walla River. As in the Tucannon River, WDFW manages fisheries within the Walla Walla River to allow for angling opportunities to harvest gamefish, including rainbow trout and hatchery steelhead, while providing protections for ESA-listed natural origin steelhead and bull trout. Special gear restrictions and area closures are in place across the wildlife area.

The Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation have an active spring chinook reintroduction program on the Walla Walla River. This includes construction of the South Fork Walla Walla Hatchery in Oregon.

WDFW recently terminated all releases of hatchery origin steelhead in the Walla Walla River to minimize impacts to natural origin fish. Release of hatchery fish for harvest mitigation still occur in the Touchet River, but these fish are unlikely to be present at the McDonald or Swegle units.

Asotin Creek Wildlife Area

Asotin Creek Wildlife Area is made up of the Asotin Creek, George Creek, and Weatherly units. These units mainly fall in the Asotin Creek basin. The Asotin Creek population steelhead have a combined escapement of all spawning populations (Asotin, Alpowa, George, Ten Mile, and Couse creeks) that has exceeded the Interior Columbia Technical Recovery Team and Snake River Salmon Recovery Board recovery goals for a 'basic' population (500 fish) in most years. In fact, the Asotin Creek component of the population has exceeded the same goals in most years. The 10-year geometric mean for natural origin adult steelhead in Asotin Creek alone (2007-16) is slightly over



600 natural origin spawners (Crawford and Herr, 2017). In addition, WDFW weirs in the Asotin Creek population streams actively manage the removal of hatchery origin steelhead when captured, as there is no hatchery steelhead production released into the population.

Limited fishing opportunities for gamefish are found within the Asotin Creek Unit, with selective gear rules in place to minimize impacts to ESA-listed steelhead and bull trout.

Spring chinook are considered functionally extirpated within Asotin Creek, but WDFW is working with tribal co-manager, the Nez Perce Tribe, on a reintroduction plan.

Chief Joseph Wildlife Area

The Chief Joseph Wildlife Area has several units (4-0 Ranch, Chief Joseph, Grouse Flats, and Shumaker) with fishing access sites spread along the lower Grande Ronde River and some of its tributaries. ESA-listed steelhead, spring and fall chinook, and bull trout occur in this section of the Grande Ronde, as well as whitefish and many other resident fish species.

Fishing regulations in the Grande Ronde provide opportunities to harvest gamefish including rainbow trout and hatchery origin steelhead. Season structure, gear restrictions, and tributary closures provide protection of spring and fall chinook, bull trout, and natural origin steelhead. More information is found at WDFW's Fishing Regulations webpage:

<https://wdfw.wa.gov/fishing/regulations>.

Bull trout fishing is closed in the Grande Ronde River and tributaries within Washington. If an angler incidentally catches a bull trout while fishing for other species, it must not be taken out of the water, and must be immediately released.

WDFW releases 225,000 steelhead smolts from the Cottonwood Acclimation Facility on the Grande Ronde as harvest mitigation under the Lower Snake River Compensation Program. These releases and hatchery fish produced in Oregon provide for this nationally renowned steelhead fishery that draws anglers from across the country. Chief Joseph Wildlife Area lands and access sites provide some of the access to this popular fishery.



Flyfishing on the Grande Ronde River

Photo by Alan Bauer



Habitat management

This section provides a description of habitat management activities that occur on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas, including forest management, weed management, fire management and history, and habitat restoration.

Forest management overview

There are approximately 16,000 acres of forest on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas. Forest ecological system distribution is mapped in the Forest Management Plan (Appendix E). Generally, lower elevations are only forested in the river and stream bottoms. Transition into forest occurs roughly around 2,000 to 3,000 feet in elevation depending on aspect and microsite conditions. The majority of the forested areas are defined by the Northern Rocky Mountain Dry-Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer and Northern Rocky Mountain Ponderosa Pine Woodland and Savanna ecological systems. These ecological systems are typical of this elevation in the Blue Mountains and are fire-dependent ecosystems, historically maintained by frequent low-intensity fires. Due to the high severity 2005 School Fire in the Tucannon watershed, which burned much of the conifer overstory, much of the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area is in Northwestern Conifer Regeneration. Riparian systems and Northern Rocky Mountain Western Larch Savanna make up the remainder. See Table 14 for a breakout of forest ecological systems.

Table 14: Percentages of forest and woodland ecological systems in Blue Mountains wildlife areas in Washington’s ecological systems (Rocchio, J. and R. Crawford 2008).

Ecological System	Percent of Forested Acres on Blue Mountains WLAs
Northern Rocky Mountain Dry-Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer Forest	38
Harvested Forest - Northwestern Conifer Regeneration	23
Northern Rocky Mountain Ponderosa Pine Woodland and Savanna	19
Columbia Basin Foothill Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	8
Northern Rocky Mountain Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer Forest	5
Northern Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	5
Northern Rocky Mountain Western Larch Savanna	2

Prolonged fire suppression and the selective harvest of large trees prior to WDFW ownership have greatly altered forests on the wildlife area. This management diverges from what used to be typical patterns of forest succession on the wildlife areas. Prior to modern settlement, low and moderate intensity fires burned most forests on the wildlife areas every 16-20 years (see Figure 1 in the Forest Management Plan, Appendix E), removing fire susceptible species such as grand fir and



Douglas-fir, as well as surplus small stems and brush. The largest trees are the most capable of surviving low and moderate intensity fires typically associated with fire-dependent ecological systems. Large trees also create large snags which provide special habitat features for a variety of wildlife. Removal of large trees and low intensity fire from the landscape has degraded the ecological integrity of the forests. Stands have grown dense with small trees and/or species not adapted for wildfire. Overstocked conditions weakens trees, making them susceptible to unnaturally intense insect outbreaks and disease. Each year, forest stands face risk of severe wildfires such as occurred when the overstocked dense stands of the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area devastatingly burned in the 2005 School Fire. Additionally, the removal of large trees has contributed to a deficit of large snags on the landscape.

Management approach

WDFW will manage forest landscape using an approach that balances concern about forest health, fire risk, priority species management, and maintaining or recruiting habitat conditions within the historical range of variability. Timber harvest, non-commercial small tree thinning, prescribed fire, and tree planting will be used in suitable areas to enhance species composition, accelerate stem growth, and direct spatial mosaics toward the historic ranges of habitat variability that are associated with high ecological integrity. WDFW will strive toward high ecological integrity scores for these metrics as defined in the Ecological Integrity Assessments developed by the DNR's Natural Heritage Program in those areas deemed suitable for this type of management. High ecological integrity is expected to result in improved habitat quality for priority wildlife species as well. Forest management projects are also intended to reduce the risk of uncharacteristically intense mega-fires that put WDFW lands and local communities at risk in favor of more controllable, ecologically beneficial fires.

Suitable management areas and potential projects

WDFW has identified suitable active forest management areas for the 4-0 Ranch, Weatherly, Asotin Creek, Grouse Flats, and W. T. Wooten Wildlife units (Table 15). Forest management areas with no active management planned either do not need treatment or cannot be treated due to a variety of constraints such as the lack of road access, steep slopes, erodible soils, riparian protection concerns, and regulatory constraints. To date, projects in Table 15 have been planned to thin overstocked or diseased stands that are vulnerable to intense wildfires, plant areas that were severely burned by wildfire, and burn areas that have been actively thinned to maintain healthy stocking levels. Prescriptions will be customized to each site with the following goals:

- Restore the historic range of variability for tree species, size classes and spacing. If that is not possible, projects will focus on putting forests on trajectories to more quickly acquire such characteristics.
- Improve habitat quality, especially for priority fish and wildlife species.
- Reduce wildfire risks to the forests and surrounding communities.

Whenever possible, prescribed fire will be also used to treat forests. Planned projects were given priority based upon threat from wildfire, forest disease issues, feasibility, and economic capability. Thinning objectives will be to reduce tree density, and to improve individual tree growth, forest



health and fire resiliency. Reforestation objectives are to accelerate the transition from grass-shrub dominated communities to forest-dominated ecosystems.

Table 15: Planned and potential projects, 2018-2023

Treatment unit	Estimated acres*	Task
Mountain View, 4-0 Ranch Unit	300 to 500	Commercial Thin
Mountain View, 4-0 Ranch Unit	up to 500	Non-commercial Thin
Weatherly Unit	300	Commercial Thin
Weatherly Unit	up to 200	Non-commercial Thin
W. T. Wooten Unit	550	Reforestation
W. T. Wooten Unit	100	Non-commercial Thin
Smoothing Iron Ridge, Asotin Creek Unit	200	Commercial Thin
Smoothing Iron Ridge, Asotin Creek Unit	150	Non-commercial Thin
Sawmill, 4-0 Ranch Unit	500	Commercial Thin

**Acres are estimates and may expand where needed and feasible, or decrease due to riparian area regulations, rocky outcrops, unstable slopes, cultural resource exclusions, etc.*

Fire history and management

Historically fire was an important, natural process in creating and maintaining the various plant communities on the Blue Mountains wildlife area forests. Frequent, low-intensity fires were important for maintaining the open late-seral stand structure and low fuel loads in upland forests. Fires generally burned in a mosaic pattern of low to mixed severity. River bottom forests were primarily maintained by flooding and channel migration and burned less often. Historic fire return intervals (frequency of fire per habitat type) varied from 3 to 300 years in the Blue Mountains, but were predominantly as follows (see Figure 1 in Forest Management Plan, LANDFIRE 2008):

- Ponderosa and mixed conifer forests - 16-20 years
- Grassland and shrub steppe - 36-40 years
- Riparian areas - 61-70 years
- Sparsely vegetated areas - 201-300 years

Fire regimes on the wildlife area and adjacent lands have been altered for the last century due to fire suppression, silviculture practices, grazing, and agriculture. Forested areas are burning less often due to effective fire suppression and this fire exclusion has allowed historically open ponderosa pine and mixed conifer forests of the Blue Mountains to develop excessive accumulations of fuels and overcrowded conditions. Increased frequency of human-caused fires in grassland and shrubsteppe ecosystems are favoring annual grasses and weeds.



Table 16 shows a list of recent natural and human-caused fires greater than 100 acres on or near the Blue Mountains wildlife areas. Fires are caused both by lightning and humans. Under current fuel conditions, each fire threatens life and property in addition to degrading habitat quality. Figure 7 in the Forest Management Plan (Appendix E) shows the number of fires initiated between 1980 and 2015. The far greater number of fire initiations that are quickly suppressed compared to fires in Table 2 (which are also suppressed) exemplifies the change from historically fire-maintained ecosystems where lightning and intentional human-caused fires would have frequently burned in patchy mosaics across the landscape.

Table 16: Wildfires greater than 100 acres from 1980 to 2016.
Fields are left blank when no data is available.

Fire name	Year	Cause	Acres burned
	1981	Lightning	147
	1994	Lightning	2,580
	1996	Lightning	1,000
Deep Canyon	1996	Other	158
	1997	Debris Burn	900
Star	1997	Debris burning	1,800
	1998	Lightning	100
Stateline	2000	Debris burning	1,745
Rogersburg	2001	Other	600
Heller Bar	2002	Debris burning	155
Shumaker	2004	Other	538
Charley Creek	2005		150
School	2005	Power line	52,000
Columbia Complex	2006	Lightning	109,259
Rockpile Creek	2007	Fireworks	17,420
Cottonwood	2007	Vehicle Fire	3,578
Hartstock	2010	Misc.	200
BMIDC INC 597 (Cache Creek)	2012	Other	2,500+
Grande Ronde	2013	Lightning	1,167
Mail Trail	2013	Misc.	2,450
BMIDC INC 881	2014	Lightning	133
Rye Ridge	2015	Lightning	580
Ayers Gulch	2015	Under Investigation	390
Tucannon	2015	Under Investigation	2,533



Fire management

Wildland fires ignited in the area of the Blue Mountains wildlife areas are responded to by county fire districts, DNR, and the U. S. Forest Service (USFS). Multiple fire districts cover portions of the wildlife areas and respond when fires are within their district. WDFW has an agreement with DNR to provide for fire suppression in the majority of the Blue Mountains wildlife areas. USFS fire crews also provide protection primarily in areas of federal ownership. In addition, wildlife area staff maintain fire suppression qualifications and make equipment accessible for controlling wildfire when needed. Wildlife area staff coordinate with DNR and USFS as resource advisors and landowner representatives to minimize habitat loss, protect resources, and meet fire suppression needs. WDFW will use prescribed fire as a tool to manage and improve habitat in dry forests (see Forest Management Plan), stimulate grasslands, or for agricultural purposes. Slash pile burning will occur with forest management thinning projects as well. All burning will comply with DNR and Washington Department of Ecology regulations.

Weed management

Managing weeds is a significant part of the Blue Mountains wildlife areas staff's workload to establish and maintain diverse native plant communities that support fish and wildlife populations. Invasive plants and noxious weeds can infest high quality native plant communities and convert them to low quality monocultures that reduce wildlife value. The weed management plan (see Appendix B) identifies species, and management practices to control weeds. Weeds of primary concern on the wildlife area include: Leafy spurge, rush skeletonweed, Dalmation toadflax, houndstongue, kochia, puncturevine, Scotch thistle, spotted knapweed, yellow starthistle, Mediterranean sage, orange hawkweed, and common crupina. The goal of weed control plan is to maintain or improve habitat for fish and wildlife, meet legal obligations, and reduce spread to adjacent private lands.

Habitat restoration

The Asotin Creek Watershed was selected by the Snake River Salmon Recovery Board's Regional Technical Team to be an "Intensively Monitored Watershed" (IMW), where fish response to habitat restoration activities is monitored. To be selected, the stream had to contain ESA-listed salmonid species and there had to be some level of landowner support for the project. The goal of the IMW is to measure the effectiveness of large woody debris (LWD) additions to increasing production of wild steelhead, and restoration of riparian function long-term, as well as to install Post Assisted Log Structures (PALS) to improve stream function and fish habitat.

The Asotin Geomorphic Assessment was funded by the Salmon Recovery Funding Board and administered by the Snake River Salmon Recovery Board and the Asotin County Conservation District (ACCD). The geographic areas included are Snake River tributaries located in Asotin County: Alpowa, Asotin, George, Tenmile, and Couse creeks. Alpowa, Asotin, George tributaries are inhabited by native ESA-threatened Snake River steelhead, Snake River spring chinook, Columbia River bull trout and to a lesser extent Snake River fall chinook. The goals of the assessment were to determine the condition of fish habitat, what characteristics control the creation and maintenance of habitat, what are limiting factors on fish habitat, what methods are suitable for addressing limiting factors, and what are the priority reaches that should be targeted for restoration. The



target species for this assessment were summer steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) because they are the most common species, and also included were bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*), and Pacific lamprey (*Entosphenus tridentatus*). The focus of the assessment was on the geomorphic and riparian condition of fish bearing streams (Bennett 2017). Three main management actions proposed include instream habitat improvement, floodplain and riparian enhancement, and habitat protection/beaver reintroduction. The projects/activities identified in this plan will provide direction for the ACCD priorities and guide the District's work for the next 5 to 10 years, as well as WDFW priorities.

Both the Nez Perce Tribe and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Reservation conduct riparian restoration projects in the wildlife areas.

W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area

In 2011, a geomorphic assessment of the Tucannon River was conducted (Anchor QEA 2011) which encompassed the river and floodplain of the Wooten Wildlife Area. It prioritized treatments to improve habit and floodplain processes. About 17 miles of the Tucannon are located in the wildlife area boundary.

The Wooten Floodplain Management Plan (FMP) was developed in 2014 by WDFW as an integrated, cross-program effort to improve conditions within the Tucannon floodplain. The goals of the FMP provide five main benefits. The benefits are to: 1) protect and restore ecosystem functions of the Tucannon River, floodplain, and riparian habitats; 2) enhance fishing, hunting, camping, wildlife viewing, and other recreational activities; 3) improve habitat conditions for Endangered Species Act-listed salmonids (as well as other aquatic species); 4) improve wildlife habitats; and 5) protect and enhance critical infrastructure. Phase I of the Rainbow Lake improvement project was completed in 2017 and Phase II, completed in 2018, narrowed the lake and developed wetlands (see Appendix F).

Primary Restoration, Enhancement and Protection Focus

- Continuing Geomorphic Assessments that drive stream restoration and fish habitat projects
- Assessing the Blue Mountains "prairie" or unique grasslands habitats
- Identifying and locating populations of the three new to science plant species
- Identifying listed or sensitive species and their locations

Blue Mountains "Prairie" or Unique Grasslands Habitat

The Blue Mountains wildlife areas contain a form of diverse interior grasslands. Under WDFW's Priority Habitat and Species broader classifications, they may be considered Eastside Steppe and/or Shrubsteppe. The federal standard is the US National Vegetation Classification system, which would include: Columbia Basin Foothill and Canyon Dry Grassland, Columbia Plateau Steppe and Grassland, and Northern Rocky Mountain Lower Montane, Foothill and Valley Grassland. Most specialists agree they are a form of diverse Interior Grasslands. Grasslands are important habitats for the grasshopper sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*) and short-eared owl (*Asio flammeus*), two grassland species of ground-nesting birds that require native grassland.

A WDFW GIS exercise identified potential "Ridge Top Prairie" habitat above 5,000 feet in the Blue Mountains. These habitats are unique with diverse plant assemblages. Little is known of this area



from a basic characterization point of view, such as what is out there for habitat types, plant species and assemblages. A USFS botanist working on federal lands nearby offered that the area is composed of a unique ecologically undescribed plant community on the flat topped ridgelines that appears to be a relict paleo vegetation type that may not be present anywhere else. No similar effort has occurred below 5,000 feet, and that is a significant gap in knowledge at these lower elevations.

Over three field seasons, significant undocumented populations of federally threatened *Silene spaldingii* were located as well as two, possibly three species of plants new to science found by USFS employees (Table 17). These species were found near but not on the wildlife area, but in the same type of habitat. More extensive and intensive sampling is needed to get better information on the species distribution.

Many remnant prairie areas may be threatened by encroachment from a large suite of exotic invasive plant species or anthropomorphic activities such as tillage, herbicides, grazing, or fire suppression. A survey and assessment needs to be conducted on the wildlife area to determine if they are present at all elevations.

Several of these species have been found on Cape Horn Ridge and Tam Tam Ridge (and other areas) are of high interest to survey for these plants. The Asotin Creek Wildlife Area has been poorly inventoried as far as assessing, surveying, and characterizing vegetative elements.

Silene spaldingii, a rare plant endemic to bunchgrass grasslands, sagebrush-steppe, and open pine communities, was documented in the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area in 2008 (Gray 2008). It was listed by the USFWS in 2001, and is probably the second largest population of the species in existence. A survey for rare plants including *Silene spaldingii* will take place as part of this management plan. If *Silene spaldingii* is present where grazing occurs, monitoring will be conducted and measures to reduce risk will be implemented.



Rare Spalding's catchfly
Photo by David Woodall



Table 17: Priority plant species

Common name	Scientific name	Documented location
Spalding's catchfly	<i>Silene spaldingii</i>	Asotin Creek WLA
Lonely phlox	<i>Phlox solivagus</i>	Cape Horn Ridge – Garfield County
Grounseal	<i>Packera sp. nov. cf. cana</i>	Sheep Ridge Asotin County
Cusick's paint brush	<i>Castilleja cusickii</i> forma <i>lutea</i>	Cape Horn Ridge – Garfield County

This plan includes an action to identify, protect, and restore native prairie areas. Areas to survey need to be prioritized, funding secured, and a determination made as to what management activities impact rare and listed plant species, and proper management activities adopted to prevent listing.

Other rare plant species include the Palouse golden weed, tufted evening primrose, sagebrush lily (state endangered), two species of monkeyflower, and three species of milk vetch, among others. A survey conducted in 2015 on the 4-0 Ranch Unit documented eight rare plants (Table 18).

Table 18: Rare plant species of the 4-0 Ranch, WDFW, 2015

Common name	Scientific name	*State status	Habitat, project area locations
Cusick's milk-vetch	<i>Astragalus cusickii</i> var. <i>cusickii</i>	S	Dry, grassy, rocky slopes in fine textured basalt soils. 2 large populations in the Mountain View and Chitim Gulch areas. On dry, south-facing shrubsteppe slopes.
Sagebrush lily	<i>Calochortus macrocarpum</i> var. <i>maculosus</i>	E	Dry, rocky hillsides in fine textured basalt soils. 4 populations in the Mountain View, Upper Chitim Gulch, Hanson Ridge areas.
Sheldon's sedge	<i>Carex sheldonii</i>	+	Banks of large rivers. This is the first documented population in Washington. 1 small population along Grande Ronde River.
Smooth-leaved gilia	<i>Navarettia capillaris</i>	++	Meadows, dry, open, lightly wooded slopes, foothills mountains 1 small population in Upper Hanson Ridge area.
Blue Mountain penstemon	<i>Penstemon pennellianus</i>	R1	Open, rocky ridges and slopes at mod. Elevations in Blue Mts. 2 small populations in the Upper Hanson Ridge area.



Common name	Scientific name	*State status	Habitat, project area locations
Wax currant	<i>Ribes cereum</i> var. <i>colubrinum</i>	E	A historical population of 1 plant is located adjacent to the Grande Ronde River. Not seen since 1980. Not searched for during 2015 surveys.
Idaho gooseberry	<i>Ribes oxycanthoides</i> var. <i>irriguum</i>	T	Streams, meadow openings, slopes of moist to dry canyons. 1 population along Medicine Creek.
Prairie cordgrass	<i>Spartina pectinata</i>	S	Banks of large rivers. 2 populations along Grande Ronde River.

***State Status** of plant species is determined by the Washington Natural Heritage Program (2015a). Factors considered include abundance, occurrence patterns, vulnerability, threats, existing protection, and taxonomic distinctness. Values include:

E = Endangered. In danger of becoming extinct or extirpated from Washington.

T = Threatened. Likely to become endangered in Washington.

S = Sensitive. Vulnerable or declining and could become Endangered or Threatened in the state.

R1 = Review Group 1. Of potential concern but needs more field work to assign another rank.

+ = Species located in Washington for the first time; will be suggested for WNHP rare plant list.

++ = Species rare in Washington; will be suggested for WHNP rare plant list.

Climate change approach

Purpose

The primary purpose of this section is to evaluate how projected changes in climate will affect the resources of the Blue Mountains wildlife areas and to highlight opportunities that may help to mitigate or prepare for those affects. This section also summarizes work by the wildlife area planning team to review the management objectives (see Goals and Objectives section, p. 80), and make changes as appropriate to ensure that objectives are robust to future changes.

This work is consistent with the directives of a 2017 WDFW policy titled “Addressing the Risks of Climate Change”, which states that WDFW will “manage its operations and assets so as to better understand, mitigate, and adapt to impacts of climate change”.

Projected climate change impacts

Increasing greenhouse gases will lead to warmer temperatures throughout this century for the Pacific Northwest. The most direct impacts of climate change to this area will be in the form of warmer winters (3 to 6 degrees Fahrenheit within 15 years) and dryer summers (Climate Impacts Group 2013). For summer months, a majority of models projected decreases in precipitation, with the average declining 16 percent by the 2080s. A majority of models projected increases in winter precipitation, with an average value reaching +9 percent by the 2080 (Mote and Salathé 2009). Other key impacts are highlighted below.



Forests in the northwest also will likely be affected by climate-driven changes in disturbance regimes, such as wildfire (Littell et al. 2010), insect outbreaks (e.g., mountain pine beetle; Logan et al. 2003), disease (e.g., Swiss needle cast; Black et al. 2010), and drought (van Mantgem et al. 2009; Knutson and Pyke 2008). Areas burned in the Columbia River Basin are projected to triple by 2040s relative to median for 1916-2006 (Littell et al. 2010, 2012). Wildfire suppression costs have increased as fire seasons have grown longer and the frequency, size, and severity of wildfires has increased due to changing climatic conditions, drought, hazardous fuel buildups, insect and disease infestations, nonnative invasive species, and other factors. Funding has not kept pace with the cost of fighting fire. Over the last 10 years, adjusting for inflation, the USFS has spent an average of almost \$1.13 billion on suppression operations annually.

Vegetation models of sagebrush-steppe systems in eastern Washington and Oregon simulate large declines in current distributions of shrublands under future climate conditions (Neilson et al. 2005; Rogers et al. 2011), with shrubs largely replaced by woodland and forest vegetation. The response to climate change of grassland and shrubland systems throughout the northwest will be influenced by invasive species that are currently present in these systems or may be able to expand into these systems as climate changes (Dennehy et al. 2011).

Impacts to wildlife area resources

Species and ecological systems of concern with high vulnerability to climate change

Table 19 shows the Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas that have been ranked by the climate vulnerability assessment to have a moderate-high vulnerability to climate change, and with high confidence in the data. Note that only SGCN were considered in this assessment and it does not include climate sensitivities for other species that may be associated with the wildlife area.

Table 19: Species on Blue Mountains wildlife areas with moderate-high overall vulnerability and moderate-high confidence (WDFW 2015).

SGCN	Overall vulnerability*	Overall confidence	Description of climate sensitivity
Bull Trout	Moderate - High	High	- Increased water temperatures - Altered runoff timing - Increased winter/spring flood events - Lower summer flows
Snake River Steelhead	High	High	- Altered spring runoff timing and amount/magnitude - Increased water temperatures
Golden eagle	Moderate	High	- Increased temperature - Altered fire regime

*Vulnerability to climate change was determined by an evaluation of inherent sensitivity to climatic variables, as well as an assessment of the likelihood of change in key climate variables important for each species. Confidence in each ranking was also assessed, based on the extent and quality of reference material and information.



Making the goals and objectives of the wildlife area plan climate resilient

The information listed below is a list of Blue Mountains wildlife areas goals and objectives potentially affected by climate change, or those with a climate nexus. Actions and considerations are listed to ensure climate impacts are addressed in implementation of the wildlife area management plan. Opportunities are summarized below, and are also integrated into the final list of objectives on page 77

Table 19: Plan objectives with climate nexus

Objectives with a climate nexus	Opportunities to increase resilience
Goal 1: Maintain or improve the ecological integrity of priority sites.	
Establish an ecological integrity baseline and associated goals for ecological systems of concern/priority systems.	Develop indicators (streamflow and water temperature, air temp, snowpack). Monitor for plant composition and distribution; baseline data to better understand changes. Carbon modelling for forestlands Bird surveys.
Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat and develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat.	Restoration goals should be designed around future conditions due to climate impacts. Consider viability before large investments.
Implement the weed management plan annually.	An annual plan doesn't provide a lot of opportunity to consider long-term trends. Consider monitoring for invasive species expected to increase under climate change. Plan for possibility of new weeds. Monitor weeds expected to increase because of climate change.
Goal 2: Assess, protect, and improve habitat for fish and wildlife	
Conduct Fish Passage project at Lick Creek and coordinate with USFS on fixing culvert at Sourdough gulch. Identify and plan for other fish passage barrier removals.	Use climate adapted culverts information to size culverts.
Goal 3: Sustain individual species through habitat and population management.	
Reduce and manage human /wildlife conflicts.	Providing a diversity of habitat in good to excellent condition will provide species with the opportunity to adapt to these changing conditions. Consider how change in snowpack and winter conditions will affect impact of conflict.
Provide information to the public about ESA listed species management.	Consider adding climate change vulnerability information to public outreach (climate change may affect fishing seasons, and number of fish). Could also highlight number of improvement projects that will help to build resilience.



Improve habitat for fish.	Change restoration design to maximize climate resilience aspects.
Implement Wooten Floodplain Management Plan.	Consider monitoring and reporting on the climate resilience benefits of the project.
Goal 4: Protect wintering wildlife from human disturbance	
If conditions warrant to protect wintering wildlife, implement emergency closure to human entry at sensitive areas including Smoothing Iron Ridge, Weatherly, 4-0 Ranch, Lick Creek, Abel's Ridge, and other areas deemed necessary.	Reassess and consider additional closures over time in the two-year plan update, or as conditions or public use change. If Agency understanding improves on the cumulative effects of winter disturbance, additional restrictions should be considered if appropriate.
Maintain current seasonal closures to protect wintering wildlife at Cummings Creek (Jan 1-April 1). As per agreement with Asotin County, maintain motorized closure at Asotin Creek (Dec 1-April 1) annually.	Reassess and consider additional closures in the plan update, or as conditions change.
Goal 5: Achieve species diversity at levels consistent with healthy ecosystems	
Develop species-specific actions for the WLA, for listed species, groups, and any others.	Depends on the expected timeframe for action plans; may want to consider future stress from climate change for those species considered highly vulnerable.
Goal 6: Provide for and improve opportunities for hunting and fishing	
Continue to manage the existing fishing opportunities on the W.T. Wooten WLA.	Since fishing is managed on an annual basis it allows for real time correction. Longer-term plans regarding fishing should consider changes in the river and lake temperatures which may impact fish.
Goal 7: Provide and improve other appropriate recreational opportunities	
Develop plan to connect trails on the 4-0 Unit to existing USFS trails, such as on Wenatchee Creek, by 2020.	Trail design should consider that creek flows could be higher.
Improve and maintain trails on North and South Fork of Asotin Creek annually.	Potential for washouts, sedimentation from changing peak flows.
Identify locations to develop campgrounds and funding sources to support them. Develop Asotin Creek by 2020.	Consider future siting based on higher peak flows.
Improve shooting range conditions for humans, wildlife, and habitat; address human safety and lead abatement at current site by 2020.	Consider timing of closures and range maintenance to reduce fire danger.
Assess the wildlife area for restroom facilities that need to be improved, replaced, or added, including ADA accessibility.	Locate on higher ground to reduce flooding potential.
Develop an interpretive site and/or signage on the 4-0 by 2020.	Include climate change impacts in interpretive signage, especially for fish and aquatic resources.



Manage recreational use of boaters and campers at Heller Bar site and increase compliance with rules by 2020.	In the long-term, consider if climate change impacts could impact peak timing of use or exacerbate issues.
---	--

Improve Couse Creek boat ramp by 2019.	Consider future stream flows.
--	-------------------------------

Goal 9: Maintain productive and positive working relationships with neighbors, partners, and permittees.

Continue to allow and look for new opportunities for permitted grazing and agricultural leases.	Climate change increases the importance of protecting riparian areas, seeps, and springs.
---	---



References

Asotin Creek Conservation District (ACCD). 2004. Asotin Subbasin Plan. Written for the Northwest Power Planning Council, Portland, OR.

Asotin County Conservation District (ACCD). 1995. Asotin County Model Watershed Plan.

Beck, Kathryn. 2015. A Botanical Survey of 4-0 Ranch, WDFW, Asotin County. Prepared for Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Bennett, Stephen, et al. March 2018. Asotin County Conceptual Restoration Plan: Technical Documents and Appendices. <https://asotincd.org>

Bennett, S., Camp, R., Wheaton, J., Bouwes, N., O'Brien, G., Hill, A., Floyd, B., and Drury, T. April 2018. Asotin County Watershed Assessment: Technical Document and Appendices. Prepared for Asotin County Conservation District.

Crawford, Ethan and Michael Herr, WDFW. Asotin Creek Steelhead Assessment, 3/1/2016 – 2/28/2017 Annual Report, 2002-053-00.

Columbia Conservation District. 2004. Tucannon Subbasin Plan (May 2004 Version). Prepared for NW Power Planning and Conservation Council.

Fowler, Pat E. 2001. Washington State Elk Herd Plan: Blue Mountains Elk Herd.

Gray, Karen. 2008. 2008 Field Survey for *Silene spaldingii* (Spalding's Catchfly) in the Asotin Wildlife Area, Asotin County, Washington. Final Report to Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Gephart, Laura and D .Nordheim. 2001. Prepared for Northwest Power Planning Council.

HDR. 2006. Tucannon River Temperature Study. Prepared for Watershed Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 35

Mendel, G. and J. Trump. 2010. Tucannon Lakes Fishery Monitoring Report for 2003.

Nowak, M. Cathy. 2004. Grande Ronde Subbasin Plan. Prepared for Northwest Power and Conservation Council.

Treaty with the Nez Perces, June 11, 1855, 12 Stat. 957 (1859).

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015. Recovery plan for the coterminous United States population of bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*). Portland, Oregon. xii + 179 pages.

U.S. v Washington, 384 F. Supp. 312, 339-40, 403 (W.D. Wash. 1974)



Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. June 2017. Wolf-livestock interaction protocol.
<https://wdfw.wa.gov/species-habitats/at-risk/species-recovery/gray-wolf/conflict-prevention>

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2014. W.T. Wooten Floodplain Management Plan (2014). Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2015. Washington's State Wildlife Action Plan: 2015 Update. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2019. Management Plan for the 4-0 Ranch Unit of the Chief Joseph Wildlife Area. (Prepared for lands purchased with USFWS Section Six funds).



Appendices

- A. Species and habitat information
- B. Weed management plan
- C. Fire response information
- D. Research and other studies
- E. Forest management plan
- F. Restoration summary/plan
- G. Cultural resources summary
- H. Water access summary
- I. Public response summary
- J. (Stand-alone)USFWS Section Six Plan for the 4-0 Ranch Unit



Appendix A. Species and habitat information

Terrestrial SGCN Relationship with Ecological Systems of Concern for the Blue Mountains WLA

Species of Greatest Conservation Need Relationship with Ecological Systems of Concern	Columbia Basin Foothill and Canyon Dry Grassland	Columbia Basin Foothill Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	Columbia Plateau Low Sagebrush Steppe	Columbia Plateau Steppe and Grassland	Inter-Mountain Basins Big Sagebrush Steppe	Inter-Mountain Basins Greasewood Flat	Northern Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	Northern Rocky Mountain Ponderosa Pine Woodland and Savanna	Northern Rocky Mountain Western Larch Savanna	Rocky Mountain Aspen Forest and Woodland
Ferruginous hawk	✓	✓		✓	✓					
Golden eagle	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Bald eagle	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓
Cinnamon teal				✓	✓					
Sage thrasher				✓	✓					
Burrowing owl	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓				
Flammulated owl							✓	✓		
Short-eared owl			✓	✓	✓					
Mountain quail	✓		✓		✓		✓	✓		
Yellow-billed cuckoo		✓					✓			
Pygmy nuthatch		✓						✓		
Lewis' woodpecker		✓					✓	✓	✓	✓
White-headed woodpecker								✓		
Loggerhead shrike	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Hoary bat	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Silver-haired bat	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Townsend's big-eared bat	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
Merriam's shrew	✓		✓	✓	✓					
Preble's shrew							✓			
American badger	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		
Gray wolf							✓	✓	✓	✓
Striped whipsnake					✓					
Sagebrush lizard					✓					
Rocky mountain tailed frog		✓					✓			
Columbia spotted frog	✓	✓		✓			✓	✓	✓	✓
Western toad	✓	✓			✓		✓	✓		✓
Mann's mollusk-eating ground beetle		✓								
Poplar Oregonian	✓									
Morrison's bumblebee	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓			



Priority Habitats in Asotin, Garfield, Columbia, and Walla Walla Counties

Source: WDFW Priority Habitats and Species, 2017

ASOTIN	COLUMBIA	GARFIELD	WALLA WALLA
Aspen Stands	Aspen Stands	Aspen Stands	Aspen Stands
Biodiversity Areas & Corridors	Biodiversity Areas & Corridors	Biodiversity Areas & Corridors	Biodiversity Areas & Corridors
		Inland Dunes	Inland Dunes
Juniper Savannah	Juniper Savannah	Juniper Savannah	Juniper Savannah
Old-Growth/Mature Forest	Old-Growth/Mature Forest	Old-Growth/Mature Forest	
	Eastside Steppe	Eastside Steppe	Eastside Steppe
Riparian	Riparian	Riparian	Riparian
Shrubsteppe		Shrub steppe	Shrubsteppe
Freshwater Wetlands & Fresh Deepwater	Freshwater Wetlands & Fresh Deepwater	Freshwater Wetlands & Fresh Deepwater	Freshwater Wetlands & Fresh Deepwater
Instream	Instream	Instream	Instream
Caves	Caves	Caves	Caves
Cliffs	Cliffs	Cliffs	Cliffs
Snags and Logs	Snags and Logs	Snags and Logs	Snags and Logs
Talus	Talus	Talus	Talus



Appendix B. Weed management plan

Weed control goals at Blue Mountain wildlife areas

The goals of weed control on WDFW lands at Blue Mountain Wildlife Areas, which includes the Asotin Creek, Chief Joseph, and the W.T. Wooten wildlife areas, are to maintain or improve the habitat for fish and wildlife, provide good stewardship, protect adjacent private lands, and meet legal obligations of Chapter 17.10 RCW Noxious Weeds – Control Boards.

Weed control management activities and restoration projects that protect and enhance fish and wildlife populations and their habitats on the wildlife area lands are a high priority. When managing for specific wildlife species, the weed densities that trigger control may be different than on lands managed for other purposes, such as agriculture. For example, if a weed is present at low densities and does not diminish the overall habitat value or pose immediate threat to adjacent lands, controls may not be warranted.

WDFW focuses land management activities on the desired plant species and communities, rather than on simply eliminating weeds. Control for listed species is mandated by state law (RCW 17.10 and 17.26) and enforced by the County Noxious Weed Board. WDFW strives to meet its legal obligation to control for noxious weeds listed according to state law (Class A, B-Designate, and county listed weeds). Importantly, the Blue Mountains wildlife areas will continue to be a good neighbor and partner regarding weed control issues on agency and adjacent lands. Weeds do not respect property boundaries. The agency believes the best way to gain long-term control is to work cooperatively on a regional scale. As funding and mutual management objectives allow, WDFW will find solutions to collective weed control problems.

WDFW uses integrated pest management (IPM), which is defined in RCW 17.15.010 as “a coordinated decision-making and action process that uses the most appropriate pest control methods and strategy in an environmentally and economically sound manner to meet agency programmatic pest management objectives.” WDFW rarely uses rodenticides or pesticides, and has and will continue to explore alternatives to those pest control measures.

Where threatened plants are present, WDFW will employ weed controls measures that avoid or minimizes the effects on rare or threatened plants.

The elements of IPM

Prevention - Prevention programs are implemented to keep the management area free of species that are not yet established but which are known to be pests elsewhere in the area. Using an “Early Detection and Rapid Response” (EDRR) strategy, staff can stop the spread of new and emerging invasive species before they become established. Wildlife area personnel attend annual trainings, are skilled in plant identification practices, and have the ability to recognize when a new species may appear on the wildfire area. They use public outreach methods as a prevention tool. Staff represent the agency at public events such as county fairs, providing information on the threat of noxious weeds and they have post noxious weed information on kiosks.



Monitoring - Monitoring is necessary to implement prevention and to document the weed species, the distribution and the relative density on the management area. Some weed species that have the potential to show up on the wildfire area are orange hawkweed and common crupina. Staff monitor annually for these weeds so that they can immediately begin treatment when they are spotted.

Prioritizing - Prioritizing weed control is based on many factors such as monitoring data, the invasiveness of the species, management objectives of local and regional jurisdictions, management objectives for the infested area, the value of invaded habitat, the feasibility of control, the legal status of the weed, past control efforts, and available budget. The “Class A” weed Mediterranean sage that occurs on the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area is prioritized for annual monitoring and treatment of existing plants twice a year.

Treatment - Treatment of a weeds using biological, cultural, mechanical, and chemical control serves to eradicate pioneering infestations, reduce established weed populations below densities that impact management objectives for the site, or otherwise diminish their impacts. The method used for control considers human health, ecological impact, feasibility, and cost-effectiveness.

Adaptive Management - Adaptive management evaluates the effects and efficacy of weed treatments and makes adjustments to improve the desired outcome for the management area. The premise behind a weed management plan is that a structured, logical approach to weed management, based on the best available information, is less expensive and more effective than an ad-hoc approach where one only deals with weed problems as they arise.

Control Measures

Chemical Control - This is the type of control most often used by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. Size of infestations and site conditions will determine the chemicals applied as well as the equipment to be used in the application process. Agricultural fields may use a chemical fallow method using glyphosate and/or a broadleaf application depending on the annual crop in the rotation. Weed control on upland sites call for the use of either backpack sprayers, ATV mounted sprayers, or truck mounted skid sprayers depending on the location and size of the weed infestation. Staff also use contracted aerial spray services for extremely large weed infestations in remote locations.

Mechanical Control - Mechanical Control methods physically disrupt weed growth. Tillage, hoeing, hand pulling, blading, grubbing, cultivation, mulching and mowing are examples of mechanical control. Mechanical control is often used by the wildlife areas on agricultural fields through mowing, cultivating or harrowing. Mechanical control is not effective on most established noxious weeds since their root system will often re-sprout. Mowing does reduce annual weed growth. It will limit seed production, but will not prevent 100% seed production because most annuals will just flower again closer to the ground.

Cultural Control - Cultural weed control methods use practices common to land management in helping native vegetation compete against noxious weeds. Planting desirable vegetation such as in a native vegetation restoration project, controlled burning, fertilization, irrigation, and the use of



livestock are some cultural control methods that have are utilized. Controlled burning is conducted during the winter and spring months. The goal is to burn 2-300 acres per year, as conditions allow, for a pre-determined sites that may provide a strategic method for fuels reduction, a pre-herbicide application, or a larger land management goal of habitat improvement by resetting vegetative successional stages and stimulating new growth for ungulates.

Biological Control - Biological control is the intentional use of living organisms to suppress the population of the weed species to an acceptable level. These insects are natural enemies of the targeted weeds that come from the weed's native ecosystem. Once the insects are introduced to an area, it may take several years for them to become established and to reach a density where they will have an impact on the weed. Biological control is a slow process.

The Blue Mountains wildlife areas is completely inhabited now with the Yellow starthistle bio-control insects. The insects are reducing weed seed production. Although there seems to be no shortage of starthistle in some areas, the saturation of starthistle bio-controls has virtually stopped the further spread of the weed. Leafy spurge is appearing more along the Grande Ronde River corridor. It appears to be arriving from upstream in the higher elevation valleys of Oregon. WDFW has been releasing Leafy spurge flea beetles before Leafy spurge can become full established. We also have collaborated with the Asotin County Weed Board and completed co-projects with neighboring landowners applying a soil amendment bacterium that targets annual weedy grasses. It is still yet to be determined if this investment is proven successful and will continue.

The weed management objectives for the Blue Mountains wildlife areas

Grasslands and shrubsteppe - Up to 5000 acres is checked annually for normal maintenance and post-fire needs at Asotin, Chief Joseph, and Wooten. It is estimated that between 1,500 – 2,000 acres require some active management. Additional attention and resources have been required to keep weed infestations from taking advantage and expanding after wildfires in recent years.

Weed control work along the 150 miles of stock fence is necessary to reduce weed infestations starting in relatively weed-free areas. Work volume varies annually due to factors including timing and volume of precipitation, effects of trespass grazing or other disturbance, fires, unusual winter or summer temperatures.

Riparian wetland - Although this habitat does not comprise a large acreage, it is still an important cover type for fish and wildlife species. Management includes surveying previously disturbed area (like old stocking areas, pastures) and up to 15 miles of stream and rivers for weeds that favor moist soils. When possible, native riparian vegetation is planted. WDFW staff have also collaborated with the Asotin Conservation District in their efforts to enhance riparian buffer zones with tree and shrub plantings.

Campgrounds, roads and access sites - These sites are the most intensely used areas on the wildlife areas and experience a great deal of disturbance, including potentially new weed infestations. Multiple times per year, staff check and treat weeds on 55 acres of campgrounds and access sites, and monitor and treat up to 200 miles of roadside annually for maintenance needs on all three wildlife areas.



Weed Species of Concern on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas

Weed species of concern include but are not limited to:

Mediterranean sage (*Salvia aethiopis*), Orange hawkweed (*Hieracium aurantiacum*), Common crupina (*Crupina vulgaris*), Rush skeletonweed (*Condrilla juncea*), Leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula*), Puncturevine (*Tribulus terrestris*), Scotch thistle (*Onopordum acanthium*), Dalmatian toadflax (*Linaria dalmatica*), Houndstongue (*Cynoglossum officinale*), Spotted knapweed (*Centaurea stoebe*) and Yellow Starthistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*), and Kochia (*Kochia scoparia*).

With limited resources, efforts are prioritized towards state and local weed laws (Class A, B, & C weed species), management objectives of the site, and annual operating and staffing budgets. Staff take opportunities to apply for grants or to partner with other government agencies, NGO's, and neighboring landowners to magnify weed control efforts and accomplish more across boundary lines. For example, the Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation (RMEF) - who has been a tremendous partner and supporter of efforts to manage the wildlife areas and has contributed over \$195,000 (2008-2017) toward enhancing wildfire habitat and controlling weeds. RMEF support covers aerial helicopter spraying services of the steep, rugged terrain that encompasses this country and the purchase of herbicide for those spray efforts. There is an emerging concern that livestock may be a vector for introducing weeds on the wildlife areas. For instance, yellow starthistle may lodge in hood of hair and be shed on the wildlife area

Resources

Detailed descriptions and natural history information for each of the above state-listed weed species listed above can be found at the Washington State Noxious Weed Control Board web site at <http://www.nwcb.wa.gov/search.asp>.

Information on other species contained in the list can be found at the University of California's IPM Online web site at http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/PMG/weeds_intro.html.

Weed management information for individual weed species can be found at the PNW Weed Management Handbook link at <http://pnwhandbooks.org/weed/control-problem-weeds>



Blue Mountains wildlife areas weed class and general location by wildlife area

Weed Species	2018 State/ County Weed Class	2017 Est. Affected Acres	2017 Treated Acres	Qualitative Density	Annual Trend	Control Objective/Strategy	Wildlife Area Unit Weed Distribution
Dalmatian toadflax	B	1	0.5	Low	Stable	Spray in Access sites. Bio-control insects have provided good success in suppression outside these areas.	Asotin Creek
		60	0.5	Low	Stable	Spray in Access sites. Bio-control insects have provided good success in suppression outside these areas.	Chief Joseph
		2	0	Low	Stable	Spray as needed	Wooten
General weeds	n/a	405.5	405.5	Medium	Decreasing	Spray/treat as needed.	Asotin, Chief Joseph, Wooten
		187	187	Medium	Decreasing	Spray as needed.	Chief Joseph
		50	7	Medium	Decreasing	Spray as needed.	Wooten
Annual Invasive Grasses	n/a	3,000	90	High	Increasing	Testing a biological control for annual grasses.	Chief Joseph
Houndstongue	B	500	7	Low	Increasing	Spray as needed.	Wooten, Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek
Kochia	B	70	8	High	Increasing	Spray as needed.	Stovall Road Wooten
Leafy spurge	B-Designate	25	5	Medium	Increasing	Releasing Bio-control agent to stop downstream spread. Spray above high-water mark.	Chief Joseph
Puncturevine	B	250	2	Medium	Decreasing	Spray as needed.	Asotin Creek, Chief Joseph,
		2	0	Low	Stable	Spray as needed	Wooten
Mediterranean sage	A	150	0.5	Low	Increasing	Make 2 site visits per year to look for and treat individual plants.	Asotin Creek
Rush skeletonweed	B-Designate	100	2	High	Increasing	Spray as needed. Look into releasing new <i>Bradyrrhoa gilveolella</i> root-feeding bio-control agent.	Asotin Creek, Chief Joseph
		100	20	Low	Increasing		Wooten
Scotch thistle	B	1,800	40	Medium	Stable	Continue with fall treatments as they show the best timing to suppress the next season's growth.	Asotin Creek
		2,500	6	Medium	Stable		Chief Joseph
		150	5	Medium	Stable		Spray as needed
Knapweed – spotted and diffuse	B	150	14	Low	Stable	Spray as needed to keep small populations from spreading.	Wooten, Asotin Creek, Chief Joseph
Yellow starthistle	B	3,000	215	Medium	Increasing	Utilize RMEF Grant funds to aerial spray large, inaccessible areas.	Asotin Creek
		4,000	480	High	Increasing		Chief Joseph
		1,500	250	Medium	Increasing		Wooten

B - Designate – legally mandated for control. In regions where a Class B & C species are abundant, control is decided at the local level, with containment as the primary goal.



Appendix C. Fire response information

Agency	Units covered	Phone number
Department of Natural Resources	Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek, and W.T. Wooten Wildlife Areas	911 or La Grande Dispatch at 541-963-7171
Walla Walla Fire District #8	Stovall and Swegle	911
Walla Walla Fire District #6	McDonald and Dodd Road	911

Department of Fish and Wildlife Contacts - contact in order listed

Contact	Phone number
Bob Dice, Wildlife Area Manager	509-758-3151
Kari Dingman, Wildlife Area Assistant Manager	509-843-1530
David Woodall, Wildlife Area Assistant Manger	509-758-3151
Kevin Robinette, Regional Wildlife Program Manager	509-892-7859 Ext 324

Fire district

Portions of Blue Mountains wildlife areas are covered by or adjacent to the Umatilla National Forest (see Figure 22). When a wildland fire is reported, the county fire districts are usually the first to respond, because most people call 911, and fire districts are the closest resource. If the fire is within the district, county resources will engage in suppression. If the fire is threatening the district, then the county resources will provide suppression efforts until DNR fire resources arrive. Fire District personnel are trained in wildland fire suppression through DNR and have fire engines and equipment to suppress wildland fires.

Washington Department of Natural Resources


The Blue Mountains wildlife areas are located within DNR's Southeast Region. DNR has the primary protection responsibility for state and private forest land. Roughly a third of the wildlife areas are within the forest fire protection area, and DNR will take lead on any wildland fire suppression efforts. DNR will also assist local fire districts with suppression efforts outside of forest protection if those fires are threatening adjacent forest protection lands. For wildlife area lands not located within the forest fire protection area, WDFW has an interagency agreement with DNR to provide suppression efforts. The agreement spells out resources provided by DNR for suppression efforts and what WDFW will do to assist. Under the agreement, WDFW will reimburse DNR for costs associated with suppression efforts.

U.S. Forest Service

Portions of all units abut or are intermingled with US Forest Service lands on the Umatilla National Forest, Pomeroy Ranger District. While DNR is responsible for wildland fire protection on state land, the USFS is responsible for protection of the adjacent federal land. WDFW and DNR work closely with the USFS and they may be the first to respond to a wildland fire on or adjacent to the wildlife area.



Figure 22: Blue Mountains wildlife areas fire district boundaries



Appendix D. Research and other studies

Summary of research activities conducted on Blue Mountains wildlife areas

Researcher	Date	Description
Kathryn Beck	2015	A Botanical Survey Of 4-0 Ranch, WDFW Asotin County, WA
Rich Beausoliel	2008-13	Mountain Lion population study
Paul Wik et al	2008-present	Bighorn Sheep population and movement
James Watson	2010-present	Golden Eagle research (nest monitoring and telemetry)
Wooten staff	2006-present	Photo points monitoring revegetation after School Fire
Joseph Arnett	2014	Conservation Recommendations for Lime Hill and Mount Wilson, Asotin County, Washington
Laura Applegate Heinse, Linda Hardesty, and Taryn Clark	2010	Presence and reproductive status of federally threatened <i>silene spaldingii</i> relative to temperature and precipitation
Karen Gray	2008	2008 Field Survey for <i>silene spaldingii</i> (Spalding's Catchfly) in the Asotin Wildlife Area, Asotin County, Washington
ELR	2008-2018	Asotin Creek IMW
WDFW	2013, 2014, 2016, 2017	Tucannon SPCH and SH PIT Tagging, Survival, and Movement



Appendix E. Forest management plan

Introduction

This document accompanies the agency-wide Management Strategy for the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife's Forests with specific plan details for the Blue Mountains Wildlife Area Complex (BMWLA), including the Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek and W. T. Wooten Wildlife Areas. The statewide strategy includes information that is common to all wildlife areas like the agency mission, policies and priorities. Also included in the statewide plan are general descriptions of forest types, management issues associated with them, and directions for identifying suitable management areas and potential projects. As such, this document focuses on site specific information related to identifying and addressing forest management needs in the BMWLA.

I. Forest description

There are over 16,000 acres of forests and woodlands on the BMWLA. The BMWLA forests are composed of several ecological systems described by the Department of Natural Resources Field Guide to Washington's Ecological Systems (Rocchio, J. and R. Crawford 2008). Most forested acres fall within the systems called *Northern Rocky Mountain Ponderosa Pine Woodland and Savanna*, *Northern Rocky Mountain Dry-Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer Forest*, and *Northern Rocky Mountain Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer Forest*. Mapping based on satellite imagery of the BMWLA recorded nearly 400 acres of *Northern Rocky Mountain Western Larch Savanna*, however these systems are currently stocked with mixed conifer species and only a small larch component. Riparian areas are largely *Columbia Basin Foothill Riparian Woodland and Shrubland* and *Northern Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland*. Aspen, lodgepole pine, and spruce are rare on the BMWLA, with sparse occurrences of scattered trees. Most of the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area burned in a high-severity, high-intensity fire in 2005. Thus, the majority of its post-fire timber harvest acres were replanted with conifers and shrubs. See Table 1 for acres and percentages of Blue Mountain Wildlife Area forested ecological systems and Appendix A for the mapped distribution of these forests in the BMWLA.

Disturbance processes

Prior to modern settlement, wildfire and Native American-managed fire was an important ecosystem driver in the Blue Mountains (Agee and Maruoka 1994, Heyerdahl 1997). In general, fires were common in most of the forests and maintained various plant communities. Frequent low intensity fires maintained open, late-seral forests, savannahs and woodlands. Fires kept fuel loads low and stimulated fire-adapted plants including native perennial grasses. Fires generally burned in a mosaic pattern of low to mixed severity. Riparian forests such as those on surrounding the Tucannon River, Grande Ronde River and lower reaches of Asotin Creek, Joseph Creek and their tributaries, were likely maintained by flooding, channel migration and occasional mixed severity fire. Fire intervals in the wildlife areas likely ranged between 3 and 30 years in lower elevation ponderosa pine and mixed conifer forests and between 25 to 100 years on cooler, moist sites including riparian areas, north slopes, and higher elevation forests (Agee and Maruoka 1994). LANDFIRE data suggest most forested areas on the BMWLA had average fire return intervals of 16-20 years (see Figure 1).

Other pre-European settlement disturbance to forested ecosystems included grazing of understory grasses and shrubs by large ungulates and occasional outbreaks of native forest insects and disease. Frequent fire



likely minimized insects and disease issues by keeping forest stocking lower which improves tree health. Increased tree spacing would have also reduced the spread of mistletoe and root disease. Low- and moderate-intensity, low-severity fire removes weak, disease-susceptible trees and reduces tree-to-tree competition allowing the remaining stand to be healthier and more equipped to fight insect attack.


Table 1. Acres and percentages of Blue Mountain Wildlife Areas in Washington’s Ecological Systems as described by Rocchio, J and R. Crawford 2008. Only forest and woodland ecological systems are shown.

Ecological system	Acres	Percent of total acres
Northern Rocky Mountain Dry-Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer Forest	6,095	38
Harvested Forest - Northwestern Conifer Regeneration	3,670	23
Northern Rocky Mountain Ponderosa Pine Woodland and Savanna	3,004	19
Columbia Basin Foothill Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	1,237	8
Northern Rocky Mountain Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer Forest	747	5
Northern Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	728	5
Northern Rocky Mountain Western Larch Savanna	371	2
Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	146	1
Great Basin Foothill and Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland	35	0.2
Rocky Mountain Subalpine Mesic Spruce-Fir Forest and Woodland	33	0.2
Columbia Plateau Western Juniper Woodland and Savanna	28	0.2
Rocky Mountain Aspen Forest and Woodland	19	0.1
Inter-Mountain Basins Aspen-Mixed Conifer Forest and Woodland	8	<0.1
Rocky Mountain Lodgepole Pine Forest	7	<0.1
Rocky Mountain Subalpine-Montane Riparian Woodland	6	<0.1
Inter-Mountain Basins Curl-leaf Mountain Mahogany Woodland and Shrubland	2	<0.1
Rocky Mountain Subalpine Dry-Mesic Spruce-Fir Forest and Woodland	1	<0.1
Grand total	16,136	100




Figure 1. Fire return interval for Blue Mountains Wildlife Area Complex. Based on LANDFIRE data. See Appendix A to compare maps of forest ecological systems.

1A) W. T. Wooten, Weatherly, Asotin Creek, Grouse Flats and 4-0 Ranch Wildlife Areas.



1B) Fire return intervals for George Creek, Shumaker, and Chief Joseph Wildlife Areas.



Current conditions and threat assessment

Fire and fuels

Fire regimes on the wildlife area and adjacent lands have been altered due to fire suppression, silvicultural practices, grazing and agriculture. Forested areas are burning less often due to effective fire suppression and this fire exclusion has allowed historically open ponderosa pine and mixed conifer forests of the Blue Mountains to develop excessive accumulations of fuels and overcrowded conditions. Forest areas without fire or fuels reduction activities have had greater than a half century of undisturbed growth. These undisturbed stands changed from historically open forests to unnaturally dense forests of small stemmed trees and shrubs. Shade tolerant species benefited, filling in openings to create dense conditions. Fuel loads are high and small trees and brush provide effective fuel ladders providing a pathway for fire to reach the forest canopy.

These conditions consequently increase stand vulnerability to unnaturally large and intense crown fires as well as insect attack. Table 2 shows a list of recent natural and human caused fires 100 acres or greater on or near the BMWLA. Figure 2 shows the number of fire started between 1980 and 2015. The far greater number of fire initiations that are quickly suppressed compared to fires in Table 2 (which are also suppressed) exemplifies the change from historically fire-maintained ecosystems where lightning and intentional human-caused fires would have frequently burned in patchy mosaics across the landscape.

In the period of modern settlement, most of the ponderosa pine or mixed conifer forests on the Chief Joseph, Asotin Creek and Wooten Wildlife Areas were selectively harvested for timber. Prior to Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) ownership, the largest, most valuable trees were typically harvested. This management, combined with prolonged fire suppression, altered what used to be typical patterns of forest succession on the wildlife areas. The largest most valuable trees are also the most capable of withstanding low and medium intensity fires. Field assessments have shown very few large old trees and snags on the landscape today.

Overall the dense forest conditions of the wildlife areas have departed from the historic range of variability, particularly in areas with frequent fire return intervals. The 2017 thinning on the Grouse Flat Unit decreased future risk of stand-destroying fire by removing small stems and fuel ladders, and favoring fire-resilient species. Also stands on the 4-0 Ranch Unit that were thinned by the previous owners are also better prepared to withstand fire. However, the dense forests on Smoothing Iron Ridge on the Asotin Creek Unit, nearly all forests on the Weatherly Unit, and many acres on the 4-0 Ranch Unit that have had not had recent maintenance or had had the overstory removed rather than thinned have significantly departed from historic reference conditions and would likely experience high-intensity, high-severity crown fire.




Table 2. Wildfires greater than 100 acres from 1980 to 2016 on the BMWLA. Fields are left blank when no data is available. Data drawn from Federal Wildfire Occurrence Website and Washington Department of Natural Resources Open Data.

Wildfire name	Year	Cause	Acres burned
	1981	Lightning	147
	1994	Lightning	2,580
	1996	Lightning	1,000
Deep Canyon	1996	Other	158
	1997	Debris Burn	900
Star	1997	Debris burning	1,800
	1998	Lightning	100
Stateline	2000	Debris burning	1,745
Rogersburg	2001	Other	600
Heller Bar	2002	Debris burning	155
Shoemaker	2004	Other	538
Charley Creek	2005		150
School	2005	Power line	52,000
Columbia Complex	2006	Lightning	109,259
Rockpile Creek	2007	Fireworks	17,420
Cottonwood	2007	Vehicle Fire	3,578
Hartsock	2010	Misc.	200
BMIDC INC 597* (Cache Creek)	2012	Other	2,500+
Grande Ronde	2013	Lightning	1,167
Mail Trail	2013	Misc.	2,450
BMIDC INC 881	2014	Lightning	133
Rye Ridge	2015	Lightning	580
Ayers Gulch	2015	Under Investigation	390
Tucannon	2015	Under Investigation	2,533
Grizzly Bear Complex	2015	Lightning	82,659



Figure 2. Fire initiation points from 1980 to 2015. Size of points represents how large the fire spread in acre size classes. Data drawn from Federal Wildfire Occurrence Website and Washington Department of Natural Resources Open Data.



Insects and disease

Forest insects and diseases present on the BMWLA are all native. At low levels, these insects and pathogens can provide quality habitat features such as food sources in insect larvae, nesting platforms from dwarf mistletoe brooms, snags and structural diversity. Bark beetles attack trees weakened by drought, physical damage, disease or overcrowding. Dwarf mistletoe infests trees of the same species in pockets and spreads in crowded conditions to trees and branches below and downhill from infection centers. Root disease attacks weakened trees through root-to-root contact underground.

Currently, field examinations suggest forests on the Blue Mountains wildlife areas are overstocked, causing individual trees to be stressed and predisposed to epidemic levels of insect and disease attack. Dwarf mistletoe infestations on Douglas-fir and larch are very high on portions of the Weatherly Unit and Smoothing Iron Ridge of Asotin Creek Wildlife Area. Localized beetle infestations have been noted on the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area and the 4-0 Ranch, likely carryover from nearby wildfire-induced beetle activity. Pathogens that do not often kill large trees, such as western gall rust, have been further weakening already



drought-stressed trees, predisposing them to insect attack. Predicted climate change effects, including extended summer droughts would further exacerbate impacts from insects and disease, particularly in trees increasingly maladapted to climatic conditions such as grand fir and Douglas-fir (Kolb et al. 2016, Kliejunas et al. 2009, Klopfenstein et al 2009).

Priority species

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife designates certain species and habitat types as priorities for special conservation and management. Some of these priority species and habitats are directly or indirectly associated with forest ecosystems—for instance old growth or mature forest, snags and logs, and aspen stands are all considered “priority habitats”. State “sensitive” and “candidate” species such as the wolverine, bald eagle, northern goshawk, golden eagle, flammulated owl, Lewis’ woodpecker, white-headed woodpecker, black-backed woodpecker, pileated woodpecker, Preble’s shrew, and Townsend’s big-eared bat have been noted in forest ecosystems in Columbia, Garfield and Asotin counties. Other candidate species such as the western toad, Rocky Mountain tailed frog, bull trout, chinook, steelhead, rainbow trout, Columbia pebblesnail, shortface lanx, have been found in various forested riparian and wetland habitats on or near the BMWLA. Gray wolves and martens are other priority species likely using the wildlife areas in southeast Washington.

Several species in the Blue Mountains that are not federally or state listed are also considered priority species due to their aggregation biology, vulnerability to changes on the landscape, or importance for recreational, commercial or tribal use. These priority species using forest ecosystems include various game birds such as mountain quail, dusky grouse, and wild turkeys, as well as ungulates including bighorn sheep, northwest white-tailed deer, elk, and Rocky Mountain mule deer.

Currently, the Blue Mountain Wildlife Areas provide a mosaic of intermingled agricultural fields, grasslands and forests that create good habitat for game birds, deer and elk. The forests provide hiding and thermal cover, nesting and foraging platforms, and varying food sources. However, a catastrophic stand-replacing wildfire could eliminate or greatly decrease these forest benefits. Large diameter standing snags, which are good for raptors, bats, woodpeckers, and other wildlife are generally lacking from the wildlife areas due to historic logging. Likewise, the wildlife areas also lack large downed wood. Riparian areas and wetlands currently provide habitat features for fish, amphibians and mollusks.

Social and economic conditions

The BMWLA forests greatly add to the scenic beauty of the land and are highly valued as places for public recreation including hunting, hiking, biking, horse-back riding, wildlife viewing, and camping. Nevertheless, current conditions are less than ideal. Overstocked forests contribute to elevated wildfire threats which are expensive to suppress and can reduce recreational opportunities due to falling trees. Dense plantation tree growth stagnates without treatment, reducing economic value in timber harvest. Overstocked stands may provide desirable habitat for species such as the flammulated owl and northern goshawk, however provide less than ideal foraging habitat for deer and wildlife which are valued by the public for hunting-based recreation.

There exists potential for wildlife area forests to provide limited support to local economies in terms of forestry jobs or wood products. For example, the Grouse Flats Forest Restoration Project employed local loggers and supplied a local mill. This work was highly valued by the Asotin County commissioners and the Asotin County Working Lands Committee. This project not only stimulated the local economy, but also



generated income for the agency to spend on local projects on the wildlife area which could include tree planting, prescribed fire, hand thinning, mastication, weed control, or future timber sales.

Wildlife Area specific conditions

Chief Joseph Wildlife Area

The Chief Joseph Wildlife Area consists of the Grouse Flats, 4-0 Ranch, Shumaker and Chief Joseph Units. Forests in the Shumaker and Chief Joseph Units are restricted to narrow riparian areas and are primarily composed of hardwoods including alder, cottonwood and maple in the lower elevations with increasing components of open ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir as elevation increases.

4-0 Ranch Unit

The 4-0 Ranch stretches from low elevation areas around 1300 feet on the Grande Ronde River up to 4600 feet elevation at the north end of the Wildlife Area. The lowest elevations are forested only in narrow riparian draws. These forests are a moist hardwood mix at low elevations with conifers replacing hardwoods as elevation increases.

The bench surrounding Mountain View, as well as the counterpart elevation on the Grouse Flats side of the wildlife area, is currently mid-seral ponderosa pine forest, ponderosa pine savanna, and mixed conifer forest interspersed with meadows and cultivated fields. Higher elevation forests and steep north-facing slopes are also largely mid-seral with primary species being Douglas-fir, ponderosa pine, grand fir and larch. Most mid-seral stands on the 4-0 Ranch are moderately to densely stocked, and very few are sparsely stocked. Timber harvest and grazing have been the primary agents of disturbance in these stands. Due to grazing and grass-seeding, mid-seral stands around the old sawmill near Mountain View primarily have a grass understory with minimal fuel ladders. However extensive tree-to-tree competition among the pine is causing growth to slow on individual trees and the stand to be more susceptible to insects and disease.

Except in the least accessible areas, all stands have been harvested with both even and uneven aged silvicultural systems over time. Stands not thinned in the past 20 years are densely stocked with trees, though grazed and grass-seeded flats lack ladder fuels and brush. These stands are relatively uniform in age and structure. Recent cattle grazing has likely reduced understory brush and ingrowth of trees in some areas.

Previous owners have thinned various areas, such as acreage around the old Mountain View schoolhouse, and on the north boundary of the Grouse Flats side of the 4-0 Ranch Wildlife Area in the last 30 years. Additionally, approximately 300 acres were thinned in the fall of 2018 by WDFW around the old Mountain View town site. These treatments reduced competition on site and likely increased health and fire-resiliency of trees of remaining trees. However, some stands also have experienced ingrowth of brush and small trees since they were thinned that have not been treated in many years. A few mid-seral stands had recent mastication treatments prior to WDFW ownership. In these stands, small trees and brush were removed while the overstory density remained unchanged.

Areas where previous landowners harvested the forest overstory through clear-cut or shelterwood silvicultural treatments are now reforested with young (thirty years old or less) pine, Douglas-fir and larch. These early seral stands range in density from being heavily over-stocked, to forests just beginning canopy closure and inter-tree competition, to young saplings free to grow without competition. Much of the young plantation forests types along the northern boundary of the wildlife area unit are densely overstocked and prone to catastrophic crown fire. Dense early seral stands are also growing into fields no longer in



cultivation. These fields are being naturally reforested with dense thickets of pine seedlings. Dense thickets of trees provide good cover for ungulates, but are at risk of total loss from wildfire. Additionally, fierce tree-to-tree competition hinders growth of trees into large stemmed boles capable of withstanding low intensity, low severity fire. Once the capacity for stem growth is lost in trees, it cannot be recovered.

There is very little late-seral or old growth forest on the ranch, though there are occasional old individuals or clumps of trees. Old trees present are largely restricted to less accessible areas within steep canyon draws.

Grouse Flats Unit

The Grouse Flats Wildlife Area Unit consists of mid-seral, mixed conifer forest. Conifer species include ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir, western larch, grand fir, and Engelmann spruce. Sparse hardwoods including hawthorn, maple and cottonwood are found in wetter areas. Several old fields have been reforested in ponderosa pine since the agency bought the property in 1967, and ponderosa pine was also recently planted on approximately 20 acres. A timber harvest occurred on 300 acres in 2017 to thin tree stocking to healthier levels, promote fire resilient tree species, reduce fuel ladders, and reduce dwarf mistletoe infestation. Though the chance of catastrophic fire is significantly reduced due to this treatment, this unit will have ingrowth of brush and new trees over time. The forests in this Wildlife Area, like the rest of the BMWLA, historically would have been maintained by fire every 16-20 years on average.

Asotin Creek Wildlife Area

Forests on the George Creek Unit and lower elevations of the Asotin Creek Unit are restricted to narrow drainages dominated by hardwood riparian forests. At higher elevations, timbered draws and the flats along Smoothing Iron Ridge on the Asotin Creek Unit contain mid-seral, mixed conifer forests primarily consisting of Douglas-fir, larch and ponderosa pine. The Weatherly Unit is largely forested on the western two thirds of its gentler terrain as well as in its steep drainages. Very little grazing or timber harvest disturbance has occurred in these wildlife areas since their purchase by WDFW, though a few acres on the Weatherly Unit have burned in wildfire.

Asotin Creek Wildlife Area forests along Smoothing Iron ridge and the forested area of Weatherly Unit are overstocked, prone to crown fire and have widespread disease issues including root disease and dwarf mistletoe. Without treatment, these wildlife areas could experience extensive wildfire damage, in addition to ongoing damage from insects and disease.

Several previously cultivated fields in both the Asotin Creek and Weatherly Units are being naturally reforested or planted with ponderosa pine seedlings. The older cohorts of this reforestation are densely stocked. On the Weatherly Unit, many of the smaller trees have been thinned via mowing by wildlife area staff and by Washington Conservation Corps chainsaw thinning crews. There is very little late seral forest in the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area due to previous land management and wildfire, any older trees are limited to less accessible draws.

W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area

The Wooten Wildlife Area ranges from 1800 feet to 4100 feet in elevation. The lowest elevations along the Tucannon River have hardwood riparian forests on the floodplain. Upriver and at higher elevations, much of the Wooten Wildlife Area was covered in mixed conifer forest. However, the 2005 School Fire consumed much of the overstory on the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area. The burned trees were harvested after the fire and the wildlife area planted 1.5 million trees and shrubs. Unfortunately, some of the wildlife area had low survival rates for planted tree seedlings, and the natural regeneration is patchy and dependent on the



distance of sparse cone-producing trees that survived the fire. A reburn could easily remove the few established seedlings and saplings. Areas lacking seedlings and seed trees have been converted to shrubs or grasslands and could take centuries to convert back to forest again without no active management.

Areas with high seedling survival after the fire are moderately stocked with a mix of pine and Douglas-fir. These stands are predominantly east of Mountain Road, patchy areas off Abel's Ridge, and isolated areas along the main access road paralleling the Tucannon River. These early seral stands vary in stocking levels. At the highest elevations and the area farthest south in the wildlife area there are a few stands that survived the school fire and are currently densely stocked, mid-seral mixed conifer forest.

II. Management approach

Many of the BMWLA forests are degraded from past selective logging when the largest, most valuable, and most fire-resistant trees were removed. Continued fire suppression, lack of disturbance and corresponding ingrowth of small fuel ladder trees over the last century have made stands vulnerable to catastrophic wildfire such as occurred in the 2005 School Fire in the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area. Additionally, disease issues such as dwarf mistletoe have gone unchecked with lack of fire or other forest management. Finally, some areas burned on the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area will convert to shrubs and grasslands without further reforestation efforts. Thus, WDFW will actively manage suitable forests in the Blue Mountains wildlife areas. Selective thinning, non-commercial thinning, prescribed fire, and planting will be used to restore and maintain fire-dependent forests.

Desired future conditions

In general, desired conditions would move forests back to their historic ranges of variability for the landscape, as directed in the 2015 Management Strategy for the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife's Forests. It is assumed that the historic ranges of variability, including species composition, structure, fuel levels and disturbance regimes provide the most ecological sustainability and therefore the greatest overall benefits to wildlife. If possible, it would also be desirable to consider the future range of variability in the face of warming trends and more extreme temperatures predicted with climate change.

Desirable conditions of the ponderosa pine and mixed conifer forests would include a system able to withstand fire return intervals averaging 16-20 years, or up to 30-100 year intervals in some moist sites and north facing slopes. Most stands would be more open and fire-resilient than they are today. However, at a coarse and fine scale there would be some heterogeneity to provide a diversity of habitat. For example, most stands would be more open, favoring pine and larch, while north facing slopes or riparian areas may be more densely stocked and leave more Douglas-fir. Within a stand, the stand would have a mix of openings, well-spaced individuals and clumps of trees.

The primary risks to WDFW forests in the Blue Mountains are fire, insects and disease. Desired conditions would be to have low risk of catastrophic wildfire by periodically removing small trees and fuel ladders through prescribed burning and forest thinning operations. These actions cannot prevent wildfire and remove all risk, but they can assist in reducing fire intensity and severity. Ideally both low-intensity, low-severity wildfire and prescribed fires would remain on the ground and maintain healthy forests. If high severity crowning fires did occur due to particularly bad fire conditions, fires would drop to manageable levels on managed WDFW land, assisting suppression efforts. Forestland would not be converted to shrubs and grass at the scale that happened on parts of the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area. Desirable species better adapted to survive low intensity fire, including ponderosa pine and larch, would dominate the Northern Rocky Mountain Montane Mixed Conifer Forest and Northern Rocky Mountain Ponderosa Pine Woodland



and Savanna ecological types. Reducing density and decreasing tree-to-tree competition would allow trees to be healthier and grow faster in stem diameter.

Priority species

Increased acreage in late-seral forest, and individual old trees is desired. Recruiting large trees would subsequently provide large snags and downed wood, which is currently lacking across the wildlife areas. Also aspen and other hardwoods as well as snags would remain or be enhanced in small amounts across the landscape to increase habitat diversity. Areas would have snags and downed logs at rates recommended for applicable PHS species. Forests would continue to provide both cover and understory forage for deer and elk. Forested habitat should be resilient to wildfire. Riparian habitat would be protected according to Washington State Forest Practices regulations.

Social and economic conditions

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's mission is to "preserve, protect and perpetuate fish, wildlife and ecosystems while providing sustainable fish and wildlife recreational and commercial opportunities". Desired socio-economic conditions for forest management in the Blue Mountains wildlife areas therefore involves maintaining quality recreational experiences and commercial opportunities while still providing excellent habitat. While economic stimulus is not the primary purpose of WDFW forest management projects, forest management would ideally stimulate the economy in two ways. It would employ local loggers, mill workers, and forestry contractors. As much as possible, revenue received from the sale of harvested logs would then go directly back into forest and grassland management in the Blue Mountains. These new projects would then likely stimulate the economy in a similar fashion. Forest projects would only temporarily affect recreational use due to short-term closures for safety, and any closures would be mitigated by doing work during periods of low use. For example, timber harvest with the Grouse Flats Forest Restoration Project did not occur during open rifle season. Any project falling trees or using heavy equipment would be signed to notify and protect the safety of potential recreational users.

Suitable Management Areas and Potential Projects

The Blue Mountains forests have evolved with regular fire intervals removing smaller stems and ladder fuels. Due to overstocked conditions and current fire suppression policy, active management should be an option for many of the forested areas of the wildlife area. Emphasis for the current 10 year planning cycle will be placed on degraded stands with declining ecological integrity that require frequent fire return intervals. Those stands that are currently on trajectory to desired future conditions, with little or no benefit to be achieved from active management, are low priorities for the current planning cycle. Also, those stands with feasibility issues may be excluded from consideration in the current planning cycle. Issues that may preclude active management include, but are not limited to, access problems, operability concerns, habitat concerns, economic constraints and regulatory restrictions.

Where active management is appropriate, the primary goals for those management activities will be to:

- 1) Restore the project area to stand conditions more closely resembling the historic range of variability for species composition, stand densities and size classes.
- 2) Improve habitat conditions for multiple wildlife species, with emphasis placed on priority habitats and species.
- 3) Improve forest health to create healthy, resilient stands.



4) Reduce the catastrophic wildfire risk to forests and surrounding ownerships.

Commercial thinning will be used to remove trees greater than 7" dbh where appropriate to maintain healthy, fire resilient forests. Material will be removed from site as saw logs and pulp logs. Forest management will also include non-commercial work. Non-commercial treatments could include controlling fuel loads and in-growth of smaller trees and shrubs 7" dbh and smaller through prescribed fire, mastication, and hand thinning. Both commercial and non-commercial thinning would reduce tree competition and increase total stem diameter growth. Finally, restorative reforestation will occur. Trees will be planted in areas affected by the School Fire, as well as other areas that may experience fire in the future. See Table 3 for planned projects.

4-0 Ranch Unit

Commercial thinning, non-commercial thinning, and possibly prescribed fire are planned on the 4-0 Ranch. Commercial sales will be geographically separated and will focus on the Mountain View area between Wenatchee Creek and Cougar Creek Road, the area north of the Mace fields on the Grouse Flats side, and the area east of Medicine Creek and Cougar Creek. Commercial and non-commercial treatments may occur concurrently to increase efficiencies in contract administration, minimize road work and benefit from machinery on hand for commercial treatments. Non-commercial thinning will focus on reducing stocking in dense young plantations and ponderosa pine thickets encroaching onto previously farmed fields. The Mountain View area was commercially thinned in the fall of 2018, and will be followed by the Sawmill area west of Wenatchee Creek. These acres may be combined with some acres of non-commercial treatments to offset the costs of the non-commercial work. The Cougar Creek and Mace field's commercial thinning units will be assessed through stand exams to assess optimal thinning windows. Thinning need and commercial value are expected to increase in these areas as trees grow. Thinning will be planned after stand inventory is collected to optimize ecological and economic thinning benefits.

Forest management also includes fuels maintenance. Many stands on the 4-0 Ranch have already been thinned and would benefit from prescribed fire to remove fuels that have grown in since the previous treatments. Commercially thinned stands in the Mountain View area are also being considered for prescribed fire to reduce logging slash. WDFW would like to use prescribed fire wherever possible on the 4-0 Ranch to stimulate forage species and reduce small tree stocking. There are many roads, field and landforms allowing for safe and effective burning. However, this tool is limited to air quality regulations, crew availability, potential grazing operations, funding and fuel conditions. See Figure 3 for a map of planned forest management treatments on the 4-0 Ranch Wildlife Area.




Table 3. Contains planned and potential projects for the next 10 years. Whenever possible prescribed fire will be also used to treat forests. Planned projects were given priority based upon threat from wildfire, forest disease issues, feasibility, and economic capability. Acres are estimates and may expand where needed and feasible, or decrease due to riparian area regulations, rocky outcrops, unstable slopes, cultural resource exclusions, etc.

Objective	Treatment unit	Estimated acres	Task	Anticipated completion
Reduce tree density to improve forest health and fire resiliency	Mountain View, 4-0 Ranch Wildlife Area	300	Commercial Thin	2018
Accelerate reforestation and reduce risk of catastrophic wildfire	Mountain View, 4-0 Ranch Wildlife Area	up to 500	Non-commercial Thin	2019
Reduce tree density to improve forest health and fire resiliency	Weatherly Wildlife Area	300	Commercial Thin	2020
Accelerate reforestation and reduce risk of catastrophic wildfire	Weatherly Wildlife Area	up to 200	Non-commercial Thin	2020
Reforestation	W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area	550	Reforestation	2020
Accelerate reforestation and reduce risk of catastrophic wildfire	W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area	100	Non-commercial Thin	2020
Reduce tree density to improve forest health and fire resiliency	Smoothing Iron Ridge, Asotin Creek Wildlife Area	200	Commercial Thin	2021
Accelerate reforestation and reduce risk of catastrophic wildfire	Smoothing Iron Ridge, Asotin Creek Wildlife Area	150	Non-commercial Thin	2021
Reduce tree density to improve forest health and fire resiliency	Sawmill, 4-0 Ranch Wildlife Area	500	Commercial Thin	2022
Reduce tree density to improve forest health and fire resiliency	Cougar Creek Rd, 4-0 Ranch Wildlife Area	200	Commercial Thin	To Be Determined
Reduce tree density to improve forest health and fire resiliency	Mace, 4-0 Ranch Wildlife Area	400	Commercial Thin	To Be Determined
Stimulate understory vegetation and reduce risk of catastrophic fire	Grouse Flats Wildlife Area	250	Prescribed Fire	2020
Stimulate understory vegetation and reduce risk of catastrophic fire	4-0 Ranch, Asotin Creek, Weatherly Wildlife Areas	To Be Determined	Prescribed Fire	To Be Determined



Figure 3. Map of potential commercial and non-commercial forest management treatments on the 4-0 Ranch Unit of Chief Joseph Wildlife Area in the next 10-year planning cycle. While fuel treatments are not specifically shown, all commercial thinning areas will be considered for fuel treatments. Areas Assessed for Thin in 10 yrs. include the Cougar Creek and Mace projects (see Table 2).




Grouse Flats Unit

The Grouse Flats Forest Restoration Project was completed in 2017. This project thinned overstocked conifers and reduced mistletoe infection. Healthy ponderosa pine and western larch were favored in the residual stand over Douglas-fir and grand fir. Young and dense ponderosa pine invading previously farmed fields were also thinned. Approximately 300 forested acres were treated and 100 acres were left densely stocked for priority species habitat and riparian management zones. Depending on funding and timing, this unit may have prescribed fire applied to a portion of the area treated in the thinning project. Small trees planted approximately 20 years ago may need to be thinned in the next ten years. This stand will be assessed through stand exams to determine the optimal time to thin. The remainder of the forests on the wildlife area have no active management planned due to priority species considerations. See Figure 4 for a map of potential treatment areas on the Grouse Flats Wildlife Area.



Figure 4. Map of potential forest management treatments on the Grouse Flats Unit of Chief Joseph Wildlife Area in the next 10-year planning cycle.



Weatherly Unit

Much of the forest on the Weatherly Unit of the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area stand is heavily diseased and overstocked. Of road accessible acres, an estimated 300 acres will be treated commercially in the next ten years, and approximately 150 acres will be left untreated. The untreated area will serve as undisturbed cover for wildlife priority species. Prescribed fire, in addition to or as a stand-alone treatment, may also be applied to the Weatherly Unit in commercially thinned areas. Dog-hair ponderosa pine on field edges have been and will continually be non-commercially thinned by hand or with mastication equipment. See Figure 5 for potential forest management areas on the Weatherly Unit.

Asotin Creek Wildlife Area, Smoothing Iron Ridge

Both planted and naturally reforesting fields of pine will be monitored and thinned as needed to optimize growth and stocking levels. These areas will likely be hand-thinned and piled. Mastication may alternatively be considered in gentle sloped areas with no or little commercial value. Hand thinning and piling volunteer projects with conservation organizations occur yearly and are planned to continue. Approximately 300 acres of overstocked and diseased mid-seral forests will be considered for commercial thinning. See Figure 6 for potential forest treatment areas of the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area.



Figure 5. Map of potential forest management treatments on the Weatherly Unit of Asotin Creek Wildlife Area in the next 10-year planning cycle.




Figure 6. Map of potential forest management treatments near Smoothing Iron Ridge on Asotin Creek Wildlife Area in the next 10-year planning cycle.





Figure 7. Map of potential forest management treatments on the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area in the next 10-year planning cycle. Treatments include planting ponderosa pine and thinning established saplings. No prescribed burning would occur in the young forests of this wildlife area.



W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area

Approximately 550 acres will be reforested and 100 acres non-commercially thinned. WDFW will plant ponderosa pine seedlings on severely burned areas that were forested before the School Fire but have since converted to grass or shrub systems. Growth and density will be monitored on planted and naturally regenerated trees, and these young stands will be non-commercially thinned if necessary. WDFW will support fire suppression efforts with the goal of avoiding fire long enough for trees to become established and fire-resilient. The long-term objective would be to grow the W. T. Wooten back into a forested ecosystem, though not to the overstocked conditions it was before the School Fire in 2005. See Figure 7 for potential forest treatment locations on the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area.

Fire management


Wildland fires ignited in the area of the Blue Mountains Wildlife Area are initially responded to by county fire districts, DNR, and the U. S. Forest Service. Multiple fire districts cover portions of the wildlife areas and respond when fires are near structures or threaten structures within their district. WDFW has an agreement with DNR to provide for fire suppression in the majority of the BMWLA. USFS fire crews also provide protection primarily in areas of federal ownership. In addition, wildlife area staff maintain fire suppression qualifications and make equipment accessible for controlling wildfire when needed. Wildlife area staff coordinate with DNR and USFS as Resource Advisors and Landowner Representatives to minimize habitat loss, protect resources and meet fire suppression needs. WDFW will use prescribed fire as a tool to manage and improve habitat in dry forests (see Forest Management Plan), stimulate grasslands, or for agricultural purposes. Slash pile burning will occur with forest management thinning projects as well according to DNR and Washington Department of Ecology regulations.



Appendix A

Distribution of forest types based on ecological systems described by the Department of Natural Resources Field Guide to Washington's Ecological Systems (Rocchio, J. and R. Crawford 2008) and satellite imagery (Sayre *et. al.* 2009). Maps show satellite imagery data over the following Wildlife Area Units (A1) 4-0 Ranch, (A2) Grouse Flat, (A3) Chief Joseph and Shumaker, (A4) George Creek, (A5) Asotin Creek and Weatherly, and the (A6) W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area. The legend below depicts color scheme for all maps in Appendix A.

Legend


 Wildlife Area

Ecological System


-  Northern Rocky Mountain Dry-Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer Forest
-  Harvested Forest - Northwestern Conifer Regeneration
-  Northern Rocky Mountain Ponderosa Pine Woodland and Savanna
-  Columbia Basin Foothill Riparian Woodland and Shrubland
-  Northern Rocky Mountain Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer Forest
-  Northern Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland
-  Northern Rocky Mountain Western Larch Savanna
-  Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland
-  Great Basin Foothill and Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland
-  Rocky Mountain Subalpine Mesic Spruce-Fir Forest and Woodland
-  Columbia Plateau Western Juniper Woodland and Savanna
-  Rocky Mountain Aspen Forest and Woodland
-  Inter-Mountain Basins Aspen-Mixed Conifer Forest and Woodland
-  Rocky Mountain Lodgepole Pine Forest
-  Rocky Mountain Subalpine-Montane Riparian Woodland
-  Inter-Mountain Basins Curl-leaf Mountain Mahogany Woodland and Shrubland
-  Rocky Mountain Subalpine Dry-Mesic Spruce-Fir Forest and Woodland




Appendix A1




Appendix A2




Appendix A3




Appendix A4



Appendix A5



Appendix A6



References

- Agee JK and KR Maruoka. 1994. Historical fire regimes of the Blue Mountains. Tech Notes BMN-RI-TN-1. La Grande, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Blue Mountains Natural Resources Institute. 4 p.
- Data Resources Management and Fire and Aviation Management, Pacific Northwest Region, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Region 6 Fire History Wildfire Perimeters. Downloadable Data. Accessed 5 January 2018. <https://www.fs.fed.us/r6/data-library/gis/umatilla/index.shtml>
- Federal Wildland Fire Occurrence Data. Compiled by the U. S. Geological Service, Department of the Interior. Downloadable Data. Accessed January 8 2018. <https://wildfire.cr.usgs.gov/firehistory/data.html>
- Kliejunas JT, Geils BW, Glaeser JM, Goheen EM, Hennon P, Kim M-S, Kope H, Stone J, Sturrock R, and Frankel SJ. 2009. Review of literature on climate change and forest diseases of western North America. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR-225. Albany, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station. 54 p.
- Klopfenstein NB, Kim M-S, Hanna JW, Richardson BA, and Lundquist JE. 2009. Approaches to Predicting Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Forest Disease: An Example with Armillaria Root Disease. Fort Collins, CO, USA: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: Research Paper RMRS-RP-76.
- Kolb T E, Fettig CJ, Ayres MP, Bentz BJ, Hicke JA, Mathiasen R, Stewart JE, and Weed AS. 2016. Observed and anticipated impacts of drought on forest insects and diseases in the United States. *Forest Ecology and Management* 380: 321–334.
- LANDFIRE. 2008. Existing Vegetation Type Layer, LANDFIRE 1.1.0, U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey. Accessed 28 December 2016 at <http://landfire.cr.usgs.gov/viewer/>. <http://www.landfire.gov/>
- Rocchio J and R Crawford. 2008. Draft Guide to Washington's Ecological Systems. Washington State Department of Natural Resources.
- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2014. Management Strategy for the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife's Forests. Olympia, Washington.
- Washington Department of Natural Resource Open Data. DNR Fire Statistics 1970-2007. Downloadable Data. Accessed January 8 2018. <http://data-wadnr.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/dnr-fire-statistics-1970-2007>
- Washington Department of Natural Resource Open Data. DNR Fire Statistics 2008-Present. Downloadable Data. Accessed January 8 2018. <http://data-wadnr.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/dnr-fire-statistics-2008-present>

Appendix F. Restoration

W.T. Wooten floodplain management plan - introduction

The full plan can be found at WDFW Publications: <https://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/01678/>

W.T. Wooten Floodplain Management Plan (2014)

Category: [Habitat](#) - [Wildlife Area Management](#)

Date Published: December 2014

Number of Pages: 149

Author(s): Bob Dice, Kari Dingman, Mark Grandstaff, Dave Karl, Tom Schirm, Bruce Heiner, Doug Maxey and Glen Mendel. Edited by Mark Wachtel.

INTRODUCTION:

The Wooten Wildlife Area Floodplain Management Plan (FMP) was developed by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) as an integrated, cross-program effort to improve conditions within the Tucannon floodplain. Over the years the Tucannon River floodplain function has been compromised by certain factors including infrastructure encroachment and deterioration, large wood removal, degradation of riparian habitats, channel straightening, dike building and devastating floods and fires. The goals of the FMP were developed to address these factors: 1) protection and restoration of ecosystem functions of the Tucannon River, floodplain, and riparian habitats, 2) enhancement of fishing, hunting, camping, wildlife viewing and other recreational activities, 3) improvement of habitat conditions for Endangered Species Act (ESA) listed salmonids (as well as other aquatic species), 4) improvement of wildlife habitats, and 5) protection and enhancement of critical infrastructure.

These goals are consistent with the agency mission (“preserve, protect and perpetuate fish, wildlife and ecosystems while providing sustainable fish and wildlife recreational and commercial opportunities”), the 2011-17 WDFW Strategic Plan, and will compliment ongoing habitat restoration efforts to recover spring Chinook and other ESA listed stocks within the Tucannon basin. The WDFW Strategic Plan has guiding principles to conserve and restore biodiversity, ensure the health of ecosystems, and ensure sustainable social and economic use of Washington’s fish and wildlife and their habitats. It emphasizes both conservation and recreation as equal priorities for WDFW. Goal 1 of the Strategic Plan is to “Conserve and protect native fish and wildlife.” This is complimented by Goal 2: “Provide sustainable fishing, hunting and other wildlife-related recreational experiences”. The goals of the FMP stated above and the proposed actions following in this document will help the Department meet these two goals in the W.T. Wooten Wildlife Area (Wildlife Area). This FMP addresses issues associated with the Tucannon Lakes and other WDFW infrastructure while seeking to enhance recreational uses and complement the habitat enhancement goals of federal, tribal, state, and other watershed partners. The FMP is a model for the Conservation Initiative approach that has been initiated within WDFW. The Conservation Initiative is an agency-wide commitment to emphasizing conservation and improving how we work together -- both internally across programs, and externally in cooperation with other governments, organizations and citizens -- to better maintain healthy ecosystems for the benefit of all species, including humans.

The FMP is driven by several factors including the current condition of the Tucannon Lakes and associated infrastructure, and the increased focus on recovery of spring Chinook in the Tucannon River. Large portions of many of the Tucannon Lakes are currently within the floodplain of the Tucannon River. This restriction of the river reduces many important ecological functions of the floodplain. In addition to direct impacts to the floodplain the deteriorated condition of the Tucannon Lakes have other associated issues including: non-compliance with



Washington Department of Ecology's (WDOE) Dam Safety regulations, failing surface diversions, continued intake and outlet operation/maintenance or needed upgrades, potential thermal degradation of the river environment, and sedimentation in the lakes that reduces carrying capacity for stocked rainbow trout and recreational fishing. We have separated our proposed actions in this FMP into 6 initiatives: 1) Outreach; 2) Tucannon Lakes; 3) Habitat Enhancement; 4) Campgrounds; 5) Roads, Bridges, Culverts; 6) Camp Wooten.

The Snake River Salmon Recovery Board (SRSRB), Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) and Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) will have an increased emphasis in implementing habitat enhancement projects in the Tucannon River over the next 6 years in order to meet obligations to recover spring Chinook as outlined in the Columbia River Power System Biological Opinion. BPA, in cooperation with the SRSRB, is prepared to spend between \$6-9 million on river and floodplain habitat enhancement projects in the Tucannon basin before 2018, much of it directed to the Wildlife Area. In addition the SRSRB distributes funds from the State of Washington Salmon Recovery Funding Board (SRFB), much of which is directed to the Tucannon basin to help recover spring Chinook and three other federally listed species (summer steelhead, fall Chinook, and bull trout). This provides a unique opportunity for WDFW, co-managers, partners and interested public to restore habitat conditions, and river and floodplain functions on the Wildlife Area and to build partnerships for restoration off of the Wildlife Area, while at the same time enhancing educational opportunities and recreational experiences.



Appendix G. Cultural resources

Blue Mountains cultural resource summary

Early history

Indigenous peoples inhabited the major waterways running through what is now the Blue Mountains wildlife areas, including the Snake, Grande Ronde, and Tucannon Rivers in northeast Oregon, southeast Washington and western Idaho for thousands of years. The rivers connected people through family, trade, and other economic interests down to the Columbia River Gorge. Settlements consisted of both permanent and temporary villages where the *Nimípuu* (Nez Perce), Palouse, Walla Walla, Cayuse, and Umatilla peoples fished, harvested root crops, collected berries, gathered other culturally significant plants, and hunted deer and elk. The Nez Perce and others later adopted horses, which grazed the abundant grasslands. This region was well travelled by native peoples both prior to the immigration of non-native peoples and following their settlement period. Some of the old foot trails are still evident on the Blue Mountain wildlife areas today.

The arrival of immigrants

The Lewis and Clark Corps of Discovery reached the already well-established area in 1805. Shortly after, trappers and fur traders began working and trading with the Nez Perce and larger trading companies. Christian ministries were also active during this period. Competing immigrant mining and agricultural interests in the area drove the U. S. government to draft the 1855 Nez Perce Reservation Treaty. The originally agreed-upon reservation boundary was quickly amended by 1863 and reduced the reservation area by 90 percent. Many Nez Perce continued to live on their traditional lands and did not consider the cessation of land valid. War broke out in spring of 1877 between the Nez Perce and the U. S. Army, and Chief Joseph surrendered that fall.

While the Nez Perce Reservation in Idaho is a small fraction of their homeland prior to 1863, the treaty retained the Nez Perce right to hunt and fish in their “usual and accustomed places” which encompasses all ownership in what we now call the Blue Mountain Wildlife Areas. Today, the Nez Perce, Umatilla and other tribes still use the wildlife areas for hunting and fishing, and actively participate in the planning of wildlife area projects.

Homestead settlement

Prospects of agriculture and mining, including reports of coal in the Grande Ronde, brought many settlers to the northern Blue Mountains by the late 1800’s and early 1900’s.

Agricultural efforts included dryland farming and irrigated farms from major rivers as well as creeks such as Asotin Creek, Joseph Creek, Lick Creek, and George Creek (which did not have enough water to maintain crops during the dry season and efforts were abandoned). Sheep and cattle grazed other areas, and herds were often moved from low elevations in the winter to high elevations in the summer. Settlers logged timbered areas for rail and local structures.

Asotin, Rogersburg, Cloverland, Anatone, Peola, Grouse Flats, and Mountain View were places settled within the vicinity of the wildlife areas. Old homesteads are scattered throughout the wildlife areas as evidenced by agricultural fields, abandoned structures, non-native fruit and ornamental trees, old fence lines, family cemeteries, and other artifacts. Upgraded roads, postal services, schools, and telephone improved the lives of these settlers. However, a variety of factors cumulatively drove people away, including the World War I draft, the Spanish Flu, drought, large fires, and hardships brought by the Great Depression. The ghost town of Mountain View, which currently lies on the 4-0 Ranch Unit, had a post office until 1951 and a school until 1954 before purchase by a private ranching company.

Wildlife management

The northern Blue Mountains have always been valued for their fish and game populations. Indigenous peoples subsisted off hunting and fishing. Trappers traded furs, and homesteaders harvested game animals and hunted predators. A local wildlife organization introduced a herd of Montana elk into the hills south of Pomeroy in 1913.



These elk established well and by 1927, the first hunting season opened for elk in the area. Later hatcheries stocked streams with rainbow trout, steelhead, and salmon, and land managers introduced non-native game birds. State rules eventually regulated hunting to manage game populations. The Tucannon Game Reserve was first created in 1924 with later large acquisitions in the 1940's that would eventually become the W. T. Wooten Wildlife Area on the Tucannon River. Soon after, eight stocked ponds were constructed in to provide recreational fishing opportunities. In the following decades, the State of Washington purchased other lands to provide habitat for game birds, deer, elk, and fish. The most recent acquisition was the 4-0 Ranch Unit that the Department of Fish and Wildlife bought in phases between 2011 and 2015.




Today people primarily use the Blue Mountains wildlife areas for hunting and fishing. These places also attract hikers, campers, bird watchers, mountain bikers, wild crafters and horseback riders. Private cattle still graze much of the wildlife area through temporary leases, though sheep grazing no longer occurs to prevent disease transmission from domestic sheep to bighorn sheep. Selective timber harvest delivers saw logs and pulpwood to local mills while reducing fuels and creating fire-resilient ecosystems. Washington legislators, nearby tribes, local advisory groups, environmental groups, and special interest groups such as the Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, Backcountry Horseman and Cattleman's Association actively participate in planning efforts for each wildlife area.

Cultural resource surveys

State and Federal laws require WDFW to review sites for archeological resources on any ground-disturbing project. The agency then evaluates the findings and devises a cultural resource protection plan to protect the integrity of historic properties. WDFW has conducted several cultural resource surveys within the W.T. Wooten, 4-0 Ranch Unit and Grouse Flats Unit. Local historical societies and individuals have also conducted limited accounts of the region's history.



Appendix H. Water Access Summary

Blue Mountains wildlife areas						Fishing and Boating Opportunities			Access Facilities		
County	Waterbody	Access	WLA	Unit	Public Fishing Easement	 Fishing*	 Hand launch	 Trailered Boat launch	Boat Ramp Surface	Toilet	ADA Parking
Asotin	Asotin Creek	WLA general parking	Asotin Creek	Asotin Creek		•					
	Grande Ronde River	Ebsen			•	•	•			•	
	Grande Ronde River	Shumaker Grade	Chief Joseph	Shumaker		•	•				
	Grande Ronde River	Snyder Bar	Chief Joseph	Shumaker		•	•				
	Grande Ronde River	Bezona-Boggan			•	•		•	Gravel	•	
	Grande Ronde River	Botts	Chief Joseph	4-0 Ranch		•		•	Gravel	•	
	Snake River	Couse Creek				•		•	Concrete		
	Snake River	Heller Bar	Chief Joseph	Chief Joseph		•	•	•	Concrete	•	
Columbia	Big Four Lake	WLA general parking	W.T. Wooten	Wooten		•				•	
	Blue Lake	WLA general parking	W.T. Wooten	Wooten		•					
	Curl Lake	WLA general parking	W.T. Wooten	Wooten		•				•	
	Deer Lake	WLA general parking	W.T. Wooten	Wooten		•				•	
	Rainbow Lake	WLA general parking	W.T. Wooten	Wooten		•				•	
	Spring Lake	WLA general parking	W.T. Wooten	Wooten		•				•	
	Watson & Beaver Lakes	WLA general parking	W.T. Wooten	Wooten		•				•	
Walla Walla	Touchet River	Dodd			•	•					
	Walla Walla River	WLA general parking	W.T. Wooten	McDonald		•					
	Walla Walla River	WLA general parking	W.T. Wooten	Swegle Road		•					

* Access provides fishing opportunities *on department land*. Refer to current WDFW sport fishing rules, as fishing seasons change and may not occur at all sites.

Appendix I: Public Response Summary (SEPA)

Draft Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan

SEPA Comment Period – January 30 – February 28, 2019

COMMENTS & WDFW RESPONSE

Date & Source	Comment	WDFW Response
2/2/19 SEPA desk	<p>My name is Mark, and in the blue mountains I would like to see better wintering habitat for our deer and elk. Winter comes and the animals end up on farmers fields where they destroy crops or fields. Worse though is the tags that get issued to farmers to control the animals. This has decimated the elk population, with a hard winter the elk were forced low and had to forage on crops where the farmers then get to kill them. If there was better wintering ground for the animals maybe they wouldn't have to hit the farmers fields to stay alive. Feeding station in the blues like in Central Washington might work well too.</p> <p>- Mark Patras</p>	<p>These concerns are addressed in the Goals and Objectives Table of the plan. Goal 3.E contains measures to reduce human/wildlife conflicts. Goal 4.A includes measure for protecting wintering wildlife from human disturbance. We added two new objectives:</p> <p><i>3.F - Cultivate and plant several fields at Smoothing Iron Ridge to annual lure crops for elk to hold them on the wildlife area and off private property, and, 3.G - Initiate controlled burning projects to enhance forage for retaining elk on wildlife area land and off private property.</i></p> <p>WDFW does not have feeding stations in the Blue Mountains and does not plan to have them there.</p>
2/4/19 SEPA desk	<p>Until the Native American hunters are made to follow the seasons and rules, they will continue to wipe out all the trophy (and lesser) animals in the region. There needs to be legislative action to reconsider treaty rights, and WDFW, along with the other Western states' commissions, needs to lead the way. There's no way to recover from their disregard and abuse, if left unchecked. Keep counting the wolves accurately. Thanks. Kind Regards,</p> <p>- Vickie Crawford</p>	<p>These comments are outside of the scope of the Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan. However, tribal hunting rights are a matter of federal law and cannot be modified by the State Legislature or the Fish and Wildlife Commission.</p>
2/10/19 SEPA desk	<p>Fish and game is worthless in the state of Washington. Elk are getting wiped out deer herds at all time low. Coyotes, cougars, and wolves, bears are out of control. Can't run dogs can't trap. Quotas are way to low for cats and bear which they can control but choose not to our fish and game does not back our wildlife or our hunters. You guys would fuck up a free meal and you did many times over. Moving to Idaho thanks for nothing.</p> <p>-Jesse Ming</p>	<p>These comments are outside of the scope of the Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan. They will be shared with the local biologists and forwarded to the Game Division.</p>
2/15/19 SEPA desk	<p>Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas DRAFT Management Plan Comments & Suggestions 2-14-2019</p> <p>Page 28: Annually assess the opportunity to expand Green Gulch ATV access to archery and muzzleloader season, depending on the conditions (6.E). I am against this opportunity for expanding ATV access for archery & muzzleloader season.</p> <p>Where there are few areas for ADA hunting opportunities, I encourage you to instead open the area beyond the gate at the end of current ATV use during Oct. & Nov to ADA hunting opportunities for all methods of weapon choices to the end of the road with key access to the gate.</p>	<p>WDFW is not in favor of expanding road access into archery and muzzleloading seasons due to fire concerns, and that objective has been removed from the plan. Archery season begins in early September and that is fire season. The public used to be stopped by a gate at 4.2 miles up the road. In 2018, WDFW moved the gate to 6.3 miles up the road allowing more motorized access during October and November. The end of the road is 10 miles in. ADA users along with other users have motorized access over the majority of the road while the remaining third of the road is non-motorized use for users desiring that type of experience.</p>

A. Page 29, Map 2: The Parking at the Hay Barn at the beginning of Smoothing Iron RD is not listed on the map. Should be listed as Parking and Trailhead.

B. Page 32, Map 3: This map and all other maps on subsequent pages have the roads such as Kelly Creek, Ayers Gulch, and Rock Pile Creek and many others listed as "Administrative Use Only". I feel this is inaccurate and makes it appear that none of these roads can be used as recreation for non-motorized users. I suggest better wording would be "Administration & non-motorized use". This needs changed on all maps.

C. Rock Pile and Halsey Rd Place should be marked with Hiking Symbol as trails leave these two places on the map.

D. Page 40, Map 5, The new outhouse at the Autrey Campground is not listed. This also should be listed as a trailhead with the Hiking Symbol.

E. Page 55, Map 9: W.T.Wooten should have Cummings Cr listed as a trailhead. There is also a short trail in the W.T.Wooten Campground that needs listed.

Page 75, Table 9, Facilities & Parking, Asotin Cr has trailheads listed, while the other two do not, but there are trailheads that should be listed in Chief Joseph and 4-O.

Chief Joseph: This is confusing "No public restrooms on the units; multiple restrooms" Please clarify! There are outhouses at Heller Bar Boat Ramp, camping location along the Grande Ronde River, and the parking site on Joseph Creek by the School House.

W.T.Wooten should have Cummings Cr listed as a trailhead. Facilities and Parking needs to have consistency among all areas.

Page 84, 4C: Supportive of statement here. Drones and electric operated means of travel (electric bikes as an example), other than ADA vehicles, should be added as not acceptable use.

Page 85, Consider adding "4D" to clarify that roads and trails, marked as hiking on maps, are open to non-motorized use for hiking, horseback riding, and mountain bike, unless posted as closed.

Page 86: 6C: "Inventory and assess ADA fishing and hunting opportunities biennially": Where there are few areas for ADA hunting opportunities please make Green Gulch an ADA Hunting area. I encourage you to add the area beyond the gate at the end of current ATV use during Oct. & Nov to ADA hunting opportunities for all methods of weapon choices to the

Comments A- E regarding maps are addressed by this response: WDFW is in the process of updating facilities and trail information statewide for the new WDFW website at this time. Clarifying information about the comments has been added to the text of the Blue Mountains plan; however, the plan maps will not be edited at this time.

Each unit description will say: *Please note that roads marked "Administrative Use Only" are closed to other motorized vehicles but are open for non-motorized recreation unless otherwise posted.*

The new vault toilet will be listed in the text of the 4-0 Ranch Unit description.

Visitors can go up the old Cummings Creek Road, but it not a "designated trail". Visitors must park at parking area across the road. While there are campgrounds on the wildlife area, it appears that this comment refers to the USFS Campground and the trail across the road.

Information about restrooms at Chief Joseph has been corrected in the plan to say there are multiple restrooms along the Grande Ronde River.

WDFW is developing a statewide recreation plan which plans to address electric bicycles and drones. Using unmanned aircraft to spot or hunt is unlawful according WAC 220-413-070.

WDFW will clarify that administrative roads are available for non-motorized use.

In the assessment for ADA opportunities, WDFW will assess Green Gulch. In 2018, WDFW moved the gate to 6.3 miles up the road allowing more ADA and motorized access during October and November. The gate was previously at 4.2 miles of the 10 mile road. ADA users along with other users have motorized access over the majority of the road while the

	<p>end of the road with key access to the gate at the end of current ATV use by the general public.</p> <p>Page 90, 7L: A map of all three areas needs to be produced listing the recreational opportunities available and amenities for the general public that is available free online that is updated bi-annually and can be printed electronically. A printed pamphlet is expensive and becomes obsolete in a very short time, plus access to a pamphlet can be difficult to obtain if you are not from the immediate area. Where would they be available if you did want a copy? Do not waste time and money producing a hard copy map!</p> <p>Page 91, 9a: Utilize grazing for fire control and improved forage for big game. Chief Joseph Area between the Snake River and Joseph Cr (Green Gulch Area) needs to be grazed to invigorate new growth in native bunch grass. This process was used for many years by Native Americans.</p> <p>Asotin Creek Area between Lick Fork and Asotin Creek on the ridge (Sourdough Area) needs to be grazed as well.</p> <p>Thanks for the opportunity to comment, - Rod Parks Clarkston, WA</p>	<p>remaining third of the road is non-motorized use for users desiring that type of experience.</p> <p>WDFW's new website (launched Spring 2019) have printable maps in the future.</p> <p>WDFW looked at grazing on the Chief Joseph WLA in the past in the area between the Snake River and Joseph Creek. WDFW does not have the fencing infrastructure to effectively graze these lands without harming sensitive riparian areas. The cost to install fencing for a grazing program far outweighs the benefits at this particular area. Controlled burning timed at proper times of the year is the preferred alternative WDFW is looking into for invigorating new growth in native bunch grass.</p>
<p>2/4 BM email</p>	<p>I again urge WDFW to consider reinstating the senior citizen antlerless hunt for whitetails in unit 181. The doe/fawn percentage of the population has been very high for several years. Last fall it was common to encounter antlerless whitetails there about once an hour while turkey or deer hunting.</p> <p>I am a bit selfish about this. At age 89 I no longer have the energy to do what is needed for a respectable job of buck hunting.</p> <p>-Roger Contor</p>	<p>These comments are outside of the scope of the Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan. They will be shared with the local biologists and forwarded to the Game Division.</p>
<p>2/4 BM email</p>	<p>Without getting into too much detail and beyond my personal knowledge of the areas of concern I offer the following comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Continue active management of forested areas in all units to improve habitat and reduce catastrophic wildfire loss. I encourage both commercial and non-commercial thinning as proposed in the plan as well as controlled burning, given the historical role for fire in the ecology of these forests and the apparent success that I have seen in some areas to reduce fuel loads and alter species mix. 2. Improved management of off-road activities. In my experience, the improper and illegal use of vehicles has caused more damage to meadows, fragile areas, and riparian areas than any other activity. In addition the improper use of these vehicles disturbs wildlife and reduces the enjoyment of other resources for members of the public. 3. Excessive discharge of firearms is a nuisance to wildlife and others using a variety of resources in these areas. <p>-Phillip Crawford</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Forest Management Plan covers forest management activities, which include those measures described in the comment. 2. The plan address the control of off road activities in the Goals and Objectives Table, goal 4.C, to continue to limit off-road vehicle travel and in 6.D to reduce the number of ADA licensees taking motorized vehicles beyond where allowed. 3. General Rules for Public Conduct on WDFW Lands covers firearms (WAC 220-500-140), which includes: no firearm discharge where and when posted; no discharge of firearms within 500 feet of a department designated campground; the department may designate locations and times for target practicing consistent with resource management or public safety concerns. WDFW will continue to evaluate and manage shooting in cooperation with the public.

<p>2/4 BM email</p>	<p>Hello, My name is Randi Kyle. I live in Anatone Wa. In unit 172. The elk population is dwindling by the day due to the wolves. I hear them howling all the time after their kills. Your reports reflect we lost over a 1000 head of elk in the last 2 years but you implicated you do not know why, common sense seems to elude. I can go out and howl and they will answer back not rocket science. I was cleaning combines in the field this last summer and a big black male come running through the field. He watched for a minute and continued up towards timber area. It is only a matter of time until their rabies infected crap gets into our food chain and infects the livestock if feeder grain or humans if quality grain. Once again they were exterminated for a reason. Stupidity and greed has lead us back to where we are today. The whitetail buck population is also growing smaller by the day. They stay up in the snow too long and the wolves feast on them due to lack of ability to escape. Mt view unit 172 has become to human populated for any kind of hunting. Bullets flying everywhere and nobody is cautious. It is all posted no hunting and no trespassing besides. Only locals and family can hunt and they are breaking the laws because their property is posted no hunting. Getting drawn for a big bull tag or a cow tag is worthless no access and if there is access there are no animals because of cattle grazing or wolves have them running all the time. Bonasa breaks is a wolf sancutary. Used to see tons of elk from rattlesnake grade now you see one every once in awhile. Only the wolves are allowed to hunt it. Idaho, Montana, Wyoming and Colorado are seeing their herds destroyed by the wolves and big money is dictating. Ignorance and arrogance at its best. Then greed on the wildlife department's is unethical to have taken the wolf money to destroy all conservationist hopes of ever having prosperous hunting again. Seen several elk limping in this area as well. So either they have hoof rot from the free range cattle or the wolves are injuring them for a latter kill. This leads me to think that the wolves are spreading hoof rot by killing a sick beef and then attacking an elk and it spreads it into the herd. Could just be using same waterhole. Ranchers must do better with their livestock maintenance. Unfortunately times have changed and the wolf is destroying the eco. Humans are the new wolf. You have too many predators killing wildlife. Too much land has been taken away from the wildlife due to humans expansion. Then fencing them all in for the wolf to easily kill without prejudice. They pull the calves out of the womb. Once again it doesn't take rocket science to understand this will eliminate all your jobs. No game to hunt no money to pay your wages. Maybe it isnt a big issue since you accept the wolf money from the ignorant. You are ending your own careers by allowing these hybrid wolves into our state. I would think that you could see the end results but after seeing how and what they teach in college now it isnt hard to understand why you don't. Wolves feed all day everyday. How is any wildlife to exist when they are fed upon daily. Once again common sense seems to elude. The ignorance and arrogance is amazing. Just open the borders for all illegals as well. Stupid is as stupid does. I apologize for my mean statements but im fed up with people who do not understand the eco. Once the wildlife is gone people will become the target of the wolf. If the herd gets to big you open more tags for the human wolves not bring in another predator that cannot be controlled due to its big donors. Lost 1000 head in 2 years so that means the remainder of the 4400 elk will be gone in less than 8 years. Best genetics in the state gone for ever due to people that live in an apartment. If you dont own property you dont get to vote. East coast idiots giving millions to destroy my states eco is bs.</p>	<p>These comments are outside of the scope of the Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan. They will be shared with the local biologists and forwarded to the Game Division.</p>
-------------------------	--	--

	<p>I have the same issues with indians. There are too many humans now and to allow them to net the rivers and destroy the runs and kill wildlife anyday everyday isnt acceptable. The genetics are being destroyed right in front of you and it is only money that matters. My ferrier is up full Indian and kills a big bull off mt misery every year during archery season with his whitemans magnum rifle in his 4x4 truck. If they want ancients rights then use your ancestors equipment. Once again another predator that follows no laws.</p> <p>I believe your jobs will turn into a bird watching crew if something isn't done soon about the wolf (illegals), sick livestock, tree huggers and indians destroying the eco.</p> <p>I normally see dozens of monster bulls this time of year in the breaks but have only seen two this year. Either the wolves have killed them or chased them completely out of the area.</p> <p>If you ever need more comments and thoughts just let me know.</p> <p>-Randi Kyle</p>	
<p>2/5 BM email</p>	<p>Ms. Wood,I have used these areas for more than 30 years.My parents live in the Anatone area so I consider myself a"Local".Back in the late 1970s there were a lot of Elk in the area.The WDFW in there infinite wisdom went to a Spike only Elk hunt unless you drew a special permit to harvest a branch antlered bull.That program is outdated and should be discontinued.You look at all of the states around us and they do not have this practice.We need to let the young elk grow up.We hunt these areas every year and there are multiple Big Bulls in these units.In these years I have been drawn one time for the coveted Big Bull tag.For the last two years I have not even seen a spike bill dead or alive.We have seen over 35 Big Bulls during the seasons in these units.Either change the spike only or give out more Big bull permits.Change it to 3 point or bigger like the deer hunting seasons.Give the young ones a chance to grow up.Now as far as the Wolf issue in these areas,I have never personally seen a Wolf up there.My parents have heard them and I have seen the tracks in the snow.I hope the WDFW are keeping a eye on this situation.I hope we do not have any packs in the area.Back to the Elk part of this letter,I was drawn for a late ML cow permit a couple of years ago over in the Cloverland area.</p> <p>We saw a herd of over 500 head of Elk coming up in the Farmers canola fields to eat.In that herd of elk we only seen 4 spikes.If the WDFW would give out more cow permits and do it for modern firearm maybe that would help the farmers keep more of there crop from getting destroyed.In closing I hope you guys change the spike only rules in this state because it has changed from the way it was back in the 1980s when they went to it.There are plenty of big bulls to do the breeding now, we need to let the young ones grow up. Thank You</p> <p>-Clyde (Clay) Hearne</p>	<p>These comments are outside of the scope of the Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan. They will be shared with the local biologists and forwarded to the Game Division.</p>
<p>2/6 BM email</p>	<p>I really have a problem with the department spending so much time and money to "improve" trails. I don't think that many hikers would agree to the department improving trails to include a "bridge" over a small creek where a person was able to walk on the bigger rocks that had been placed there by nature. We don't want a paved trail to access a part of the world that is supposed to be isolated and kept as natural as possible.</p> <p>I think that if the department would clear the trails of fallen trees and rocks that have naturally fallen onto the trails that it would be enough to allow the hikers to utilize the trail system to access the area of their desire. I realize that some of the labor for building bridges over creeks and valleys is volunteer, but those volunteers could be doing other things that the department does not have the man power to do throughout the year. If I wanted modern facilities and pavement access to the remote areas that I enjoy "WALKING" to I would visit the states park systems.</p>	<p>The bridge over the creek was on another wildlife area unit and destined to be disposed of, so it was put to good use at the North Fork trailhead. There are no paved trails on the wildlife area nor are they proposed in the plan. "Trails" are basically old roads.</p> <p>WDFW lands support a variety of uses, including hunting, fishing, wildlife viewing, and enjoyment of nature and the outdoors. Wildlife areas are open those who purchase hunting and fishing licenses, as well as those who purchase a Discover Pass.</p>

	<p>I pay enough in hunting and fishing fees “EVERY” year that I think that some of us that do utilize the remote trail systems, would have a more powerful say in how our money is being spent.</p> <p>I am hearing more and more avid hunters and fisherman stating that they are no longer going to spend their hard earned money for Washington states licenses. That they are going out of state where they have a lot better chance of harvesting a fish or animal and have a more enjoyable time of doing it without all of the rules and regulations that they have to follow in this state.</p> <p>I have hunted out of state and may do so in the near future for the same reasons. I believe that if the WDFW keeps going in the direction that they have been going in the last 10 years or so, that there will be enough of us license buying residents, not purchasing the licenses and tags the department depends on for funding, you will have to find another source of funding for your existence as a department.</p> <p>We, the hunters and fisherman, are getting pretty tired of people that do not hunt or fish mandating changes in our way of life. -Bob Dennis</p>	<p>The Goals and Objectives Table does include some items related to trails (7.A and 7.B) to connect and improve and maintain trails, not to pave them.</p> <p>The Goals and Objectives Table also lists various actions under goal 6: Provide and improve opportunities for hunting and fishing. People who do not hunt must purchase a Discover Pass to access WDFW lands.</p>
<p>2/6 BM email</p>	<p>I only see the 2 pages??? This didn’t seem to give any specific details to read and comment. -Audrey Eaton</p>	<p>The SEPA page has quite a few documents listed. The first does say it is the plan, but it is really the SEPA determination. The actual plan is the third bullet.</p>
<p>2/13 BM email</p>	<p>Just wanted to reach out and give my support for the management plan. Specifically interested in the fisheries, and I think the watershed habitat restoration and forest management will be great for conservation and species recovery. Thank you for putting in all the hard work! -Drew Stang</p>	<p>Thank you.</p>
<p>2/13/19 SEPA desk</p>	<p>Letter from CTCR to SEPA desk: RE: DNS 19-004: Blue Mountain Wildlife Areas Management Plan Dear Lisa Wood: We have received the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's (WDFW's) Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) regarding the proposed Blue Mountains Wildlife Areas Management Plan, along with the SEPA Checklist for the project and associated maps. Please be advised that your proposed undertaking lies within the traditional territories of the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation (Colville Confederated Tribes [CCT]), which is governed by the Colville Business Council (CBC). The CBC has delegated to the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) the responsibility of representing the CCT with regard to cultural resources management issues throughout the traditional territories of all of the constituent tribes under Resolution 1996-29. This area includes parts of eastern Washington, northeastern Oregon, the Palus (Palouse) territory in Idaho, and south central British Columbia. We concur with the issuance of the DNS, provided WDFW conducts a cultural review for each constituent project once specific project locations have been identified, as indicated in Item 13 of the SEPA Checklist.</p>	<p>Thank you. WDFW will conduct a cultural review for each constituent project once specific project locations have been identified.</p>

	<p>Thank you for consulting with the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation. Please note that these comments are based on information available to us at the time of the project review. We reserve the right to revise our comments as information becomes available. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Dr. Karen Capuder at (509) 634-2876 or karen.capuder@colvilletribes.com. If you wish to speak with me, contact me at (509) 634-2695.</p> <p>Guy Moura, Tribal historic Preservation Officer - Confederated Tribes of the Colville</p>	
<p>2/15/19 Staff email</p>	<p>Would you please forward this to the lead for WDFW for updating/revising the Blue Mts. Wildlife Area, please? This report came out recently and adds emphasis for maintaining riparian areas both on and off the Wildlife Areas in eastern WA.</p> <p>Thanks -Glen Mendel</p> <p>[Attachment: STUDY IDENTIFIES RIVERSIDE ROUTES IN NORTHWEST MOST IMPORTANT FOR ANIMALS NAVIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE Posted on Friday, February 15, 2019 (PST) (The study was published in PLOS ON The study was published in PLOS ONE.E.) Highlighted text</p>	<p>The importance of riparian areas for wildlife in the face of climate change have been added to the text of the plan.</p>
<p>3/24/19 Staff email</p>	<p>USFWS Comments on Blue Mountain Wildlife Areas Draft Management Plan</p> <p>In general, the USFWS supports components of the Blue Mountain Wildlife Areas Draft Management Plan. In particular, we support the aquatic, riparian, and upland habitat restoration activities that are described in the plan. We support the goal 1 “Maintain or improve the ecological integrity of priority ecological systems and sites”. Specific comments are listed below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is unclear how and whether grazing units overlap documented or potential Spalding’s catchfly (<i>Silene spaldingii</i>). The USFWS recommends monitoring the threatened plant in the documented locations on Asotin WLA, and in any future documented locations. If grazing or other activities impact the plant, we recommend implementing measures to avoid or minimize those impacts. • The USFWS supports surveys of all WLA lands for Spalding’s catchfly and other rare plants (Table 10 Goal 1.C.). Spalding’s catchfly can be dormant some years, and multiple surveys in potential habitat may be needed. • The USFWS supports the following management activities for the Asotin Creek Unit (First described on p.27 in Plan) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conduct an assessment of native prairie habitat by 2022, and by 2024 develop a strategy or plan to protect and restore native prairie habitat (Table 10 1.B). - Develop plan to survey entire wildlife area for rare plants by 2024 (Table 10 1.C). - Develop plan to conserve federally threatened Spalding’s catchfly (<i>Silene spaldingii</i>) (Table 10 1.D). 	<p>Wildlife area managers monitor <i>S. spaldingii</i> where it is documented in Asotin Creek, and will monitor any new locations where it is found. There is only one known area where <i>S. spaldingii</i> is present that has an active grazing lease in the Blue Mountains - the Weatherly Unit of the Asotin Creek Wildlife Area. There are 10-12 plants at that site. WDFW will monitor these areas for potential impacts on the species, and if needed implement measures to minimize or remove risk to <i>S. spaldingii</i> from grazing activities.</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Table 10 in the plan lists goals and objectives. Comments below are relevant to that table. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Objective 1D expects development of a plan to conserve Spalding’s catchfly on Asotin Creek WLA. If the plant is found on other wildlife areas, the conservation plan should be expanded to include the new areas. - Objective 1G, Implement Weed Management Plan annually. Where weeds are managed in or near Spalding’s catchfly habitat, implement measures to avoid or minimize effects on the plant. Implement measures to avoid or minimize impacts to listed fish species, including bull trout. - Objective 3J. Continue fish monitoring/tagging efforts on the Tucannon River and Asotin Creek. It is unclear what species are monitored or tagged. If efforts include bull trout, or bull trout are caught during the efforts, please report this information to the USFWS Eastern Washington Field Office to better understand life history and distribution of bull trout in the area. <p>-USFWS</p>	<p>WDFW will add that the plan will include any area on the wildlife areas where <i>S. spaldingii</i> is found.</p> <p>WDFW follows BPA’s guidelines and best management practices for the timing of weed control activities at or near <i>S. spaldingii</i> habitat, and use only BPA listed herbicides. Should <i>S. spaldingii</i> be found at other locations on the wildlife area, we would take the appropriate action and use best management practices for protecting <i>Silene</i> and being able to maintain some of our weed control.</p> <p>WDFW will continue to monitor steelhead, spring Chinook and bull trout in the Tucannon River, as well as steelhead and bull trout in Asotin Creek. We run smolt traps and adult traps in Asotin Creek and the Tucannon River focused on steelhead and Chinook monitoring, but also include monitoring of bull trout. We have coordinated with Erin Kuttle in the USFWS Eastern Washington Field Office on these efforts, and will continue to share information with them.</p>
<p>3/15/19 Letter to staff</p>	<p>The plan includes a management objective to annually assess the opportunity to expand the Green Gulch ATV access to archery and muzzleloader season depending on conditions. In the Plan, the access route is associated with the Asotin Creek Unit, however, according to the WDFW’s Game Management Unit Map, the Green Gulch ATV access route is located in GMU 186 in the Chief Joseph Unit. Without rationale and additional information about impacts to plants and wildlife, the Tribe is reluctant to support expansion of the Green Gulch ATV access to archery and muzzleloader season. The Tribe looks forward to continued coordination and communication about this proposed expansion during the Plan’s implementation.</p> <p>The Tribe also recommends the following additions to the Plan:</p> <p>Intergovernmental Objectives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Work with the Tribe to ensure the Plan’s management objectives of fish and wildlife are achieved while providing opportunities for the exercise of Treaty reserved rights - Protect tribal treaty rights and carefully evaluate and consider impacts to traditional hunting and gathering sites - Discuss mutual concerns for wildlife resources with the Tribe 	<p>The location of the Green Gulch ATV access will be corrected in the plan. WDFW does not intend to expand ATV access any further than we did in 2018 due to wildfire risks. Public access was formerly limited by a gate 4.2 miles up the Green Gulch road. In 2018, WDFW moved the gate to 6.3 miles up the road allowing more motorized access, but only during October and November. The end of the road is 10 miles in. Motorized use is confined to the existing roadbed. Off-road travel is prohibited and WDFW’s experience is that there are very few instances where illegal activity has occurred. The objective referencing the annual assessment has been dropped from the plan.</p> <p>WDFW honors and respects Tribal treaty reserved rights, and will take into consideration traditional hunting and gathering sites in any actions. WDFW appreciates the opportunity to discuss mutual concerns with the Nez Perce Tribe. We have added a new goal and associated objectives to the plan which speaks to maintaining productive and positive working relationships with tribes.</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Evaluate necessity of rodenticides and other pesticides (e.g. herbicides and insecticides) on management areas and evaluate impacts and threats to non-target species, such as birds and pollinators. - Evaluate the compatibility of livestock grazing, agricultural production, recreation, and weed management with ecological integrity and special status species and habitats. <p>Shannon F. Wheeler, Chairman, Nez Perce Tribe</p>	<p>The wildlife area rarely uses rodenticide and pesticides, and has and will continue to explore alternatives.</p> <p>The goals of weed control on WDFW lands are to maintain or improve the habitat for fish and wildlife, provide good stewardship, protect adjacent lands and meet legal obligation of Chapter 17.10 RCW Noxious Weeds. WDFW employs Integrated Pest Management, which includes prevention and assessment of the most effective method of controls. WDFW works on a regional scale to find solutions to collective weed control problems.</p> <p>WDFW considers the ecological integrity of the land for any management activity, as well as the special status of species and habitats. All grazing permits include specific protective measures, and monitoring so that ecological integrity is not compromised. Agricultural leases include protective measures and best management practices. Recreational activities on the wildlife area tend to be dispersed, and no major new recreation is planned. Any improvements or new recreation opportunity will take ecological integrity into consideration. One of the goals of weed management is to improve the ecological integrity of the land.</p>
--	--	--